Report on CNMI Agencies' Implementation of Audit Recommendations As of December 31, 2010



Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Report on CNMI Agencies' Implementation of Audit Recommendations,

as of December 31, 2010

Report No. TR-10-02, July 26, 2011

Summary <u>Background</u>

The Office of the Public Auditor (OPA) maintains an audit recommendations tracking system to monitor the implementation and resolution of audit recommendations. On a semi-annual basis, OPA issues its *Report on CNMI Agencies' Implementation of Audit Recommendations* (Audit Recommendation Tracking Report) which presents the audited agencies' compliance with OPA's recommendations.

The provisions of 1 CMC § 2307 established the Interagency Audit Coordinating Advisory Group (Coordinating Group) consisting of the presiding officer and minority leader of each house of the Legislature, the Secretary of Finance, and the Special Assistant for Management and Budget. According to the law, the Coordinating Group is to review all audit reports of the Public Auditor, and the Public Auditor will discuss the manner in which audit recommendations can be implemented with the assistance of the members of the Coordinating Group. The Coordinating Group shall also recommend to the Governor and to the Legislature any changes in laws or regulations which it finds necessary or desirable as a result of its work with the Public Auditor.

The Coordinating Group has not met since 2000. However, OPA continues to send follow-up letters and/or contact agencies with outstanding recommendations to request for information on corrective actions taken to implement OPA's audit recommendations. OPA also meets with agencies when requested to discuss and clarify actions necessary to implement OPA's audit recommendations. This report incorporates agency responses to OPA's follow-up letters or documents obtained by OPA on or before July 20, 2011. In accordance with statutory restrictions in the Auditing and Ethics Acts, the names of individuals and entities are not disclosed in OPA's Audit Recommendation Tracking Report.

Classification of OPA Audit Recommendations

OPA recommendations are classified as either *open*, *resolved*, or *closed*. Open and resolved recommendations are included in OPA's Audit Recommendation Tracking Report.

An *open* recommendation is one where no action or plan of action has been made, or no time frame for the plan of action has been provided by the client (department or agency). A *resolved* recommendation is one in which OPA is satisfied that the client cannot take immediate action, but has established a reasonable plan and time frame for action. A *closed* recommendation is one in which the client has taken sufficient action to meet the intent of the recommendation or OPA has withdrawn it. Also, OPA classifies open and resolved recommendations as *delinquent* if the recommendation has been outstanding for at least 180 days and OPA has not been informed by the concerned agency or department of any action being taken to close the recommendations.

Status of OPA Audit Recommendations

OPA tracked a total of 46 audit recommendations in 2010. Of the 46 audit recommendations, 6 were closed and 40 remained either open or resolved. Of the 40 open or resolved recommendations, 26 were considered delinquent.

Status of Audit Recommendations	2010
Total Recommendations Tracked as of December 31, 2010	46
Less: Closed Recommendation(s)	6
Outstanding Recommendations as of December 31, 2010 (Open or Resolved)	40
Number of Delinquent Recommendations	26

The table below presents the status of OPA's audit recommendations as of December 31, 2010.

Closed Recommendations

OPA closed six of the 46 audit recommendations in 2010. An analysis of the recommendation closed showed that the Commonwealth Utilities Corporation, Northern Marianas College, and Public School System implemented OPA's recommendation.

Delinquent Recommendations

The number of delinquent recommendations decreased by 4 (13%) from 30 in 2009 to 26 during the in 2010. Agencies with delinquent recommendations as of December 31, 2010 include the Office of the Attorney General, Commonwealth Ports Authority, Department of Finance, and Department of Public Safety. The recommendations addressed to these agencies were classified as delinquent as OPA was not informed by these agencies within the last 180 days of any corrective action taken to implement OPA's recommendations.

Potential Recovery of \$2.6 Million Referred to the AGO

As of December 31, 2010, audit recommendations in 7 audit reports were referred to the Attorney General's Office for legal action to recover monies improperly expended. According to these 7 audit reports, approximately \$2.6 million is potentially recoverable. The AGO did not provide OPA an update on these referral cases during OPA's follow-up process, therefore, the status of these referrals remains unchanged.

Potential Recovery of \$3.6 Million Depend on Agencies' Action

OPA identified potential recoveries of approximately \$3.6 million in 8 audit reports addressed to various agencies. During its semi-annual follow-up process for the period July 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010, OPA received updates from the Department of Public Lands and the Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund on the status of recovery of funds.

Of the \$4,726,434 identified as potentially recoverable, \$1,054,605 has been partially recovered leaving a balance of \$3,671,829 still recoverable as of December 31, 2010.

Independent Auditor's Recommendations

OPA also includes in its Audit Recommendation Tracking Report a total of 161 recommendations from 12 recent audit reports issued by private CPA firms.



A copy of the full report is available at the Office of the Public Auditor, or through OPA's Website

Michael Pai, CPA Public Auditor Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Web Site: www.opacnmi.com P.O. Box 501399 Saipan, MP 96950 Tel. No. (670) 322-6481 Fax No. (670) 322-7812



Office of the Public Auditor

Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Website: http://opacnmi.com 1236 Yap Drive, Capitol Hill, Saipan, MP 96950 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 501399 Saipan, MP 96950

E-mail Address: mail@opacnmi.com

Phone: (670) 322-6481 Fax: (670) 322-7812

July 26, 2011

Interagency Audit Coordinating Advisory Group Saipan, MP 96950

Re: Report on CNMI Agencies' Implementation of Audit Recommendations as of December 31, 2010 (with agency responses up through July 20, 2011 incorporated)

Dear Interagency Audit Coordinating Advisory Group:

Enclosed is a copy of the report on CNMI agencies' implementation of audit recommendations included in audit reports issued by the Office of the Public Auditor (OPA) as of December 31, 2010. OPA tracked a total of 46 audit recommendations in 2010.

We have also included in this report recommendations issued by private Certified Public Accounting (CPA) firms. OPA is not responsible for tracking the implementation of these recommendations; however, they are included in the audit recommendations tracking report for information purposes. As of December 31, 2010, there were a total of 161 recommendations from 12 recent audit reports issued by private CPA firms.

Although the Coordinating Group members did not meet in 2010, OPA again sent follow-up emails and/or called various government agencies with outstanding audit recommendations as of December 31, 2010. This report incorporates agency responses to follow-up emails/letters received or documents obtained by OPA on or before July 20, 2011. The number of delinquent recommendations decreased by 13% as of December 31, 2010.

In accordance with statutory restrictions in the Auditing and Ethics Acts, the names of individuals and entities in the audits are not disclosed in this report.

Sincerely,

Michael Pai, CPA Public Auditor

Table of Contents

Introduction iii					
Status of Audit Recommendationsv					
Executive Branch Offices and Departments					
Office of the Attorney General					
Department of Finance					
Department of Public Lands					
Department of Public Safety					
Autonomous Agencies					
Commonwealth Ports Authority 39					
Commonwealth Utilities Corporation 40					
Northern Marianas College 46					
Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund					
Public School System					
Senate					
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal					
and Compliance 59					
Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands 61					
Commonwealth Development Authority 161					
Commonwealth Government Employees' Credit Union					
Commonwealth Ports Authority 175					
Commonwealth Utilities Corporation					
Department of Public Lands (formerly Marianas Public Lands Authority) 219					
Northern Marianas College					
Northern Marianas Housing Corporation					
Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund					
Public School System					

Workers' Compensation Commission	366
Appendix A - Acronyms Used	369

Introduction

Background

he provisions of 1 CMC §2307 established the Interagency Audit Coordinating Advisory Group (Coordinating Group) consisting of the presiding officer and minority leader of each house of the Legislature, the Secretary of Finance, and the Special Assistant for Management and Budget. According to the law, the Coordinating Group is to review all audit reports of the Public Auditor, and the Public Auditor will discuss the manner in which audit recommendations can be implemented with the assistance of the members of the Coordinating Group. The Coordinating Group shall also recommend to the Governor and to the Legislature any changes in laws or regulations which it finds necessary or desirable as a result of its

The Coordinating Group has not met since 2000, however, OPA continues to issue follow-up letters semi-annually to agencies with outstanding recommendations requesting for information on actions taken to address its recommendations. Additionally, telephone follow-up procedures were also conducted to encourage agencies to respond to OPA's follow-up letters. Moreover, meetings are held when requested by agencies to discuss and clarify actions required to address OPA's recommendations.

On March 13, 2002, the then-Acting Governor issued a letter to the Senate President, Speaker of the House, and the then-Acting Secretary of Finance to remind them of their membership on the Coordinating Group.

This report incorporates agency responses to follow-up letters which OPA received or documents obtained by OPA on or before July 20, 2011. In accordance with statutory restrictions in the Auditing and Ethics Acts, the names of individuals and entities in the audits are not disclosed in this report.

Audit Recommendations Tracking System

work with the Public Auditor.

OPA maintains an audit recommendations tracking system to monitor implementation and resolution of OPA audit recommendations. Recommendations issued by Independent Auditors are also included in the tracking system for information purposes.

OPA Recommendations - Open and resolved audit recommendations are included in OPA's tracking report. In addition, we have also included recommendations which were closed during the past six months. An *open* recommendation is one where no action or plan of action has been made, or no time frame for the plan of action has been provided by the client (department or agency). A *resolved* recommendation is one in which OPA is satisfied that the client cannot take immediate action, but has established a reasonable plan and time frame for action. A *closed* recommendation is one in which the client has taken sufficient action to meet the intent of the recommendation or we have withdrawn it. Also, we have considered open or resolved recommendations as *delinquent* if the recommendation has been outstanding for at

least 180 days and we have not been informed by the concerned agency or department of any action being taken to close the recommendations.

Independent Auditors Recommendations - We have also included in this report recommendations issued by private Certified Public Accountant (CPA) firms. OPA is not responsible for tracking the implementation of these recommendations, however, they are included in the audit tracking report for information purposes. Because OPA is responsible for overseeing all audits of the CNMI government, follow-up procedures are also conducted for these recommendations to determine what actions have been taken by the individual agencies to implement the recommendations issued by private CPA firms. A copy of the agencies' responses is subsequently provided to the CPA firms that conducted the audit to determine whether the agencies' responses are sufficient to consider the recommendations resolved. Based on the classification followed by private CPA firms, a recommendation is described as either *resolved* or unresolved.

Status of Audit Recommendations



udit recommendations tracked in 2010 totaled 46. Of the 46 audit recommendations, 6 were closed and 40 remained either open or resolved. Of the 40 open or resolved recommendations, 26 were considered delinquent.

The following table presents a comparative schedule of the status of all our audit recommendations for calendar years 2008, 2009, and 2010.

Status of Audit Recommendations	2008	2009	2010
Total Recommendations Tracked for the Year Less: Closed Recommendations	49 (1)	48 (2)	46 (6)
Outstanding Recommendations, End of Year (Open or Resolved)	48	46	40
Number of Delinquent Recommendations	42	30	26

Closed Recommendation

OPA closed six of the 46 audit recommendations it tracked in 2010. An analysis of the recommendation closed showed that the Commonwealth Utilities Corporation, Northern Marianas College, and Public School System implemented OPA's recommendations.

Delinquent Recommendations

Delinquent recommendations decreased by 4 (13%) from 30 in 2009 to 26 in 2010.

Year	2008	2009	2010
1005	0	0	1
1995	2	2	I
1996	1	1	1
1997	3	2	4
1998	3	1	3
1999	3	0	3
2000	6	4	3
2001	0	1	0
2002	3	2	2
2003	6	4	1
2005	15	13	8
Total	42	30	26

Table 1 - Delinquent Recommendations

Agency to Act	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2005	Total
1. Office of the Attorney General			2	1	3	3		2	1		12
2. Commonwealth Ports Authority										1	1
3. Department of Finance	1	1	2	2						5	11
6. Department of Public Safety										2	2
Number of Delinquent Recommendations	1	1	4	3	3	3	0	2	1	8	26

Below is an aging of the 26 delinquent recommendations issued in years 1995 to 2005:

Independent Auditor's Report

OPA has included in the tracking report a total of 161 recommendations from 12 recent audit reports released by private CPA firms.

OPA would like to recognize the CNMI Group Health and Life Insurance Trust Fund (GHLITF) for having no reportable audit finding in its Annual Financial and Compliance audit report for fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 and the Marianas Public Land Trust (MPLT) for fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 conducted by a private CPA firm. This is a noteworthy accomplishment for GHLITF and MPLT. OPA appreciates the dedication and hard work carried out by GHLITF and MPLT in improving its operation and eliminating the repetition of prior year audit findings.

Other OPA Reports

OPA also issued four procurement appeal decisions during calendar year 2010 as follows:

- OPA issued a decision on the second Appeal (BP-A061) filed by GPPC, Inc. from the denial of its protest of DPW 09-IFB-19 (Puerto Rico Dump Final Closure) by the Division of Procurement and Supply (P & S), Department of Finance. OPA found that ITSI's bid was responsive, and thus affirms P&S decision to deny GPPC's second protest on this matter.
- OPA issued a decision on an Appeal (BP-A062) filed by ITSI, Inc. from the denial of its protest regarding DPW 09-IFB-19 (Puerto Rico Dump Final Closure) by the Division of Procurement and Supply. OPA found that (1) the design defect was not timely raised by ITSI; (2) ITSI's bid acceptance period has expired and ITSI alone has the right to waive that expiration and it has refused to do so; and (3) the solicitation DPW 09-IFB-19 is hereby canceled pursuant to NMIAC §70-30.3-510(a)(1).
- GPPC, Inc., filed a Request for Reconsideration (Appeal No. BP-A063) after OPA issued its Decision on Appeal No. BP-A062 filed by ITSI, Inc. OPA remands this solicitation to the Director of P&S for whatever action P&S deems appropriate.

• Hong Electric Entrerprises, Inc. filed an Appeal (BP-A064) from the denial of its protest on PSS IFB 10-056 for the Electrical Upgrade at Hopwood Junior High School and Dandan Elementary School by the Commissioner of Education. OPA finds that PSS properly rejected the appellant's bid as non-responsive.

AGO Action Needed for Recovery of Approximately \$2,620,000

As of December 31, 2010, recommendations in 7 audit reports were referred to the Attorney General's Office (AGO) for legal action to recover monies improperly expended. On May 17, 2011, OPA requested for an update on these referrals. The AGO did not respond to this request or provide OPA an update on these referrals, as such, the status of these referrals remains unchanged.

The following table presents a listing of AGO referral recommendations involving recovery of funds in which approximately \$2,628,808 is potentially recoverable.

	Report No. (Issue Date)	Particulars	Amount Recoverable	Status per AGO
1	AR-97-06 (4/23/97)	DPH - Rota Health Center (RHC) - Recovery from the former RHC Director of amount taken from RHC funds and reimbursement for cost of a washing machine for the period December 1993 to March 1996.	\$4,982	AGO will conduct further review and will provide OPA the results of its review.
2	AR-98-06 (12/14/98)	DOF - Funds misused by the former Secretary of Finance during fiscal years 1995 to 1997.	75,000	AGO will conduct further review and will provide OPA the results of its review.
3	AR-99-02 (3/29/99)	WRO - Recovery of the balance of a loan receivable made to a CNMI constituent by the former Washington Representative.	2,200	AGO to follow-up with the Secretary of Finance to see if payments have been made.
4	AR-99-03 (4-7-99)	TCGCC - Legal action to recover overpayment of \$543,375 from the consultant on grounds of unjust enrichment, conversion, fraud and breach of fiduciary duty and recovery of \$195,971 from three other individuals for breach of fiduciary trust.	739,346	AGO will conduct further review and will provide OPA the results of its review.
5	AR-99-04 (10-28-99)	CNMI - Overpayments of professional services contracts. Balance of overpayments totaling \$1,315,102 re-directed to AGO of which \$8,302 is	1,315,102	AGO will conduct further review and will provide OPA the results of its review.

	Report No. Particulars (Issue Date)		Amount Recoverable	Status per AGO
		immediately recoverable and \$1,306,800 is recoverable if adequately supported.		
6	AR-00-01 (4/13/00)	DPW - Recovery of \$164,534 in improper payments made to a surveying contractor and adverse action against contractor for misrepresentation relating to the Tinian road resurfacing project in 1997. A decision issued by the United States District Court, reduced the amount for restitution.	100,000	AGO will follow-up with the federal court regarding the payment of the restitution and will provide OPA with the results of their follow-up.
7	AR-02-02 (9-11-02)	TCGCC - Audit of Travel Transactions for fiscal years 1996 - 2001. The potential recovery amount for outstanding advances of \$406,925 was reduced by \$14,747.	392,178	AGO will conduct further review and will provide OPA the results of its review.
	Total Amount F	Recoverable	\$2,628,808	

OPA Referrals to AGO for Legal Determinations

OPA also referred to the AGO recommendations in 3 audit reports requesting legal determinations as follows:

- Audit of the Compensatory Time Claimed and Retirement Benefits Paid to Two Former Officials of the Commonwealth Ports Authority [Report No. AR-00-03 issued on July 20, 2000] - On December 24, 2002, OPA referred recommendation 1 of this audit report to the AGO requesting for a determination on the propriety of the Commonwealth Ports Authority's practice of making advance payments of unused annual leave and salary, instead of complying with the provision in the employment contracts that payments will be made upon contract expiration. In addition, the AGO was requested to review OPA's opinion regarding granting six designated officials 14 annual leave hours per pay period.
- Audit of the Monthly Subsistence Allowance Provided to Members of the Senate [Report No. AR-03-05 issued on August 6, 2003] - The AGO was requested to review and determine whether legislation should be introduced to grant the Senate authority for the subsistence allowance, to set the amount of the allowance, and to certify the allowance as a public purpose. OPA referred its concerns regarding the allowance to the AGO as OPA and the Senate are unable to reach an agreement to address the recommendation.

Potential Recovery of \$3.6 Million Hinges on Agencies' Actions

Recommendations in 8 audit reports identified potential recoveries due to unpaid rentals of land leases, uncollected labor processing fees, overpayments in professional services contracts and retirement benefits, and improper expenditures of public funds.

During its semi-annual follow-up process for the period covering July 2010 through December 2010, OPA received updates from the Department of Public Lands and the Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund on the status of recovery of funds.

Of the \$4,726,434 identified as potentially recoverable, \$1,054,605 has been partially recovered leaving a balance of \$3,671,829 still recoverable as of December 31, 2010 as shown in the following table.

	Report No. (Issue Date)	Particulars	Amount Recoverable	Status per Agency
1	AR-00-04 (11/22/00)	Board of Marianas Public Lands Authority - Collection of rentals from 8 quarry operators for six lease years	\$3,743,740	\$896,747 was recovered from seven quarry operators.
		from 1990 to 1995 totaling \$4,690,708, less \$946,968 write-off for one bankrupt quarry operator resulting in an amount recoverable of \$3,743,740.		Due to incomplete ledgers provided to OPA on 5/19/11, OPA was unable to ascertain total amount collected from Quarry Operator A and F as of May 2011.
2	AR-05-02 (6/6/05)	DOL - Audit of Controls Over the Nonresident Worker Application Process. Amount recoverable consists of uncollected fees of \$330,835 from Company A and \$181,575 from Company B.	512,410	The on-going investigation is nearly complete. The Secretary of Labor will keep OPA apprised of any new developments.
3	AR-00-03 (7/20/00)	CPA - NMIRF to recover improper payments to two former CPA officials for retirement benefits and compensatory time claimed.	126,730	Recoupment of one former CPA official's benefits will commence if and when re- employed by the government.
		Overpayment from one former CPA official totaling \$69,816.17 was fully recovered by NMIRF. Therefore, recovery of \$126,730 is reduced to \$56,913.83.		For the other former CPA official, NMIRF has fully recovered \$69,816.17 in overpayment for the period covering March 2008 through February 2010.
4		MPLA - Double payment of travel expenses and overpaid per diem allowances as of FY95 totaled \$115,862.33 as follows: Former Board Chairman, \$27,743.74 (principal plus interest); former Rota board member, \$71,289.14 (principal plus interest); and former Tinian board member \$16,829.45 (principal only).	174,308	
				Former Tinian Board

	Report No. (Issue Date)	Particulars	Amount Recoverable	Status per Agency
		Amount recoverable from the former Tinian Board Member was reduced to \$11,657 pursuant to a court order thereby reducing the recoverable balance to \$110,689.88. As of 10/28/04, \$11,657 was fully recovered from the former Tinian Board		Member: On 9/29/06, OPA was provided the former Tinian Board member's account ledger showing that the \$11,657 was fully paid as of 10/28/04.
		Member. Amount recoverable from the former Board Chairman as of 4/11/11 is \$51,257.41 (principal plus interest)		Former Board Chairman: \$5,925 of \$57,182.44 (P+I) was partially recovered as of 4/11/11;
		Principal \$14,110.00 Interest 43,072.44 \$57,182.44 Payments (5,925.00) Balance \$51,257.41		
		Amount recoverable from the former Rota Board member as of 6/24/11 is \$50, 668.08 (principal plus interest);		Former Rota Board Member: \$54,800 of \$105,468.08 (P+I) was partially recovered as of 6/24/11;
		Principal \$31,096.00 Interest 74,372.08 \$105,468.08 Payments (54,800.00) Balance Due \$50,668.08		
5	AR-97-05 (3/20/97)	CNMI - Promissory note for \$96,100 on overpayment of two professional services contracts (Contract nos. C40113 and C50108) covering the period October 1, 1991 to July 20, 1995.	96,100	Partial recovery of \$14,000 leaving a balance of \$81,900 still to be recovered.
6		DOF - Funds misused by the former Secretary of Finance from fiscal years 1995 to 1997.		\$1,125 partially recovered from the former Secretary of Finance.
		On 2/22/01, the Federal Court ordered the Secretary of Finance to pay \$56,462 in restitution.		
7	AR-97-05 (3/20/97)	CNMI - Overpayment to contractors on four professional services contracts (Contract nos. C40276, C50083, C50132 & C50208) audited covering the period October 1, 1991 to July 20, 1995.	15,079	DOF is consulting with AGO as to the appropriate course of action(s) that can be taken considering lack of supporting documents and the statute of limitations on these claims.
		Overpayments on C40276 totaling \$10,000 was fully recovered by OMB. Therefore, recovery of \$25,079 is reduced to \$15,079.		

	Report No. (Issue Date)	Particulars	Amount Recoverable	Status per Agency
8	AR-03-04 (3/10/03)	AGIU - Recovery of overpayments of per diem from three travelers involved.	1,605 Partial recovery of \$53	
	Total Amoun	t Recoverable	\$4,726,434	
	Less: Partial	ess: Partial Recoveries (1,054,605)		
Balance of Amount Recoverable as of 12/31/10			\$3,671,829	

Executive Branch Offices

Office of the Attorney General Department of Finance Department of Public Lands Department of Public Safety

Office of the Attorney General

Report No. AR-97-06 issued April 23, 1997 Rota Health Center (RHC) Director's Claims Against the RHC

Date(s) of followup lett	er(s) sen	 6/23/97, 7/16/97, 2/2/98, 9/17/98 (DOF), 9/21/98 (RMO), 11/23/99 (DOF), 11/29/99 (RMO), 7/6/00 (RMO), 7/13/00 (DOF), 1/17/01 (Re-directed to AGO), 1/19/01 (DOF), 8/21/01 (AGO) (DOF), 2/14/02 (AGO), 3/12/02 (DOF), 8/12/02 (DOF) (AGO), 10/3/02 (AGO), 2/14/03 (AGO), 2/18/03 (DOF), 8/4/03 (DOF) (AGO), 10/27/03 (AGO), 4/13/04 (AGO), 4/14/04 (DOF), 9/21/04 (AGO), 9/28/04 (DOF), 2/15/05 (AGO) (DOF), 8/9/05 (AGO), 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/7/07, 9/4/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11 			
Date(s) of response lette	er(s) rece	 Sived : 6/16/97 (RMO), 2/24/98 (RMO), 7/19/00 (RMO), 11/17/00 (DOF), 11/21/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on DOF), 11/27/00 (DOF), 3/9/01 (AGO), 9/18/01 (DOF), 2/12/02 (meeting with AGO), 3/27/02 (DOF request for extension), 4/12/02 (DOF), 3/17/03 (DOF request for extension), 9/15/03 (AGO), 10/31/03 (AGO), 4/30/04 (AGO), 10/25/04 (AGO), 3/7/05 (DOF request for extension), 3/18/05 (DOF), 9/1/05 (AGO), 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO) 			
Recommendation I	:	<i>Original:</i> The Secretary of Finance should require the current Director of RHC to return the amount of \$4,282.02 taken from RHC funds.			
		As Revised and Redirected to AGO: Determine appropriate legal action to be taken to recover from the current Director of RHC the \$4,282.02 taken from RHC funds.			
Agency to Act	:	Department of Finance - Redirected to the Attorney General's Office			
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent			
Agency Response	:	During the 11/21/00 meeting with the Coordinating Group, the DOF Secretary provided OPA a bill of collection dated 11/17/00 for \$4,982.02 sent to the current Director of RHC which consists of \$4,282.02 taken from RHC funds and \$700 reimbursement for the cost of the washing machine. On 11/27/00, DOF referred the matter to the AGO to possibly pursue collection through legal proceedings. In his 3/9/01 response, the Acting Attorney General requested a copy of the report for further review purposes.			
		During a meeting on 2/12/02, AGO informed OPA that the Statute of Limitations has passed regarding this recommendation. In a follow-up letter dated 2/14/02, OPA requested AGO to provide a letter documenting the applicability of the Statute of Limitations to formally close this recommendation.			
		In his response dated $9/15/03$, the Acting Attorney General stated that a search of their files reveal that there are no records of this case in the AGO. On $10/27/03$, OPA provided AGO with a copy of the audit report on this case referral and requested the Acting Attorney General to review the matter on this case and determine (1) whether the statute of limitations bars an action, and (2) if it is a matter that the AGO will pursue. On $10/31/03$, the Acting Attorney General informed OPA that the CNMI's claim in this matter is potentially in the public interest, thereby removing the statute of limitations as a defense in this case insofar as civil liability is concerned. He further stated that AGO will further evaluate whether a viable suit exists. Based on the $10/31/03$ response, OPA will forward to the AGO the file on this referral case for further evaluation.			
		In his 4/30/04 response, the Deputy Attorney General stated that AGO has adopted a policy to pursue all such cases until the CNMI Supreme Court rules to the contrary.			

		In his $10/25/04$ response, the Acting Attorney General informed OPA that although this referral case was previously closed on $9/5/02$, the AGO plans to contact OPA for verification of records.
		In her letter response dated $9/1/05$, the Attorney General stated that there has been no change to the development of this case.
		On 6/2/08, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO Civil Division will review the file and decide whether this is an appropriate case to test neverending statue of limitation for the sovereignty.
		On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will conduct further review and will provide a letter documenting AGO's decision on the matter.
Additional Information or Action Required	:	AGO should inform OPA whether it will pursue legal action for recovery.
Recommendation 4	:	<i>Original</i> : The Secretary of Finance should deny the claim of the Director of RHC for reimbursement of the cost of the washing machine.
		As Revised and Redirected to AGO: Determine appropriate legal action to be taken to recover the \$700 reimbursement for the cost of the washing machine.
Agency to Act	:	Department of Finance - Redirected to the Attorney General's Office
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	:	During the 11/21/00 meeting with the Coordinating Group, the DOF Secretary provided OPA a bill of collection dated 11/17/00 for \$4,982.02 sent to the current Director of RHC which consists of \$4,282.02 taken from RHC funds and \$700 reimbursement for the cost of the washing machine. On 11/27/00, DOF referred the matter to the AGO to possibly pursue collection through legal proceedings. In his 3/9/01 response, the Acting Attorney General requested a copy of the report for further review purposes.
		During a meeting on 2/12/02, AGO informed OPA that the Statute of Limitations has passed on this recommendation. In a follow-up letter dated 2/14/02, OPA requested AGO to provide a letter documenting the applicability of the Statute of Limitations to formally close this recommendation.
		In his response dated $9/15/03$, the Acting Attorney General stated that a search of their files reveal that there are no records of this case in the AGO. On $10/27/03$, OPA provided AGO with a copy of the audit report on this case referral and requested the Acting Attorney General to review the matter on this case and determine (1) whether the statute of limitations bars an action, and (2) if it is a matter that the AGO will pursue. On $10/31/03$, the Acting Attorney General informed OPA that the CNMI's claim in this matter is potentially in the public interest, thereby removing the statute of limitations as a defense in this case insofar as civil liability is concerned. He further stated that AGO will further evaluate whether a viable suit exists. Based on the $10/31/03$ response, OPA will forward to the AGO the file on this referral case for further evaluation.
		In his 4/30/04 response, the Deputy Attorney General stated that AGO has adopted a policy to pursue all such cases until the CNMI Supreme Court rules to the contrary.
		In his $10/25/04$ response, the Acting Attorney General informed OPA that although this referral case was closed on $9/5/02$, the AGO plans to contact OPA for verification of records.
		In her letter response dated $9/1/05$, the Attorney General stated that there has been no change to the development of this case.

On 6/2/08, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised
OPA that AGO Civil Division will review the file and decide whether this is an
appropriate case to test neverending statute of limitation for the sovereignty.On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised
OPA that AGO will conduct further review and will provide a letter documenting
AGO's decision on the matter.Additional Information
or Action RequiredAGO should inform OPA whether it will pursue legal action for recovery.

Report No. AR-98-06 issued December 14, 1998 Department of Finance Audit of Misuse of Funds by the Former Secretary of Finance Fiscal Years 1995, 1996, and 1997

Date(s) of followup lette	r(s) sen	 11/23/99 (DOF), 1/26/99, 1/5/00 (AGO), 1/17/01 (AGO), 8/21/01 (AGO), 2/14/02 (AGO), 8/12/02 (DOF) (AGO), 10/3/02 (AGO), 2/14/03 (AGO), 2/18/03 (DOF), 8/4/03 (DOF) (AGO), 4/13/04 (AGO), 4/14/04 (DOF), 9/21/04 (AGO), 9/28/04 (DOF), 2/15/05 (DOF) (AGO), 8/8/05 (DOF), 8/9/05 (AGO), 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/7/07, 9/4/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11 		
Date(s) of response lette	r(s) rece	 tived : 1/20/00 (AGO), 3/9/01 (AGO), 2/12/02 (meeting with AGO), 3/17/03 (DOF request for extension), 9/15/03 (AGO), 4/30/04 (AGO), 10/25/04 (AGO), 3/7/05 (DOF request for extension), 3/18/05 (DOF), 3/31/05 (DOF), 9/1/05 (AGO), 4/18/06 (DOF), 9/11/06 (AGO), 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO) 		
Recommendation I	:	<i>As Revised</i> : DOF should provide OPA documents showing recovery of the \$56,461.98 in restitution on the federal case. In addition, AGO should take further legal action against the former Secretary for misappropriation and improper expenditure of public funds.		
Agency to Act	:	Department of Finance / Attorney General's Office		
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent		
Agency Response	:	On 2/22/01, the Federal Court sentenced the former Finance Secretary to 33 months imprisonment and ordered payment of \$56,461.98 in restitution to the cour for disbursement to the CNMI Department of Finance.		
		In his 3/9/01 response, the Acting Attorney General stated that AGO waited until the federal crime case concluded since one count in the case was covered by AGO's case. The former Secretary was ordered to pay restitution in the federal case, thereby reducing the maximum potential judgment. AGO will make a Motion for Summary Judgment and will still seek a judgment of approximately \$75,000 against the former Secretary of Finance for misappropriation and improper expenditure of public funds.		
		During the 2/12/02 meeting, AGO informed OPA that it will file a Motion for Summary Judgement in the civil action filed against the former Secretary of Finance. In a follow-up letter to AGO dated 2/14/02, OPA compiled a list of its referrals for AGO to prioritize for action. The list included this recommendation.		
		In his response dated 9/15/03, the Acting Attorney General stated that this case has been forwarded to the AGO's collection team (an in house team assembled to collect debts owed the government that are over the statutory limit for small claims) and the matter is still pending.		
		In his 10/25/04 response, the Acting Attorney General stated that the civil case		

		against the defendant is still pending for the recovery of funds.
		In a response letter dated 3/18/05, the Secretary of Finance stated that the defendant has not made any payments towards his restitution obligation to the CNMI government to date. On 3/31/05, OPA was provided a copy of the letter received from the U.S. Attorney of the District of Guam to the Secretary of Finance informing him that the former Secretary of Finance's assigned U.S. Probation Officer will be setting a payment schedule to dispose of his restitution obligation after July 2005. The U.S. Attorney of the District of Guam will be notifying the Secretary of Finance once the payment plan is established.
		In her letter response dated $9/1/05$, the Attorney General stated that there has been no change to the development of this case.
		In his letter response dated 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance provided OPA with a copy of the letter from the US Attorney's District Office on Guam advising OPA of the payment plan the former secretary will be making to the Government. OPA was also provided with a summary of all payments made by the former secretary owed to the government to date. The US Attorney's District Office has been notified that the former secretary has agreed to make monthly payments in the amount of \$100 effective 8/1/05. Additionally, the letter stated that a balance still remains towards the former secretary's imposed assessment fee and that any payments will first be applied to it until it is paid off.
		In her response dated 9/11/06, the Assistant Attorney General informed OPA that the former Secretary of Finance has paid \$1,125 towards his restitution obligation as of August 2006. Therefore, a balance of \$55,336.98 is still recoverable from the former Secretary of Finance.
		On 6/2/08, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that the AGO Civil Division will conduct a review on the status of the civil case against the former Secretary of Finance. OPA will provide AGO a copy of the audit report.
		On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will conduct further review and will provide a letter documenting AGO's decision on the matter.
or Action Required :	Additional Information or Action Required :	DOF should provide OPA proof of collection of the \$56,461.98 restitution in the federal case. In addition, AGO should also provide OPA with copies of any judgement issued.

Report No. AR-99-02 issued March 29, 1999 Verification of Expenses and Review of Selected Administrative Practices of the Washington Representative's Office Fiscal Years 1995 and 1996

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent :	11/29/99 (DOF), 7/11/00, 1/24/01, 8/22/01, 3/4/02, 8/9/02, 2/18/03, 8/4/03, 4/14/04, 9/28/04, 2/15/05, 8/8/05, 3/13/06, 4/28/06 (Re-directed to AGO), 9/26/06 (AGO), 2/7/07, 9/4/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 12/10/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11		
Date(s) of response letter(s) received :	10/6/99, 9/25/01, 3/20/02, 8/12/02, 8/21/02, 3/17/03 (DOF request for extension), 3/7/05 (DOF request for extension), 3/18/05 (DOF), 3/29/06 (DOF request for extension), 4/18/06 (DOF), 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO)		
outstanding	The Washington Representative should continue his efforts to collect the g loans until such time as he is assured that any further collection efforts utile. At that time, the loan documentation should be turned over to the		

Department of Finance in Saipan for further action. If the obligations are

		collected, the amount should be returned to the CNMI General Fund.			
		As Revised and Redirected to DOF: DOF should determine the current status of the loan receivable from the former Resident Representative.			
		As Revised and Redirected to AGO: AGO should determine appropriate legal action to be taken to recover the \$2,200 reimbursement for the loan receivable from the former Resident Representative.			
Agency to Act	:	Washington Representative's Office - Redirected to the Attorney General's Office			
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent			
Agency Response	:	In the $9/25/01$ response from WRO, it was stated that the $$1,080$ loan was fully paid. OPA was provided copies of the official cash receipt and a check for $$50.25$ evidencing final payment representing the balance on the employee loan of $$1,080$. This portion of the recommendation pertaining to the $$1,080$ employee loan is considered closed.			
		For the \$2,500 loan, the former Resident Representative has entered into an agreement with DOF to make monthly payments in the amount of \$100. The Resident Representative has made three payments totaling \$300 leaving a balance still collectible of \$2,200. OPA was provided copies of the official receipts and checks evidencing payments made of \$300 and a copy of the promissory note for the \$2,500 loan.			
		In his 3/20/02 response, the current Resident Representative sought the advice of OPA on how to go about collecting the loan made by the former Resident Representative.			
		In his $8/12/02$ response, the Resident Representative suggested that OPA redirect the recommendation to DOF as his office is not involved in the repayment of the loan. On $8/28/02$, OPA issued a letter to the Secretary of Finance requesting the current status of the loan receivable.			
		In his letter dated 3/18/05, the Secretary of Finance stated that the Director of Finance and Accounting had issued a letter to the former Washington Representative on 2/7/03 requesting verification on the total amount already paid pursuant to the audit. DOF has not received a response from the former Washington Representative. DOF will be sending another letter concerning the outstanding balance. DOF will be updating OPA on the status of the outstanding balance upon receipt of a response to their latest letter.			
		In his letter response dated $4/18/06$, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that the current balance of the loan receivable is \$2,200. He stated that they have not received any additional payments from the former Washington Representative since their last update. The Secretary provided OPA with a copy of the last notice sent out regarding this matter. On $4/28/06$, OPA forwarded this to the AGO requesting that they pursue recovery of the remaining balance due from the former Washington Representative.			
		On 6/2/08, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that the AGO will follow-up with the Secretary of Finance to see if payments have been made.			
		On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will send a letter to the former Washington Representative concerning the outstanding balance. On 12/10/09, OPA provided AGO a copy of OPA's Audit Report AR-99-05, a copy of the promissory note for the \$2,500 loan, and copies of correspondences received pertaining to the former Washington Representative.			
Additional Information or Action Required	n :	The AGO should inform OPA of their progress in their attempt to recover the remaining balance due from the former Washington Representative.			

Report No. AR-99-03 issued April 7, 1999 Audit of Consultant's Contract Fiscal Year 1997

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent		: 1/5/00 (AGO), 7/12/00, 1/17/01, 8/21/01, 8/12/02, 10/3/02, 2/14/03, 8/4/03, 10/27/03, 4/13/04, 9/21/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/7/07, 9/4/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11		
Date(s) of response letter	r(s) received	: 4/9/99 (TMO), 1/20/00 (AGO), 11/7/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on AGO), 3/9/01 (AGO), 12/31/01, 2/12/02 (meeting with AGO), 9/15/03 (AGO), 10/31/03, 4/30/04, 10/25/04, 9/1/05, 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO)		
Recommendation I	to in TCO TCO As A	Original - The Mayor of Tinian and Aguiguan should request the Attorney Gene to institute an action to declare the Consulting Service Agreement between TCGCC and the consultant null and void, and to recover all amounts paid by TCGCC to the consultant and the consultant's son. As Redirected to AGO - Institute legal action to declare the Consulting Service Agreement between TCGCC and the consultant null and void, and to recover all amounts paid by TCGCC to the consultant and the consultant son.		
Agency to Act	: Atte	orney General's Office		
Status	: Res	solved - Delinquent		
Agency Response		his 3/9/01 response, the Acting Attorney General informed OPA that re is an ongoing investigation related to the facts and circumstances of this tter.		
	ove brea to r	December 31, 2001, civil action was filed against the consultant to recover rpayment of \$543,375 on grounds of unjust enrichment, conversion, fraud and ach of fiduciary duty. Civil action was also filed against three other individuals ecover \$195,971 for breach of fiduciary trust. Recoverable amounts totaled 9,346.		
	OP.	meeting on $2/12/02$, this recommendation was included in the list provided by A to AGO pertaining to outstanding matters requiring specific actions to be en by AGO.		
	thei	his response dated $9/15/03$, the Acting Attorney General stated that a search of ir files reveal that there are no records of this case in the AGO. On $10/27/03$, A provided AGO with a copy of the audit report on this case referral.		
	On 4/30/04, the Deputy Attorney General informed OPA that a request for Declaratory and Injunctive relief is currently pending in the CNMI Superior Court			
	the	his response dated 10/25/04, the Acting Attorney General informed OPA that AGO is researching legal issues that have arisen in the pending suit and will ow-up on this matter with TCGCC's legal counsel.		
	no o that was Gar	her letter response dated $9/1/05$, the Attorney General stated that there has been change to the development of this case. The Attorney General further stated a memo from an Acting Attorney General dated $3/23/05$ indicated that DOF is contacted in January 2005 requesting documentation of payments made to the ning Commission and payments made to other entities. She also stated that the e is still pending as the DOF is still trying to locate the documents.		
	OP.	6/2/08 OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised A that the AGO Civil Division will check to see if a civil case has been filed inst the consultant to recover the overpayment and the three other individuals		

for breach of fiduciary trust.

On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will conduct further review and will provide a letter documenting AGO's decision on the matter.

Additional Information or Action Required :

The AGO should inform OPA the results of its review.

Report No. AR-99-04 issued October 28, 1999 Executive Branch of the CNMI Government Audit of Professional Service Contracts From October 1, 1995 to May 4, 1998

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent			:	11/23/99 (DOF), 11/26/99 (TMO), 1/5/00 (AGO), 7/12/00 (AGO), 7/13/00 (DOF), 1/17/01 (AGO), 1/19/01 (DOF), 8/21/01 (DOF) (AGO), 2/14/02 (AGO), 3/12/02 (DOF), 8/12/02 (DOF) (AGO), 10/3/02 (AGO), 2/14/03 (AGO), 2/18/03 (DOF), 8/4/03 (DOF) (AGO), 4/14/04 (DOF), 9/28/04 (DOF), 2/15/05 (DOF), 8/9/05 (Re-directed to AGO), 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/7/07, 9/4/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) received				1/12/00 (GOV), 1/20/00 (AGO), 2/18/00 (DOF), 11/7/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on AGO), 11/9/00 (DOF), 11/19/00 (DOF), 11/21/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on DOF), 1/14/01 (DOF), 3/9/01 (AGO), 5/01 (DOF), 10/01/01 (DOF), 2/12/02 (meeting with AGO), 3/27/02 (DOF request for extension), 4/12/02 (DOF), 3/17/03 (DOF request for extension), 9/15/03 (AGO), 10/21/03 (AGO), 10/22/03 (AGO), 3/7/05 (DOF request for extension), 3/18/05 (DOF), 9/1/05 (AGO), 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO)
Recommendation 7	:	 Original: Issue a memorandum instructing the DOF - Accounting Section to recover the nearly \$1.49 million in overpayments by requesting contractors to return the amounts overpaid. Of these amounts, \$87,096.02 is immediately recoverable and \$1,400,955.91 is recoverable unless adequately supported by the contractors. If a contractor refuses to cooperate or repay the funds, the matter should be referred to the AGO for legal action. Of the \$87,096.02 which is immediately recoverable: \$61,794.07 has already been recovered (C50305, C60114 and C60142); \$6,000 will not be pursued (C60334); and \$11,000 has been redirected to the AGO leaving a balance of \$8,301.95 immediately recoverable [\$1,320.94 for C60196, \$2,426.25 for C70156, \$3,619.66 for C50388, and \$935 for C60355]. Of the \$1,400,955.91 recoverable unless adequately supported, \$94,156.41 was substantiated by invoices and receipts by the contractor for C60114 and C50305 leaving a balance of \$1,306,799.50 still recoverable unless adequately supported [\$1,199,316.56 for C50388 and 31075-OC; \$65,182.12 for C60323, C60373, C70091, C70179 and 300082-OC; and \$42,300.82 for C60196]. (Note: No further action required for contract nos. C50305, C60114, C60142, C70180, C70149, C60334). As Revised and Redirected to AGO: Determine appropriate legal action to be taken to recover the remaining \$8,301.95 that is immediately recoverable and the remaining 		
Agency to Act	:	Department o	of F	inance - Redirected to the Attorney General's Office
Status	:	Resolved - De	elin	quent
Agency Response	:			provided OPA with copies of bills for collection dated 9/1/99 for the cts: Contract No. C60196 for \$1,320.94; Contract No. C70156 for

\$2,426.25; Contract No. C50388 for \$1,619.66 (additional billing for \$2,000 still to be provided).

	In the 10/1/01 response by DOF, the Secretary of Finance stated that the contractor for Contract no. C60355 has a claim against the CNMI for \$18,000. The CNMI refuses to pay the contractor's claim for lack of a change order. DOF is planning to charge the \$935 against the amount claimed by the contractor. For Contract no. C50388, DOF claims that the additional billing of \$2,000 to be provided to OPA is already included in the \$1.4 million which is separately recoverable. OPA looked into DOF's claim and ascertained that the \$2,000 was not included in the \$1.4 million. In addition, DOF is verifying all documents which were identified in the \$1.4 million as recoverable unless adequately supported. DOF provided OPA letters to three contractors requesting supporting documents for invoice under Contract nos. C50388, C31075, C60323, C60373, C70091, C70179, 300082-OC and C60196. DOF will inform OPA of any responses.					
	In his 4/12/02 response, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that DOF has sent out notices to four contractors. One contractor responded. Two of the notices were returned undelivered and one contractor replied asking for a more reasonable request given the amount of information and length of time that has elapsed.					
	On 3/18/05, the Secretary of Finance provided OPA a copy of the invoices and receipts to substantiate payments made for C60114 and C50305 totaling \$94,156.41. Therefore, of the \$1,400,955.91 that is recoverable unless adequately supported, \$1,306,799.50 from three contractors remain to be recovered. The Secretary of Finance is requesting that OPA refer the remaining \$8,301.95 that is immediately recoverable and the remaining \$1,306,799.50 that is recoverable unless adequately supported to AGO given the time lapsed since the issuance of the bills for collections and letters requesting for supporting documents from the contractors with no response to date. On 6/2/05, OPA referred this matter to the AGO for their review and legal action.					
	In her letter response dated $9/1/05$, the Attorney General stated that there has been no change to the development of this case.					
	On 6/2/08 OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General to confirm an existing 99' accord and settlement he discovered in 2006. OPA will provide AGO a copy of the audit report.					
	On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will conduct further review and will provide a letter documenting AGO's decision on the matter.					
Additional Information or Action Required :	AGO should inform OPA of the results of legal actions taken to pursue collection of the remaining \$8,301.95 that is immediately recoverable and the remaining \$1,306,799.50 that is recoverable unless adequately supported.					

Report No. AR-00-01 issued April 13, 2000 Audit of the Land Survey Contract for the Tinian Road Resurfacing Project Fiscal Year 1997

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent	:	7/3/00 (DPW), 7/12/00 (AGO), 7/13/00 (DOF), 1/17/01 (Re- directed to AGO), 8/21/01, 2/14/02, 8/12/02, 10/3/02, 2/14/03, 8/4/03, 4/13/04, 9/21/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/7/07, 9/4/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) received	:	5/10/00 (DPW), 11/7/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on AGO), 12/7/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on DOF), 3/9/01 (AGO), 2/12/02 (meeting with AGO), 9/15/03 (AGO), 4/30/04, 10/25/04, 9/1/05, 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO)

Recommendation 5	:	 Original: DOF should continue to take necessary steps to recover the \$164,534 improper payments made to the contractor, unless restitution to the CNMI Government is made in the Federal Court mail fraud case. Recovery efforts should be coordinated with the Attorney General's Office. In implementing this recommendation, recovery of the whole contract price of \$229,438 on the basis that the contract was invalid may be undertaken in lieu of the approach taken above where recovery would be based on the overpayments made to the contractor. As Redirected to AGO: AGO should continue to take necessary steps to recover the \$164,534 improper payments made to the contractor, unless restitution to the CNMI Government is made in the Federal Court mail fraud case. Recovery efforts should be coordinated with the Attorney General's Office. In implementing this recommendation, recovery of the whole contract price of \$229,438 on the basis that the contract was invalid may be undertaken in lieu of the approach taken above where recovery would be based on the overpayments made to the contract or.
		\$100,000 in restitution imposed by the United States District Court's sentence imposed on the contractor.
Agency to Act	:	Department of Finance - Redirected to the Attorney General's Office
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	:	The DOF Secretary requested AGO's assistance in getting information on the Federal Court case involving the contract. The DOF Secretary stated that her office will proceed with the recommendation after the Federal Court's decision.
		During the 12/7/00 meeting with the Coordinating Group, it was agreed that this recommendation should be redirected to the AGO. In its 3/9/01 response, the Acting Attorney General stated that no further action will be taken on this matter. Contact has been made with the United States Probation Department requesting that restitution from the contractor be ordered at sentencing.
		During the 2/12/02 meeting, AGO informed OPA that it had already requested the United States Probation Department to recommend that restitution be required from the contractor and that it is awaiting sentencing decision.
		On 4/2/02, the United States (US) District Court's sentence imposed on the contractor was 8 months imprisonment and restitution of \$100,000.
		In his response date $9/15/03$, the Acting Attorney General stated that this case was assigned to an Assistant Attorney General on $5/21/03$ and is pending further legal analysis.
		In his response dated 10/25/04, the Acting Attorney General stated that the enforcement of the federal court order of restitution should be the responsibility of the U.S. Attorney's Office. Accordingly, the AGO plans to request enforcement by that office.
		In her letter response dated $9/1/05$, the Attorney General stated that there has been no change to the development of this case.
		On 6/2/08, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that the AGO will review its internal file to confirm the request made to the US Attorney to enforce the federal court order of restitution.
		On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will follow-up with the federal court regarding the payment of the restitution and will provide OPA with the results of their follow-up.
Additional Information or Action Required	n :	AGO should inform OPA of the results of its request to enforce payment of the restitution ordered by the federal court.

Report No. AR-00-03 issued July 20, 2000 Commonwealth Ports Authority Audit of the Compensatory Time Claimed and Retirement Benefits Paid to Two Former Officials of the CPA

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent			1/17/01 (CPA), 1/19/01 (NMIRF), 8/20/01 (CPA), 8/21/01 (NMIRF), 3/5/02 (CPA) (NMIRF), 8/9/02 (CPA) (NMIRF), 8/12/02 (AGO), 10/3/02 (AGO), 12/24/02 (AGO), 2/14/03 (AGO) (CPA), 2/18/03 (NMIRF), 8/4/03 (NMIRF) (AGO), 4/13/04 (AGO), 4/16/04 (NMIRF), 9/21/04 (AGO), 9/28/04 (NMIRF), 2/15/05 (AGO) (NMIRF), 8/9/05 (AGO), (NMIRF), 3/10/06 (AGO) (NMIRF), 9/26/06 (AGO), 2/7/07 (AGO), 9/4/07 (AGO), 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter	(s) rece	ived :	8/31/01 (meeting with CPA), 10/4/01 (NMIRF letter of request for extension to respond until 11/3/01), 10/12/01 (CPA), 1/29/01, 2/12/02 (meeting with AGO), 8/12/02 (CPA request for extension), 8/30/02 (CPA), 9/25/02 (CPA), 4/2/03 (NMIRF), 7/22/03 (NMIRF), 9/15/03 (AGO), 4/30/04 (AGO), 10/25/04 (AGO), 3/22/05 (NMIRF), 9/1/05 (AGO), 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO)
Recommendation I	:	regulations th Authority Ac consistent wi CNMI Person (executive, ac comptime, an payperiod, or	e CPA Board of Directors should adopt personnel rules and that are: (a) within the authority granted by the Commonwealth Ports t and other CNMI laws such as the Compensation Adjustment Act, (b) th and governed by the same principles of fairness and equality as the mel Regulations, thereby eliminating authorization for FLSA-exempt diministrative and professional) officials and employees to earn d the granting to six designated officials of 14 annual leave hours per 360 hours per year.
		granting six d	<i>l</i> : The AGO should review the opinion provided by OPA regarding lesignated officials 14 annual leave hours per pay period and take f determined necessary.
Agency to Act	:	Commonwea	lth Ports Authority - Redirected to the Attorney General's Office
Status	:	Open - Delin	quent
Agency Response	:	Recommenda and regulatio stated that CI of the Comm	esponse dated 5/12/00, the CPA Board Chairman disagreed with atton 1. He believed that CPA is not required or obliged to adopt rules ns similar or identical to the CNMI Personnel Regulations. He also PA's system of compensation is generally commensurate with the rest onwealth government. He further said that the granting of 14 hours per pay period to designated officials is a matter of personnel policy.
		conclusion th similar, if not CPA personn decide. The F	Ol response, the CPA Executive Director also disagreed with OPA's at CPA is required to adopt personnel rules and regulations that are identical, to the CNMI's PSSRR. He stated that matters involving el regulations, policies and benefits are strictly for the CPA board to Executive Director, suggested that the legislature could always review d make recommendations to CPA to enact remedial legislation.
		Director has addressed OF officials and proposed Per annual leave employees of Islands." Ho	ed CPA Personnel Rules and Regulations, the CPA Executive proposed provisions enumerated under Sections 3.05 and 3.07 which A's recommendation of eliminating the grant to FLSA-exempt employees to earn overtime or comptime. Also, Section 5.02 of the sonnel Rules and Regulations states that "Employees shall accumulate at the same rate as such leave time would be accumulated by The Government of the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas wever, upon inquiry to the CPA Office Manager, OPA learned that nted the 14 annual leave hours for the last personnel contract

executed. The proposed Personnel Rules and Regulations were published in the Commonwealth Register on January 29, 2002 for review and comments by interested parties until February 29, 2002.

In his response dated 8/30/02, the CPA Executive Director informed OPA that CPA's Personnel Rules and Regulations were adopted on August 8, 2002 and were published in the Commonwealth Register. He also stated that CPA's Personnel Rules and Regulations are similar to the PSSRR in terms of personnel regulations, benefits and policies', however, the CPA Board decided to continue the accrual of 14 hours annual leave bi-weekly for several of its key management employees. On 9/16/02, OPA requested CPA for additional information on the matter.

		Based on additional information CPA provided to OPA on 9/25/02, OPA conducted an analysis of the various CPA positions that are currently receiving the 14 hours annual leave benefit per pay period. Based on the analysis, OPA concluded that the positions of the deputy director, deputy comptroller, and the staff engineer may not be entitled to 14 hours annual leave per pay period because they are not encompassed in the exception provided by 2 CMC §2122(n) and are not considered to be "specialists for which no comparable position exist in the Commonwealth". Thus, the compensation, wages, and salary scales of these positions "shall be commensurate with those paid by the Commonwealth requiring comparable education, training, or experience." Based on the analysis, OPA also concluded that while certain positions such as the executive director, comptroller and ports managers, are able to receive compensations non-commensurate "with those paid by the Commonwealth requiring comparable education", OPA maintains its concerns regarding the extension of such generous leave benefits and whether such compensation is truly "reasonable related to the operations of the government" as set forth in Article X, Section 1 of the NMI Constitution, Public Laws 12-2 and 11-84. On 12/24/02, OPA forwarded this information to the AGO for review of the legal issues identified and action if determined necessary.
		In his response dated 9/15/03, the Acting Attorney General stated that this case has been assigned to an Assistant Attorney General for review.
		On 4/30/04, the Deputy Attorney General informed OPA that the Chief of the Civil Division is currently evaluating this case and expects to have its analysis and review completed by June 30, 2004.
		The Acting Attorney General's response dated 10/25/04 did not address this recommendation.
		In her letter response dated $9/1/05$, the Attorney General stated that there has been no change to the development of this case.
		In a meeting with the Attorney General on 6/2/08, OPA was advised that the AGO will conduct further review of the matter. OPA will provide AGO a copy of the audit report.
		On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will conduct further review and will provide a letter documenting AGO's decision on the matter.
Additional Information or Action Required	:	The AGO should provide OPA the results of its review on the matter.
Recommendation 5	:	Original: The CPA Board of Directors should instruct the CPA Accounting Department to stop the practice of making advance payments of unused annual leave and salary, and comply with the provision in the employment contracts that payments will be made upon contract expiration. In the case of retiring employees not covered by employment contracts, payment should be made on the next payday following the termination of employment or on payroll due dates. As Redirected: The AGO should provide its legal opinion on the propriety of CPA's practice of making advance payments of unused annual leave and salary, instead of complying with the provision in the employment contracts that payments will be made upon contract expiration. In the case of retiring employees not covered by employment contracts, payment should be made on the next payday

		following the termination of employment or on payroll due dates.
Agency to Act	:	Commonwealth Ports Authority - Redirected to the Attorney General's Office
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	:	In his 10/12/01 response, the CPA Executive Director disagreed with the recommendation and stated that there is nothing illegal about making advanced leave payments for annual leave that has already accrued or advancing a salary that an employee has already earned. He further stated that this is a matter that rests exclusively with the CPA Board.
		In a meeting on 2/12/02, this recommendation was included in the list provided by OPA to AGO pertaining to outstanding matters requiring AGO's legal opinion.
		In his response dated 9/15/03, the Acting Attorney General stated that this case has been assigned to an Assistant Attorney General for review.
		On 4/30/04, the Deputy Attorney General informed OPA that the Chief of the Civil Division is currently evaluating this case and expects to have its analysis and review completed by June 30, 2004.
		The Acting Attorney General's response dated 10/25/04 did not address this recommendation.
		In her letter response dated $9/1/05$, the Attorney General stated that there has been no change to the development of this case.
		In a meeting with the Attorney General on 6/2/08, OPA was advised that the AGO will conduct further review of the matter. OPA will provide AGO a copy of the audit report.
Additional Information		On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will not pursue this issue and will provide a letter documenting AGO's decision on the matter.
or Action Required	:	AGO should provide OPA the results of its review on the matter.

Report No. AR-02-02 issued September 11, 2002 Tinian Casino Gaming Control Commission Audit of Travel Transactions Fiscal Years 1996 - 2001

Date(s) of followup letter	r(s) sen	: 3/5/02, 8/9/02, 2/18/03, 8/4/03, 4/13/04, 9/21/04 (Re-directed to AGO), 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/7/07, 9/4/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter	(s) rece	ved : 7/22/03, 6/17/04 (TCGCC), 10/25/04 (AGO), 9/1/05 (AGO), 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO)
Recommendation I	:	<i>Original</i> - Recover advances totaling \$406,925 (Appendix A) from the commissioners, officials, and employees unless convincing evidence is submitted showing otherwise. If the commissioners, officials, and employees refuse to repay the funds, the Chairman should request the Attorney General's Office to take legal action against the commissioners, officials, and employees to recover the amount of advances outstanding. <i>As re-directed to the AGO</i> - Institute legal action against the commissioners, officials, and employees to recover the amount of advances outstanding.
Agency to Act	:	Tinian Casino Gaming Control Commission - Redirected to the Attorney General's Office
Status	:	Open - Delinquent

Agency Response : The table on page 4 of the report presents five types of exceptions noted and the potentially recoverable amount. The following information or actions are required for each exception in order to consider the recommendation closed:

- 1. No travel voucher submitted \$107,414 the Tinian Casino Gaming Control Commission (TCGCC) should present travel vouchers and documents to support claimed expenses. Otherwise, it should collect the advances and present evidence of collection from the traveler such as official receipts or, if applicable, evidence of payroll deduction.
- 2. Insufficient supporting documentation \$247,278 TCGCC should present valid documents to support claimed expenses. Otherwise, it should collect the advances and present evidence of collection from the traveler such as official receipts or, if applicable, evidence of payroll deduction.

After the exit briefing conducted on August 14, 2002, certain travelers provided copies of documents evidencing that official trips were performed. Although these documents had not been timely submitted, most of them adequately documented claimed expenses and would reduce exception no. 2 by \$14,672.

3. Unallowable discretionary fund expenses - \$15,829 - TCGCC should present evidence of collection from the traveler such as official receipts or, if applicable, evidence of payroll deduction.

Two travelers concurred that they should pay TCGCC for personal expenses cited by OPA.

- 4. Improper CNMI advances \$26,808 TCGCC should present evidence of collection from the traveler such as official receipts or, if applicable, evidence of payroll deduction.
- 5. Excessive Per diem \$9,596 TCGCC should present proof of collection from the traveler such as official receipts or, if applicable, evidence of payroll deduction.

On August 16, 2002, a traveler provided OPA a copy of official receipt for payment of \$75 excess per diem.

In his response dated 6/17/04, the Chief Legal Counsel for TCGCC stated that TCGCC had contacted certain commissioners, officials and employees about the allegations in the audit report and was unable to settle their accounts consistent with the requirements of the recommendation. However, they have followed the recommendation made by OPA and has referred this matter to the AGO for "appropriate action". Although no specific referral letter was issued to the AGO, one of the Assistant Attorney General acknowledged his familiarity with the conclusions of the report and the request by TCGCC that the AGO take "appropriate action" to recover the funds identified in this recommendation. The Assistant Attorney General advised the Chief Legal Counsel that the AGO will review the report and determine what "appropriate action" will be taken against the commissioners, officials and employees identified in the report.

In his response dated 10/25/04, the Acting Attorney General stated that the AGO is currently researching standing issues related to the collection of the debt.

In her letter response dated 9/1/05, the Attorney General stated that there has been no change to the development of this case.

On 6/2/08 OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA to re-direct this recommendation to the Tinian Mayor's Office. Therefore, OPA will re-direct this referral to the Tinian Mayor's Office for review and determination of appropriate actions to be taken to address this recommendation.

On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA not to re-direct this recommendation to the Tinian Mayor's Office. AGO will conduct further review and will provide OPA a letter documenting AGO's decision on the matter.

Additional Information

Report No. AR-02-04 issued on November 5, 2002 Audit of the Department of Labor and Immigration - (Currently Dept. of Labor/AGO Division of Immigration) Tinian Long Distance Telephone Charges January 1998 through June 2000

Date(s) of followup letter(s)	sent	 2/18/03 (DOLI), 8/4/03 (AGO), 10/27/03 (AGO), 4/13/04 (AGO), 9/21/04 (AGO), 2/15/05 (AGO), 8/9/05 (AGO), 3/10/06 (AGO), 9/26/06 (AGO), 2/7/07 (AGO), 9/4/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s)	receive	ed : 9/15/03 (AGO), 10/31/03 (AGO), 4/30/04 (AGO), 9/1/05 (AGO), 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO)
Recommendation 2	:	Request the telephone company to reduce the number of agency telephone lines with long-distance access and limit long-distance access only to certain employees.
Agency to Act	:	AGO-Division of Immigration - Tinian
		<i>Note</i> : This recommendation was previously addressed to the Department of Labor and Immigration (DOLI) - Tinian. Pursuant to Executive Order 03-01 dated 3/10/03, the Division of Immigration was transferred to the AGO. Implementation of this recommendation will now be required from AGO-Division of Immigration - Tinian.
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	:	The Resident Director of DOLI Tinian appears to disagree with the recommendation. She stated that DOLI Tinian has four divisions which contains an average of two to three sections. She explained that the current ten telephone lines often results in hampered public services due to busy telephone signals. Hence, she maintained that her department will continue with the set up of ten telephone lines.
		In a conference call between the DOLI Tinian Resident Director's Secretary and OPA on 2/18/03, the Secretary stated that there are only four DOLI personnel who have access to a pin number used to make long-distance calls. Also, the only telephone line with direct access to long-distance is in the Resident Director's office.
		In a telephone conversation with the Deputy Attorney General in Mid-June, OPA was informed that the recommendation has been implemented. OPA will request the AGO to provide written documentation evidencing implementation of the recommendation in order to consider the recommendation closed.
		In her response letter dated 9/1/05, the Attorney General stated that they are currently working on this matter.
		On 6/2/08, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA to follow-up on this recommendation with the Division of Immigration- Tinian. Therefore, based on AGO's response this recommendation will be re- directed to the Division of Immigration - Tinian.
		On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA not re-direct this recommendation to the Division of Immigration - Tinian and to consider this recommendation moot due to the federalization of the Division. AGO will provide a written response to document their decision on the matter.
Additional Information or Action Required	:	AGO should provide OPA with a written response to document their decision on the matter.

Report No. AR-03-04 issued March 10, 2003 Office of the Attorney General Audit of the Attorney General's Investigative Unit Confidential Informant/Cash Funds From October 1, 1994 to April 30, 2002

Date(s) of followup lette	er(s) ser	tt : $8/4/03, 4/13/04, 9/21/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/7/07, 9/4/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11$
Date(s) of response letter(s) received		eived : 5/12/03, 9/15/03, 4/30/04, 10/25/04, 9/1/05, 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO)
Recommendation 3	:	Recovering the residual value of three unused return airline tickets totaling \$723 and the overpayment of per diem of \$1,605 from the three travelers involved.
Agency to Act	:	Attorney General's Investigative Unit
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	:	In her response dated 5/12/03, the former Attorney General informed OPA that a \$723 credit voucher had been issued by the involved travel agency to be depleted by off-setting with future airline tickets for investigation related to the local law enforcement funds purpose with no restrictions. Furthermore, the Attorney General outlined two steps to resolve the travelers' overpayment of per diem - (1) the current AGIU investigator will re-pay the fund in cash, and (2) the two other travelers, now both civilians and not attached to AGIU, will be contacted by an Assistant Attorney General for repayment through legal process.
		In his response dated 9/15/03, the Acting Attorney General stated that this case was cleared by OPA. Upon further review, OPA determined that this case has not yet been cleared since OPA was not provided copies of documents evidencing repayment made into the fund by the current AGIU investigator for the overpayment of per diem and the results of their collection effort for the other two travelers with overpayments of per diem who are no longer employed with the AGIU.
		On 4/30/04, the Deputy Attorney General informed OPA that one AGIU investigator has repaid \$535 to the CI Fund. Supporting documents to evidence re- payment made by the AGIU investigator was subsequently provided to OPA on 7/20/04. Also, an attorney has been assigned to evaluate the case and to recover the uncollected balances due to the CNMI government. Based on AGO's response and supporting documents provided, \$535 has been collected, leaving a balance of \$1,070 still recoverable from the two remaining investigators who are no longer attached to AGIU.
		In his response dated 10/25/04, the Acting Attorney General stated that OPA only allowed \$200 per diem rate, however, the AGO Administrative Officer determined that AGIU was allowed higher per diem rate. He further stated that OPA required receipts and disallowed some expenses, however, under current travel policies and memoranda, per diem does not have to be justified by receipts. The requirement imposed is that boarding passes must be supplied. The AGO also stated that there are no fiscal rules regarding paid travel, per diem, or hearing procedures in contested cases. AGO plans to meet with OPA and DOF to discuss this matter.
		<i>OPA Response</i> : The CNMI Travel Regulations are clear as to what type of expenses can be covered by the travel advance and OPA agrees that per diem does not have to be covered by receipts. However, per diem rates per locality are also established by the Regulations and we have not seen anything in writing allowing AGIU to exceed the \$200 per diem rate for the Korea trip. Pursuant to regulations, meals are covered by the per diem and should not form part of the additional expenses deductible from the advance. Sightseeing tour entrance fees are also not among those allowed as legitimate travel expenses. OPA still believes that the

	excess amount should be returned by the travelers unless the AGO can justify what it claims "a higher per diem rate for investigators". OPA would be ready to further discuss this issue with AGO.
	In her response dated $9/1/05$, the Attorney General stated that there has been no change to the development of this case.
	On 6/2/08, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General requests that OPA provide additional information pertaining to this recommendation for further review. OPA will provide AGO with a copy of the audit report and the additional information requested.
	On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will conduct an internal review and will provide OPA the results of its review.
Additional Information or Action Required :	AGO should inform OPA of the results of their collection effort for the other two travelers with overpayments of per diem who are no longer employed with the AGIU and provide copies of documents evidencing payments until fully recovered.

Report No. AR-03-05 issued August 6, 2003 CNMI Senate, Thirteenth Legislature Monthly Subsistence Allowance Provided to Members of the Senate Covering the Period Ending June 30, 2002

			4/13/04 (Senate), 9/27/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 9/22/05, 3/8/06, 2/7/07 (Re-directed to AGO), 9/4/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter	r(s) 1	received :	5/12/04 (request for extension to respond), $10/13/04$, $3/8/05$ (telephone follow-up), $3/14/05$, $8/17/05$ (meeting with Senate Legal Counsel), $8/29/05$, $6/2/08$, $12/1/09$ (meeting with AGO)
Recommendation I	:	set the amount of t As Revised and Re review and legal d	ce legislation to grant it authority for the subsistence allowance, to he allowance, and to certify it as a public purpose. <i>-directed to the AGO:</i> AGO should inform OPA of the results of its etermination on whether the Senate should introduce legislation to or the subsistence allowance, to set the amount of the allowance, a public purpose
Agency to Act	:		d to the Attorney General's Office
	:	Open - Delinquent	-
Status	•	Open - Dennquent	
Agency Response	:	Instead the Senate subsistence allowa	that the subsistence allowance need not be in the form of a law. asserts that it has implied statutory authority to establish the nce for its members by Senate rule because 1 CMC §121(i) states authorized and regulated by legislative rules are expressly declared purpose".
		reviewing the cont discussion, the Ser currently exists to	ted 10/13/04, the Senate President informed OPA that after rolling legal authorities and after extensive deliberation and nate feels that a comprehensive Constitutional and statutory system adequately govern the allowance given to members of the Senate legislation is necessary at this time.
		recommendation. issues. OPA disag create an allowanc	PA did extensive legal research which formed the basis for the OPA believes the CNMI Constitution clearly addresses these grees that the Senate may impliedly grant itself the authority to e for expenses through its internal rules. Therefore, until such time that have been created by the Senate rules are discontinued, OPA

	must reiterate that the potential for legal challenges to the constitutionality of the authority for the monthly allowance exists. OPA urges the Senate to reconsider its position and to establish and justify the amount of its subsistence allowance through legislation.
	OPA is redirecting this recommendation to the Attorney General's Office for review as OPA and the Senate were unable to reach an agreement to address OPA's concern. Although OPA respects the Senate's position on this matter, OPA still disagrees that the Senate may impliedly grant itself the authority to create an allowance for expenses through its internal rules. As the potential for legal challenges to the constitutionality of the authority for the monthly allowance exists, OPA feels it is in the best interest to refer the legal issues identified to the Attorney General's Office for its legal review and determination.
	On 6/2/08, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General requested for a copy of the audit report and prior communications between the Senate and OPA so that the AGO can conduct its review. AGO will issue an opinion on the legality of the issue.
	On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will conduct further review and will provide a letter documenting AGO's decision on the matter.
Additional Information or Action Required	The AGO should review the legal issues identified in OPA's audit report and inform OPA of its determination.

Report No. AR-98-06 issued December 14, 1998 Department of Finance Audit of Misuse of Funds by the Former Secretary of Finance Fiscal Years 1995, 1996, and 1997

Date(s) of followup letter	r(s) sent	 11/23/99 (DOF), 1/26/99, 1/5/00 (AGO), 1/17/01 (AGO), 8/21/01 (AGO), 2/14/02 (AGO), 8/12/02 (DOF) (AGO), 10/3/02 (AGO), 2/14/03 (AGO), 2/18/03 (DOF), 8/4/03 (DOF) (AGO), 4/13/04 (AGO), 4/14/04 (DOF), 9/21/04 (AGO), 9/28/04 (DOF), 2/15/05 (DOF) (AGO), 8/8/05 (DOF), 8/9/05 (AGO), 3/9/06, 9/28/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/20/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter	(s) rece	 ved : 1/20/00 (AGO), 3/9/01 (AGO), 2/12/02 (meeting with AGO), 3/17/03 (DOF request for extension), 9/15/03 (AGO), 4/30/04 (AGO), 10/25/04 (AGO), 3/7/05 (DOF request for extension), 3/18/05 (DOF), 3/31/05 (DOF), 9/1/05 (AGO), 3/31/06 (request for extension), 4/18/06, 9/11/06 (AGO), 6/2/08 (meeting with AGO), 12/1/09 (meeting with AGO)
Recommendation I	:	<i>As Revised</i> : DOF should provide OPA documents showing recovery of the \$56,461.98 in restitution on the federal case. In addition, AGO should take further legal action against the former Secretary for misappropriation and improper expenditure of public funds.
Agency to Act	:	Department of Finance / Attorney General's Office
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	:	On 2/22/01, the Federal Court sentenced the former Finance Secretary to 33 months imprisonment and ordered payment of \$56,461.98 in restitution to the court for disbursement to the CNMI Department of Finance.
		In his 3/9/01 response, the Acting Attorney General stated that the AGO waited until the federal crime case concluded since one count in the case was covered by AGO's case. The former Secretary was ordered to pay restitution in the federal case, thereby reducing the maximum potential judgment. AGO will make a Motion for Summary Judgment and will still seek a judgment of approximately \$75,000 against the former Secretary of Finance for misappropriation and improper expenditure of public funds.
		During the 2/12/02 meeting, AGO informed OPA that it will file a Motion for Summary Judgment in the civil action filed against the former Secretary of Finance. In a follow-up letter to AGO dated 2/14/02, OPA compiled a list of its referrals for AGO to prioritize for action. The list included this recommendation.
		In his response dated 9/15/03, the Acting Attorney General stated that this case has been forwarded to the AGO's collection team (an in house team assembled to collect debts owed the government that are over the statutory limit for small claims) and the matter is still pending.
		In his 10/25/04 response, the Acting Attorney General stated that the civil case against the defendant is still pending for the recovery of funds.
		In a response letter dated 3/18/05, the Secretary of Finance stated that the defendant has not made any payments towards his restitution obligation to the CNMI government to date. On 3/31/05, OPA was provided a copy of the letter received from the U.S. Attorney of the District of Guam to the Secretary of Finance informing him that the former Secretary of Finance's assigned U.S. Probation Officer will be setting a payment schedule to dispose of his restitution obligation after July 2005. The U.S. Attorney of the District of Guam will be notifying the Secretary of Finance once the payment plan is established.

	In his response letter dated 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance provided OPA with a copy of the letter from the US Attorney's District Office on Guam advising OPA of the payment plan the former secretary will be making to the government. OPA was also provided with a summary of all payments made by the former secretary owed to the government to date. The US Attorney's District Office has been notified that the former secretary has agreed to make monthly payments in the amount of \$100 effective 8/1/05. According to the payment summary provided by DOF, payments made by the former secretary totaled \$625.00 as of 3/21/06, thus leaving a balance outstanding of \$55,837.00. Additionally, the letter stated that a balance still remains towards the former secretary's imposed assessment fee and that any payments will first be applied to it until it is paid off.
	In her response dated 9/11/06, the Assistant Attorney General informed OPA that the former Secretary of Finance has paid \$1,125 towards his restitution obligation as of August 2006. Therefore, a balance of \$55,336.98 is still recoverable from the former Secretary of Finance.
	On 6/2/08, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that the AGO Civil Division will conduct a review on the status of the civil case against the former Secretary of Finance. OPA will provide AGO a copy of the audit report.
	On 12/1/09, OPA met with the Attorney General. The Attorney General advised OPA that AGO will conduct further review and will provide a letter documenting AGO's decision on the matter.
Additional Information or Action Required :	DOF should continue to update OPA on the collection of the \$56,461.98 restitution in the federal case until the entire amount is fully recovered. In addition, AGO should update OPA on the status of its earlier plan to make a motion for Summary Judgement of approximately \$75,000 against the former Secretary of Finance or provide OPA with a copy of any judgement issued if already filed.

Report No. LT-95-06 issued November 1, 1995 Investigation of a Motor Vehicle Leased by the Tinian Mayor's Office

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent :			7/16/96,11/29/96,12/3/96, 2/2/98, 9/17/98, 11/23/99, 7/13/00, 1/19/01, 8/21/01, 3/12/02, 8/12/02, 2/18/03, 8/4/03, 4/14/04, 9/28/04, 2/15/05, 8/8/05, 3/9/06, 9/28/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/20/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s)) rece	ived :	11/21/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on DOF), 10/01/01, 3/27/02 (DOF request for extension), 4/12/02, 3/17/03 (DOF request for extension), 3/7/05 (DOF request for extension), 3/18/05, 3/31/06 (request for extension), 4/18/06
Recommendation 2	:	for implement	of Finance should designate responsibility to a specific DOF office ting government vehicle regulations, developing specific procedures ng vehicles, and bringing disciplinary actions against offending nployees.
Agency to Act	:	Department o	fFinance
Status	:	Resolved - De	elinquent
Agency Response	:	The provisions of Government Vehicle Regulations No. 1101 Section 11 (e) as adopted by the Department of Finance in the Commonwealth Register on 4/15/93 state that the Secretary of Finance shall have the authority to revoke the employee's CNMI government vehicle permit, immediately confiscate the vehicle in question, bring disciplinary action against the offending official or employee, or refer the matter to the Attorney General or Department of Public Safety for further investigation.	
		the amended (response, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that revisions to Government Vehicle Regulations are near completion and a copy will o OPA upon their adoption.

		In his 3/18/05 response, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that DOF is currently reviewing the proposed amendments to the regulations. The Director of Procurement and Supply has asked for an additional 30 days to conduct further review with the assistance if the Attorney General's Office. The Secretary of Finance stated that they will have the amended regulations published in the April 2005 Commonwealth Register and have the amendments adopted in May 2005.
Additional Information		In his letter response dated 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that the review of existing regulations has taken longer than expected. The Secretary of Finance was informed by the Director of Procurement and Supply that the amended regulations will be due by June 30, 2006 and published for adoption 60 days thereafter.
or Action Required	:	DOF should provide OPA a copy of the revised Government Vehicle Regulations upon its adoption.

Report No. AR-96-01 issued January 31, 1996 Office of the Mayor Audit of Operations For Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 1990 to 1993

Date(s) of followup letter	r(s) sen	: $3/28/96,11/25/96,2/2/98,9/17/98,11/23/99,7/13/00,1/19/01,$ 8/21/01,3/12/02,8/12/02,2/18/03,8/4/03,4/14/04,9/28/04, 2/15/05,8/8/05,3/9/06,9/28/06,2/6/07,8/28/07,3/20/08,9/16/08 8/12/09,11/25/09,5/12/10,10/14/10,5/17/11	3,		
Date(s) of response letter	r(s) rece	ed : 6/11/97, 9/27/00, 9/22/99, 11/21/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on DOF), 3/27/02 (DOF request for extension), 4/12/02, 3/17/03 (DOF request for extension), 3/7/05 (DOF request for extension), 3/18/05, 3/31/06 (request for extension), 4/18/06	Group on DOF), 3/27/02 (DOF request for extension), 4/12/02, 3/17/03 (DOF request for extension), 3/7/05 (DOF request for		
Recommendation 7	:	The Secretary of Finance should direct the head of the Tinian Procurement Office o maintain an updated record of capital assets. On a regular basis, the Tinian Procurement Office should conduct an inventory to establish the physical existence, condition and location of fixed assets. It should also compare its record of assets with the inventory and take appropriate action with respect to any differences. Any missing item should be properly accounted for by the concerned agency.	ł		
Agency to Act	:	Department of Finance			
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent			
Agency Response	:	During the $11/21/00$ meeting with the Coordinating Group, the Procurement and Supply (P&S) Director agreed to issue a memorandum to the Tinian Procurement Office and even to the Rota Procurement Office directing them to conduct their own annual inventory. In the $10/1/01$ response from DOF, there was no indication of any action taken on this recommendation.			
		On 4/12/02, the current Secretary of Finance responded that his office has requested copies of the inventory results from Rota and Tinian for DOF to provide o OPA.	e		
		On 3/18/05, the Secretary of Finance provided OPA a copy of the new CNMI Property Management Policies and Procedures dated 1/8/03 which states that capital inventories shall be conducted on an annual basis. Based on the new policies and procedures, the inventory results for 2004 is due on March 23, 2005. OPA was also provided a copy of the memorandum from the Secretary of Finance addressed to the Supply Representatives for Tinian and Rota dated 3/14/05 requesting for their office inventory listing of all CNMI government property assigned under each department and agency in their respective area no later than March 23, 2005. The Property Management Branch will schedule a physical nventory verification once the listing has been received and compiled. DOF will be providing OPA with the inventory results once completed.	:		

		In his letter dated 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that the
		Director of Procurement and Supply has issued notices to the Procurement Offices
		in Rota and Tinian to conduct an annual inventory of their fixed assets. The
		Secretary of Finance further stated that the physical inventory of all fixed assets on
		Tinian and Rota are still ongoing and that DOF will provide OPA with the
		inventory results as soon as they are completed.
Additional Information		
or Action Required	:	The P&S Director should provide OPA a copy of the inventory results and actions
-		taken.

Report No. AR-97-05 issued March 20, 1997 Audit of the Executive Branch of the CNMI Government's Professional Services Contracts from October 1991 to July 1995

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent : Date(s) of response letter(s) received :			7/9/97, 2/02/98, 9/17/98, 11/23/99, 7/13/00, 1/19/01, 8/21/01, 3/12/02, 8/12/02, 2/18/03, 8/4/03, 4/14/04, 9/28/04, 2/15/05, 8/8/05, 3/9/06, 9/28/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/20/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
			11/9/00, 11/21/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on DOF), 5/01, 10/1/01, 3/27/02 (DOF request for extension), 4/12/02, 3/17/03 (DOF request for extension), $3/7/05$ (DOF request for extension), $3/18/05$, $3/22/05$, $3/29/06$ (request for extension), 4/18/06, $6/2/08$ (meeting with AGO)
Recommendation 3	contrac	ctors wh	g Officers should recover payments (including interest) made to om we identified as having been paid without performing their those who refuse to pay to the AGO for legal action.
Agency to Act	: Depart	ment of	Finance - Treasury for Contact C40113, C50108
Status	: Resolv	ed - Del	inquent
Agency Response	contrac Comm	ctor which onwealth	GO and the Director of Procurement and Supply met with the former ch resulted in the former contractor agreeing to repay the a \$96,100. The former contractor signed a promissory note with the hly payments of \$300 to the CNMI Treasury beginning 2/15/00.
	matter	remains	2/02 meeting, AGO informed OPA that the former contractor in this in compliance with the settlement promissory note and therefore s to continue to monitor compliance.
	payme a balar	nts made ice of \$8 ed by D0	ed 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that total e on the promissory note as of 3/21/06 amounted to \$14,000 leaving 1,900 still to be recovered. According to the summary schedule OF, the former contractor is 27 months behind with his payments as
			ith the Attorney General on 6/2/08, OPA was advised that AGO will DOF on the \$96,100 promissory note signed by the contractor.
Additional Information or Action Required	Treasu collect DOF s contrac	ry shoul ions from hould al- ctor and update	<i>los.</i> C40113 and C50108 - Until full amount has been paid, DOF- d continuously provide OPA evidence (<i>i.e.</i> , official receipts) of n the former contractor which pay directly to the CNMI Treasury. so update AGO on the status of payments made by the former his non-compliance with the promissory note. Accordingly, DOF OPA on the results of its communication with the AGO on this
Recommendation 8	Accou	nting Se	of Finance should issue a memorandum instructing the DOF - ction to recover or offset from future payments the \$15,079 in to contractors.
Agency to Act	: Depart	ment of	Finance
			22

Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	:	In the 10/1/01 response from DOF, the Secretary of Finance stated that \$7,559 (for Contract no. C50083) of the \$15,079 in total overpayment has already been settled and that supporting documents regarding the settlement were provided to OPA. For the others in question which include \$1,020 (for Contract no. C50132) and \$6,500 (for Contract no. C50208), DOF requested that AGO initiate a recovery action, given the length of time involved and the improbability of a reply from both contractors.
		In his 4/12/02 response, the Secretary of Finance provided OPA a copy of a memorandum requesting the Acting Director of Finance and Accounting to review the records pertaining to the payments in question and to issue a demand for payment immediately.
		In his letter dated 3/18/05, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that after their review of the files, DOF was unable to confirm whether bills were sent out to two contractors. DOF will gather all the required documents to include with the bills for collection and provide OPA a copy. DOF will also refer the matter to the AGO for collection.
Additional Information		In his response letter dated 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that the Acting Director of Finance and Accounting is unable to provide copies of accounts payable vouchers (APV) that are needed as supporting documents when bills are sent out for collection. The Secretary further stated that since this was an OPA-initiated audit, the OPA should have copies of the payment vouchers in question, as they should have been part of the working files. In the meantime, they are consulting with the Office of the Attorney General as to the appropriate course of action(s) that can be taken considering the lack of supporting documents and the statute of limitation on these claims.
	:	DOF should provide OPA with the documents evidencing settlement of the \$7,559 (for Contract no. C50083). DOF should also provide OPA a copy of the bills for collection to recover the overpayment of \$1,020 (for Contract no. C50132) and \$6,500 (for Contract no. C50208). Finally, DOF should update OPA on the results of its communication with the AGO on the appropriate course of action(s) that can be taken on these claims.

Report No. AR-98-02 issued May 26, 1998 Review of CNMI's Compliance with Government Vehicle Act and Regulations March 1995 to March 1997

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent	: 9/17/98 (DOF), 11/23/99, 7/13/00, 1/19/01, 8/21/01, 3/12/02, 8/12/02, 2/18/03, 8/4/03, 4/14/04, 9/28/04, 2/15/05, 8/8/05, 3/9/06, 9/28/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/20/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) received	: 9/29/00 (DOF), 12/7/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on DOF), 10/01/01 (DOF), 3/27/02 (DOF request for extension), 4/12/02 (DOF), 3/17/03 (DOF request for extension), 3/7/05 (DOF), 3/18/05, 3/31/06 (request for extension), 4/18/06
Recommendation 2 : The Sec to:	retary of Finance should instruct the Director of Procurement and Supply

a) Develop written procedures for marking of government vehicles (except law enforcement vehicles and vehicles used by elected officials). Among other things, the written procedures should specify 1) the period when markings should be made (e.g., within a specified time frame after vehicle has been delivered by vendor); 2) the information to be included in the markings, such as the agency name, and if the vehicle is government-owned, the property number as well; 3) the exact size of the markings that will allow them to be visible and readable even when the vehicle is in motion; 4) the exact placement of the markings on the door; and 5) the material to be used for marking.

			(Note: The marking should show the agency's full name and not just the acronym or the first letters of the agency's name. Use of full name instead of acronym readily identifies the agency accountable for the vehicle, and prevents mistaking one agency for another that has the same acronym.)		
		b)	Maintain updated government vehicle inventory listings and conduct periodic inventories of all government vehicles on Saipan, Rota, and Tinian.		
		c)	Revise the standard vehicle specifications and features guidelines issued by the Procurement and Supply Division to emphasize that procurement of vehicles with factory-tinted windows is prohibited by statute. Reject purchase requisitions made by any government agency to procure vehicles that do not conform to the standard vehicle specifications and features guidelines.		
Agency to Act	:	Depa	Department of Finance - Procurement & Supply		
Status	:	Resol	Resolved - Delinquent		
Agency Response	:	In the 10/1/01 response from DOF, the Secretary of Finance stated that DOF is currently updating the vehicle regulations to include items (a) and (c) of Recommendation 2. OPA was provided the initial draft copy of the regulations. In addition, DOF provided OPA a government vehicle inventory listing as of January 2001 which addressed item (b) of the recommendation.			
		In his 4/12/02 response, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that revisions to the amended Government Vehicle Regulations are near completion, and a copy will be provided to OPA upon their adoption.			
		curren Procu reviev Finan	3/18/05 response, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that DOF is ntly reviewing the proposed amendments to the regulations. The Director of rement and Supply had requested for an additional 30 days to conduct further w with the assistance of the Attorney General's Office. The Secretary of ce stated that they will have the amended regulations published in the April Commonwealth Register and have the amendments adopted in May 2005.		
		the ar	letter response dated 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that nended regulations will be completed by 6/30/06 and published for adoption ys thereafter.		
Additional Information or Action Required	:		should provide OPA a copy of the revised Government Vehicle Regulations their adoption.		

Date(s) of followup lette	er(s) sen	: 3/9/06, 9/28/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/20/08, 9/16/08 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11	3/9/06, 9/28/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/20/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11	
Date(s) of response lette	er(s) rec	ved : 3/31/06 (request for extension), 4/18/06		
Recommendation I	:	The Secretary of Finance should adopt regulations to "interpret enforce" the Government Deposit Safety Act, including definin what items constitute "obligations and securities backed by the government."	ng and clarifying	
Agency to Act	:	Department of Finance		
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent		
Agency Response	:	In his letter response dated 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance pr the proposed Rules and Regulations of the Department of Finan Deposit Safety Act. The Secretary noted that the regulation was that they will review the regulations and submit the update for	nce Government as not adopted and	

subsequent adoption in the CNMI register.

Additional Information or Action Required :

DOF should inform OPA of actions taken to adopt regulations to "interpret, execute and enforce" the Government Deposit Safety Act, including defining and clarifying what items constitute "obligations and securities backed by the CNMI government." DOF should also provide OPA with a copy of the regulations once they are adopted.

Report No. AR-05-03 issued August 12, 2005 Marianas Hawaii Liason Office Audit of the Marianas Liason Office From October 1, 1999 through December 31, 2002

Date(s) of followup letter(s) s	ent : 3/9/06, 9/28/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/20/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) r	eceived : 3/31/06 (request for extension), 4/3/06, 4/18/06
Recommendation 13 :	Establish policies and procedures requiring DOF staff to account and record expenditures and receivables related to advances for funeral service charges of medical referral patients.
Agency to Act :	Department of Finance
Status :	Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response :	DOF agreed with the intent of the recommendation but added that DPH and MHLO have the responsibility to insure transactions are properly entered. To address OPA's concern, the Acting Director of Finance and Accounting was directed to assign a staff member to work with DPH and MHLO to assist them in setting up procedures to ensure proper coding of payment vouchers and cash receipts when entering them into the DOF financial management system. The Secretary of Finance directed this action as DPH and MHLO enter their own payment vouchers and cash receipts into the DOF financial management system and have the responsibility to insure initial transactions are properly entered and the proper accounting codes are used.
	OPA Response - OPA agrees that DOF's assistance to DPH and MHLO will help ensure the proper coding and accurate recording of payments and receipts related to funeral advances in the future. Since DOF has a better understanding of the system and the proper coding of payments and receipts, OPA believes that it will also be helpful if DOF establish guidelines and procedures in the proper coding and recording of these payments and receipts which DPH and MHLO can follow.
	In his letter dated 3/18/06, the Secretary of Finance stated that they have met with the Medical Referral Office Manager to discuss the recording of accounts receivables for funeral expenses, as well as other medical referral related expenses not covered by the CNMI government. The MRO Manager will renew the existing MOU with each of the responsible parties to ensure that the amount outstanding is accurate. Once this is completed, all the receivables will be entered into the financial management system. He further stated that the DOF will assist with any training needed to ensure the completion of this project.
Additional Information	The Secretary also proposes that all vendor payments relating to funeral expense advances to families come directly out of the Treasury Office on Saipan to ensure that receivable accounts are established correctly through the MRO.
or Action Required :	OPA reiterates the need for DOF to adopt written guidelines and procedures to ensure proper accounting and recording of funeral service advances. Written procedures will also help ensure that proper accounting and recording will continue when DOF or MHLO personnel change. The guidelines and procedures can be incorporated in a memorandum or directive from the DOF secretary to DOF and MHLO personnel. A copy of the circulated memorandum or directive should also be provided to OPA so this recommendation can be closed.

Recommendation 14	: Designate DOF staff to review: (a) the outstanding balance of receivable accounts (#12150 and #12151) and (b) disbursements and collections debited/credited to Professional Service expense account to establish an accurate outstanding receivable balance of each promisor.
Agency to Act	: Department of Finance
Status	: Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	: DOF agreed with the intent of the recommendation but added that DPH and MHLO have the responsibility to insure transactions are properly entered. To address OPA's concern, the Acting Director of Finance and Accounting was directed to assign a staff member to work with DPH and MHLO to assist them in setting up procedures to insure proper coding of payment vouchers and cash receipts when entering them into the DOF financial management system.
	OPA Response - Although the benefit of what DOF intends to do will only be realized prospectively, OPA agrees that DOF's assistance to DPH and MHLO will help ensure the proper coding and accurate recording of payments and receipts related to funeral advances in the future. As DOF has a better understanding of the system and the proper coding of payments and receipts, OPA believes that it will also be helpful if DOF establish guidelines and procedures in the proper coding and recording of these payments and receipts which DPH and MHLO can follow.
Additional Information or Action Required	In his letter dated 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance stated that as noted in Recommendation 13, DOF will assist the MRO in booking the accurate A/R amount for each responsible party. The Secretary also noted that the MRO must determine the accurate amount since the receivables were never included in either the CHC billing system or the DOF financial management system.
	: As stated in recommendation 13, DOF should provide OPA a copy of the written guidelines and procedures adopted to ensure proper accounting and recording of funeral service advances. These guidelines and procedures should also identify the designated DOF and MHLO personnel responsible for reviewing the accuracy of accounts receivable balances, and for billing outstanding receivables.
Recommendation 16	: DOF Should establish written guidelines and procedures for the use of check exchanges. Such guidelines should address: (a) the purpose of check exchanges, (b) circumstances when a check may be issued through check exchange before receiving DOF-Treasury or DOF-Payroll approval, and (c) whether personal checks should be accepted for a check exchange. As DOF requires pre-approval of all check exchange transactions, DOF should establish monitoring procedures to ensure that all check exchanges are collected and credited to the check exchange receivable account.
Agency to Act	: Department of Finance
Status	: Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	: The Acting Director of Finance and Accounting was directed to prepare written guidelines for the use of the check exchange account and provide a copy to MHLO and assign a staff member to review the check exchange account periodically to ensure MHLO is following the guidelines.
	In his letter dated 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance informed OPA that they are currently in the process of drafting procedures and guidelines for the use of check exchange accounts. DOF will provide OPA a copy of the policy once completed.
Additional Information	

Recommendation 17	:	DOF should issue a memorandum instructing staff to: make adjustments to the proper fund and receivable accounts. The Secretary of Finance should also instruct the staff assigned to record expenditure transactions concurrently with payment transactions to avoid double recording of disbursements. (The Secretary of Finance should ensure that Acct#1972 should be used for all expenditure transactions of medical referral operations, Acct#1041 should be used for operations of MHLO other than medical referral, and Receivable Acct#12160 should be used for disbursements and deposits of check exchange transactions).
Agency to Act	:	Department of Finance
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	:	DOF agreed with the intent of the recommendation but added that DPH and MHLO have the responsibility to insure transactions are properly entered. To address OPA's concern, the Acting Director of Finance and Accounting was directed to assign a staff member to work with DPH and MHLO to assist them in setting up procedures to insure proper coding of payment vouchers and cash receipts when entering them into the DOF financial management system. OPA Response - Although the benefit of what DOF intends to do will only be realized prospectively, OPA agrees that DOF's assistance to DPH and MHLO will help ensure the proper coding and accurate recording of payments and receipts related to funeral advances in the future. Proper coding and accurate recording will then eliminate the need for DOF to perform future bank reconciliation adjustments to correct expenditure accounts of MHLO Operations and Medical Referral Imprest Funds. DOF should provide OPA the name of the DOF official
		who will be responsible for assisting DPH and MHLO and the target date to complete the task as well as a copy of the written guidelines and procedures to be followed by DOF and MHLO.
		In his response letter dated 4/18/06, the Secretary of Finance indicated that there are two imprest fund accounts for the MHLO, one for operational expenses and another for medical referral expenses. He stated that the MHLO has to post each transaction into the appropriate accounts and object class. He also noted that they have provided training on posting transactions to the financial management system to designated staff at the MHLO on two separate occasions.
		The Secretary also proposes that all vendor payments relating to funeral expense advances to families come directly out of the Treasury Office on Saipan to ensure that receivable accounts are established correctly through the MRO.
Additional Informatior or Action Required	ו :	DOF should provide OPA the name of the DOF official who will be responsible for assisting DPH and MHLO and the target date to complete the task as well as a copy of the written guidelines and procedures to be followed by DOF and MHLO.

Department of Public Lands

Report No. AR-95-18 issued October 10, 1995 Department of Public Lands (DPL) (Formerly Marianas Public Lands Authority) Audit of Credit Card and Related Travel Transactions

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent		 9/18/98 (DLNR), 11/23/99, 1/26/99, 7/6/00 (DPL), 1/24/01 (DLNR), 8/23/01 (OPL), 8/12/02 (MPLA), 2/14/03, 8/4/03, 4/23/04, 9/21/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 3/13/06, 9/29/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/17/08, 8/25/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 5/17/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) received		 ived : 10/21/99 (DPL), 10/31/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on DLNR), 2/8/01 (DPL), 2/22/01, 8/30/01 (OPL), 9/28/01, 3/6/02 (MPLA), 8/19/02, 3/10/03, 6/7/04, 4/3/06 (request for extension), 4/11/06, 9/29/06, 5/19/11
Recommendation 6	:	The Secretary of DPL should instruct the current Comptroller to determine the correct balance of the travel advance account, and forward advances not reimbursed to DOF for collection. The remaining travel advance balances of cardholders/travelers still working for the Government should be recovered through salary deduction. For employees/officials who no longer work for the Government, the assistance of the Attorney General should be sought to collect the outstanding balances.
Agency to Act	:	Department of Public Lands (formerly Marianas Public Lands Authority)
Status	:	Resolved - Active
Agency Response	:	On 3/4/04, a member of the 14 th CNMI Legislature requested OPA to review documents relating to the status of the outstanding account balance of the former Rota board member. During the review, OPA discovered that some of the documents provided by the member of the 14 th Legislature regarding the account balance of the former Rota Board member were not previously submitted by MPLA during OPA's periodic audit recommendation follow-up requests. OPA also discovered that the schedule of payments submitted did not incorporate interest computation. On 7/21/05, the MPLA Commissioner provided OPA with a copy of the subsidiary ledgers maintained to record payments received from these former board members. The subsidiary ledgers provided incorporated interest computations on the outstanding balances for the former Board Chairman and former Rota Board
		member.
		The status of accounts based on the above documents provided by the former MPLA Commissioner are as follows:
		<i>Former Chairman of the Board</i> - The account balance through 6/30/05 is \$25,393.86. The MPLA Commissioner stated that since the account is overdue, it would be forwarded to their Legal Counsel for further action.
		<i>Former Rota Board Member</i> - The account balance through 6/30/05 is \$52,942.06. The MPLA Commissioner also stated that MPLA is attempting to have a lien put on the former Rota board member's property, and that if no property is pledged, MPLA will bring his account to their Legal Counsel for further action.
		<i>Former Tinian Board Member</i> - Based on the stipulation to judgement and order in the civil case against the former Tinian Board member, a settlement agreement was entered for the Tinian Board member to pay the sum of \$11,657 in monthly installments of \$250 beginning January 15, 2000. On 9/29/06, OPA was provided a copy of the former Tinian Board member's account ledger showing that the Court Order in the amount of \$11,657 was fully paid as of October 28, 2004. Based on the account ledger provided to OPA, the part of the recommendation pertaining to

the former Tinian Board member is considered closed.

On 4/11/06, DPL provided OPA with the updated subsidiary ledgers pertaining to the accounts listed above. Due to discrepancies found between the balances of these ledgers to the ledgers provided to OPA on July 21, 2005, OPA was unable to accurately ascertain the exact amount still to be recovered from the former Chairman of the Board and former Rota Board member . OPA will be contacting DPL to reconcile the balances. Aside from the account ledgers provided to OPA, DPL stated that the accounts of the former Board Chairman and former Rota Board Member are seriously delinquent. Their accounts will be forwarded to their inhouse Legal Counsel for legal action and to obtain some collateral.

On 5/19/11, the DPL Secretary provided OPA with the status and recommendation on how the outstanding balances from the former Rota Board member and the former Board Chairman will be or are being addressed. Copies of the subsidiary ledgers for monitoring payments from the two former officials were also provided.

The status of accounts based on the above documents provided by DPL Secretary are as follows:

Former Rota Board Member - The DPL Secretary stated that "As of June 30, 2006, outstanding receivable was \$52,942.06 which include original principle (sic) of \$25,673.09 plus cumalative interest of \$27,926.71." The Secretary also stated that the former board member has been performing well in remitting \$400 monthly as scheduled, and had already made a total payment of \$53,600. In the Secretary's opinion, the former board member has paid more than enough and he (Secretary) is recommending that the case be closed.

Former Chairman of the Board - The outstanding account of the former Chairman as of 4/11/11 is \$51,257.41 including interest. The DPL Secretary stated that the former Chairman has not made any payment since May 2004. On April 5, 2011, the DPL Secretary sent a memorandum, to the DPL Legal Counsel to pursue legal action and secure adequate collateral from the former Board Chairman.

OPA's Response: The subsidiary ledger as of 6/24/11 showed that the former Rota Board Member still owes \$50,668.08 computed as follows:

Balance	\$50,668.08
Less: Total Payments	(54,800.00)
	105,468.08
Interest from 1997 to 2011 (15 yrs. @ 12%)	74,372.08
Principal from 1/5/96	\$31,096.00

The former Rota board member had been paying irregularly and at a smaller monthly installment (\$200) during the earlier years (1997 to 2003). And even when the monthly installment was increased to \$400 in 2004, the total maximum payment of \$4,800/year compounded annually and since 2004 the amount has been about \$5,200 with about a hundred dollars increase every year. At the \$400/month rate, therefore, the balance of the account will never be paid off and will just continue to increase.

OPA merely wants to clarify the issues with the case of the former Rota board member. OPA recognizes the merit of closing the account by emphasizing that over \$54,000 has already been paid for the original principal of \$31,096. However, charging 12% interest annually is normal business practice and the accumulation of the unpaid balance has been the result of untimely payments. The DPL Secretary should seek legal advice on how to close the issue and DPL should adequately document the future action to the settle the account.

Additional Information/ Action Required :

DPL should continue to provide OPA with the updated ledgers of the accounts for the two former board members as well as an update on possible legal proceedings to be taken against the former Board Chairman. Additionally, DPL should inform OPA of DPL's final decision on how to close the account of the former Rota board member, whether DPL would still attempt to have the former Rota board member lien his property and then pursue future collection or seek legal advice on what steps are needed to justify the condonation of the unpaid balance.

Report No. AR-00-04 issued November 22, 2000 Department of Public Lands (Formerly Marianas Public Lands Authority) Audit of Collection of Rentals on Land Leases with Quarries For Six Lease Years from 1990 to 1995

Date(s) of followup letter((s) sent	: 9/18/98 (DLNR), 11/23/99, 1/26/99, 7/6/00 (DPL), 1/24/01 (DLNR), 8/23/01 (OPL), 8/12/02 (MPLA), 2/14/03, 8/4/03, 4/23/04 (BMPLA), 9/21/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 3/13/06 (DPL), 9/29/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/17/08, 8/25/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 5/17/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) received	 10/21/99 (DPL), 10/31/00 (meeting with Coordinating Group on DLNR), 2/8/01 (DPL), 2/22/01, 8/30/01 (OPL), 9/28/01, 3/6/02 (MPLA), 8/19/02, 3/10/03, 6/8/04, 4/11/06, 5/19/11
Recommendation 2	of	e DPL Secretary should take steps to collect the \$4.69 million in underpayment entals (including interest) on land leases with 8 quarries, and refer those lessees o refuse to pay to the Attorney General's office for legal action.
Agency to Act		partment of Public Lands (formerly addressed to the Board of Marianas Public Lands hority)
Status	: Rea	olved - Active
Agency Response	unc	er billings were sent to eight quarry operators to collect \$4,690,707.81 in erpaid rentals, Quarry Operator H paid \$789.87 as full payment while 6,967.58 owed by Quarry Operator B was written off due to bankruptcy.
	and acc C c Op Qu rec bee Qu and tota FY F),	arry Operators accounts were also considered fully recovered closed based on OPA's 8/12/04 review of their subsidiary ledgers showing ount balances through 5/31/04. These Quarry Operators are: Quarry Operator f which amount recoverable of \$5,384.25 has been fully recovered, Quarry erator D of which amount recoverable of \$424,083.85 has been fully recovered, arry Operator E of which amount recoverable of \$379,486.51 has been fully overed, and Quarry Operator G of which amount recoverable of \$27,585.74 has n fully recovered. (OPA's review of the subsidiary ledgers for these four arry Operators showed that their account balances included additional rentals interest which may have increased their receivable, however, OPA applied l payments and adjustments through 5/31/04 to their 1995 balance per OPA's 2000 audit). For the remaining two Quarry Operators (Quarry Operators A and DPL should continue to pursue collection of \$2,906,410.01 in underpaid lease tals and interest.
	sub	4/11/06, the DPL Chief Financial Officer provided OPA with a copy of the sidiary ledgers showing the account balances for the remaining two quarry rators through $4/10/06$ as follows.
	FY inc onl aga stil Qu	arry Operator A - Amount recoverable per FY2000 audit is $2,753,839.88$ as of 1995. Based on DPL records, additional rentals and interest of $1,826,829.25$ reased the receivable to $4,580,669.13$ as of April 2006. Within 2001 to 2005, y $4,987.50$ was paid by Quarry Operator A. Thus, if payments were applied inst the old balance, the amount still to be recovered from the 1995 balance is $2,748,852$. According to the DPL Chief Financial Officer, the account of arry Operator A will be forwarded to their in-house Legal Counsel to pursue al action and obtain some collateral.
	Ψ̈́Υ	arry Operator F - Amount recoverable per FY2000 audit is \$152,570.13 as of 1995. Based on MPLA records, additional rentals and interest of \$708,407.31 reased the receivable to \$860,977.44 as of May 2004. Payments and

		adjustments as of 5/31/04 totaled \$54,429.25. Thus, if payments and adjustments were applied against the 1995 balance, the amount still to be recovered is \$98,140.88.
		In his 4/11/06 update, the DPL Chief Financial Officer provided OPA incomplete subsidiary ledgers for Quarry Operator F. Therefore, OPA is unable to ascertain total amount collected as of April 2006. Total amount to be recovered of \$98,140.88, therefore, remains unchanged from the previous audit tracking report. The DPL Chief Financial Officer informed OPA, however, that there has been no movement in Quarry Operator F's account. DPL will be forwarding this account to their in-house Legal Counsel to pursue legal action and obtain some collateral.
		On 5/19/11, the DPL Secretary provided OPA with the subsidiary ledgers for Quarry Operator A and F but the information was incomplete. OPA was unable to ascertain total amount collected as of May 2011, therefore, the total recoverable amount remains unchanged from the previous audit tracking report. In his letter dated 5/19/11, the DPL Secretary informed OPA that a meeting has been arranged with Quarry Operator F to discuss and resolve OPA's findings and will forward the results of the meeting to OPA. The Secretary also stated that no payment was received by Quarry Operator A since the last audit therefore the account will be forwarded to DPL's Legal Counsel to pursue legal action and obtain some collateral.
Additional Information or Action Required	:	DPL should continue to update OPA on the status of accounts for Quarry Operators A and F and provide subsidiary ledgers to support account balances and to show total payments made by these two Quarry Operators for the under- payments mentioned in the report. The Secretary should also inform OPA about the resolution concluded in the meeting with Quarry Operator F and about the results of the Legal Counsels action for Quarry Operator A.
Recommendation 5	:	The DPL Secretary should send letters to lessees who misinterpreted certain provisions of the lease agreements/permits and incorrectly computed required rentals, clarifying for them the proper interpretation of material subject to royalty or gross receipts rent, and the common errors noted such as not implementing rate increases on the anniversary dates of lease agreements.
Agency to Act	:	Department of Public Lands (formerly addressed to the Board of Marianas Public Lands Authority)
Status	:	Resolved - Active
Agency Response	:	OPA was provided with a 9/15/00 letter sent by DPL to quarry operator E evidencing communication as to the audit finding on its quarry operations. Another quarry operator, quarry operator H, fully paid its amount due to DPL, so there is no need to send it a letter. We consider the part of the recommendation pertaining to quarry operators E and H closed.
		On 12/18/01, OPA was provided with a 3/13/01 letter sent by MPLA to quarry operator G clarifying the proper interpretation of material subject to royalty or gross receipts rent, and the common errors noted such as not implementing rate increases on the anniversary dates of lease agreements. Based on the response provided, we consider the part of the recommendation pertaining to quarry operator G closed.
		In her response letter dated 8/19/02, the Commissioner informed OPA that MPLA has not yet sent a letter to Quarry Operator F pending an investigation of the permittee's land exchange claim.
		The response submitted by the Commissioner of MPLA dated 3/10/03 did not address this recommendation.
		In his response dated 6/8/04, the MPLA Comptroller stated that although Quarry Operator F was involved in a land exchange during the time, MPLA should have notified the lessee about the results of the OPA audit which uncovered revenues of \$115,210.11. The Comptroller provided OPA with a copy of the memorandum he had addressed to the Commissioner requesting him to send a letter notifying

		Quarry Operator F to this effect.
		In his letter dated $4/11/06$ DPL Chief Financial Officer stated that in part, this recommendation is connected to recommendation 2 regarding Quarry Operator F. He further stated that he was going to schedule a meeting with Quarry Operator F to discuss the recognition of royalty revenue, and that he would update OPA with the results of the meeting.
Additional Information		In his letter dated 5/19/11, the DPL Secretary stated that DPL is now drafting a comprehensive Regulation and Procedures, in compliance with Public Law 15-2, to address the misconceptions/misinterpretations of computing lease/permit rentals, royalty, interests, and/or gross receipts rent, as well as making sure that rate increases on the anniversary dates of lease agreements are properly implemented.
or Action Required	:	Upon completion, DPL should provide OPA a copy of its finalized and adopted comprehensive Regulation and Procedures that will address the computation of lease/permit rentals, royalty, interest, and gross receipts. DPL should also provide OPA with any documents evidencing the communication or dissemination of the adopted Regulations and Procedures to all lessees, especially with the remaing Quarry Operator F.

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent Date(s) of response letter(s) received		:	3/13/06, 9/29/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/17/08, 8/25/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 5/17/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
		ived :	4/11/06, 5/19/11
Recommendation 6	:	1	nent of Public Lands should adopt policies and procedures, and/or to address the issue of the security of public funds.
Agency to Act	:	Department	of Public Lands (formerly Marianas Public Lands Authority)
Status	:	Resolved - A	Active
Agency Response	:	through the Lands excep insured insti	hse dated 4/11/06, the DPL Chief Financial Officer informed OPA that enactment of Public Law 15-2, all funds of the Department of Public of the frozen funds at Bank of Saipan have been deposited into an FDIC tution. He further stated that the funds that are at Bank of Saipan are obsitory agreement and that the agreement reveals the collateralization
		Law 15-2, a	l response DPL only stated that "Through the enactment of Public ll funds of the Department of Public Lands, except the frozen funds at Saipan, have been deposited into FDIC insured banks licensed in the
		Depositing f funds as inte 9-13) because	<i>ase:</i> OPA wants to clarify this issue with the new management of DPL. Funds in FDIC insured banks does not guarantee the security of public ended in the Government Deposit Safety Act (PL 4-33 amended by PL se FDIC only covers up to \$100,000 of the deposit. The Government ety Act states the following:
		be dep any ba and pl Bank Gover States credit	3. Deposit Safety Requirements. All funds in the commonwealth treasury may bosited by the Secretary of Finance to the credit of the CNMI government in ank provided that the bank in which the money is deposited shall furnish ledge security with bank assets valued at all times at 110% of these funds. assets shll be in the form of United States Treasury bonds and United States nment Agency securities having readily ascertainable market value. United Government Agency securities are to securities bearing the full faith and of the United States Government. Security shall not be required for that n of any deposit that is insured under any law of the United States.

	§ 7807. Provisions of Depository Contracts. Any acceptance by a depository of government funds shall constitute an acceptance of the provisions of Section 7803 and those provisions shall be deemed a part of and incorporated into the contract of deposit without necessity for specific mention thereof. The Secretary shall file with the Public Auditor a copy of formal written contracts of deposit which may be entered into.
	Compliance with Public Law 15-2, thereof, does not address the security required by the Government Deposit Safety Act. Funds in FDIC insured banks, if over \$100,000 should still be covered by a pledge of security issued by the bank.
Additional Information or Action Required :	The Department of Public Lands should write policies to ensure that all deposits would be fully collateralized by all depository banks. Subsequently, DPL should provide OPA with documentation that DPL Funds are fully secured in accordance with the Government Deposit Safety Act.

Department of Public Safety

Report No. AR-05-04 issued September 21, 2005 Audit of the Department of Public Safety's Evidence Controls through June 2004

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent		: 3/7/06, 9/25/06, 2/7/07, 3/27/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter	r(s) receiv	red : 3/30/06, 11/8/06, 8/1/07
Recommendation I	:	 The DPS should develop and implement a plan of action to address evidence presently in DPS custody. OPA encourages DPS to consult, as appropriate or necessary, with agencies such as the AGO, FBI, US Attorney's Office, Office of the Public Defender, CNMI Judiciary, and CNMI Bar Association. This plan of action should include, at a minimum, the following: a. Conducting a physical inventory to determine the actual quantity, classification and condition of evidence; b. Reconciliation of the physical inventory list with existing COCs or other evidence listings; c. Segregation of items to be disposed, disposal of evidence in accordance with laws and regulations, and proper documentation of all disposals; d. Implementation of an evidence tracking system; and e. Evaluation of the condition of necessary controls and improvements.
Agency to Act	:	Department of Public Safety
Status	:	Resolved - Delinquent
Agency Response	:	In his letter response to OPA's draft audit report dated 9/6/05, the Deputy Commissioner informed OPA that he agrees with most of the findings of the audit conducted and is aware of the issues that need immediate attention and correction for deficiencies. Accordingly, he has instructed one of the Sergeants to begin assessing the following: bars on windows; bar door to main entrance; bars to cover gap; assess bio-hazard chemicals in refrigerator; procure additional refrigerator; restart master list; labeling shelves; one location for COC; and procure aircondition for CST (purchase requisition enroute).
		On 1/12/06, OPA staff performed a walkthrough of the new evidence storage facility as requested by DPS. During the walkthrough, OPA staff identified surrounding areas to have been renovated as follows: evidence receiving areas for submission of evidence; 17 separate rooms to house the different types of evidence; key-pad entry for all doors; air-conditioning in every room; back-up generator of up to 24 hours; and security camera system located around perimeter and inner rooms/halls, with records kept for three months. OPA was also informed that new refrigerators for bio-hazard materials have been ordered and a six-feet perimeter fence will be installed around the facility. Based on OPA's observation of the new evidence storage facility, recommendation 1 (e) is now considered closed.
		OPA was also informed by DPS during the walkthrough of the new evidence storage facility that DPS is in the process of creating a task force to develop an evidence tracking system. Two personnel from the Guam Police Department's evidence storage facility are scheduled to assist DPS in developing its tracking system and transferring the evidence temporarily stored in two containers into the newly renovated facility. Plans for an additional facility that will be used to store general items of evidence is also being considered. Based on the information provided, OPA considers recommendation 1 (a through d) resolved.

	In his letter dated 3/30/06, the CIB Commander stated that due to the organizational restructuring of the CIB, the ongoing project at the evidence room has been delayed. However, he stated that the evidence custodian with the assistance of the Crime Scene Investigators are currently conducting a physical inventory and creating a data base for all evidence materials with respect to items a through d of this recommendation. In addition, he stated that they are also coordinating with the Attorney General's Office to decide what to do with all evidence materials that are no longer needed.
	The CIB Commander also informed OPA that their plan to bring in two personnel from the Guam Police Department (GPD) to assist DPS with the creation of an evidence tracking system has been put on hold and most likely will not materialize. This was a result of a recent discovery by GPD that they too are experiencing problems with respect to their handling of evidence. The CIB Commander also informed OPA of a \$48,000 grant which was recently awarded to them under the Justice Assistance Grant for Crime Scene Investigation (CSI) Enhancement Project. He further stated that this money will be used to purchase programs and equipment to aid them in the tracking of evidence.
	The response letter from the Sergeant/Evidence Custodial Unit Supervisor dated 11/8/06 did not separately address this recommendation.
	<i>OPA Response:</i> There was no follow-up reply to what DPS stated as its course of action in 3/30/06. As for the inventory of items gathered prior to 2006 the process still has not been completed. Thus, DPS has still to inform us about the target completion date.
	In his letter dated 8/1/07, the CSI/ECU Supervisor informed OPA that the physical inventory, sorting, identifying, and tagging of evidence and/or properties is still in progress. Segregation of evidence for disposal is done by documenting evidence or property to be disposed on the Evidence List for Disposal Authorization form, which is then forwarded to the Attorney General's Office, Criminal Section for review and authorization to dispose evidence or property.
	The CSI/ECU Supervisor also stated that an evidence log book has been implemented to document and register all evidence submitted into the evidence/property facility. He further stated that the present condition of the evidence facility does not affect any operation being performed. ECU is consistently receiving, storing, and releasing evidence or property with no difficulties.
	<i>OPA Response:</i> OPA will continue to follow-up on this recommendation until provided with documentation evidencing implementation of the recommended actions outlined in recommendation 1 (a-d)
Additional Information or Action Required	: DPS should continue to update OPA on the status of implementation of the recommended actions outlined in recommendation 1 (a-d) until completed. Once the recommended actions have been implemented or completed, DPS should provide OPA documentation evidencing implementation to formally close the recommendation.
Recommendation 2	: Adopt and implement amendments to DPS policies and procedures regarding the receipt, storage and management of evidence. When developing these policies and procedures, OPA encourages DPS to refer to (1) established industry standards, such as those created by the CALEA or the IAPE, and (2) policies and procedures of established law enforcement agencies, such as the HPD. These policies and procedures should be in writing, be applicable department-wide, and, at a minimum, address the following:
	 a. Deadline for submission of evidence; b. Temporary storage of evidence; c. Required documentation for the collection, transfer and storage of evidence; d. Classification, segregation, security, and disposal of evidence;

		 e. Structural measures and management controls over the evidence storage facility; f. Duties and responsibilities of the Evidence Custodian and any alternate(s); g. Prohibition of incompatible duties for evidence room personnel; and h. Periodic inspections, inventory and reports.
Agency to Act	:	Department of Public Safety
Status	:	Open - Delinquent
Agency Response	:	In his letter response to OPA's draft audit report dated 9/6/05, the Deputy Commissioner informed OPA that he agrees with most of the findings of the audit conducted and is aware of the issues that need immediate attention and correction for deficiencies.
		On 1/12/06, OPA staff performed a walkthrough of the new evidence storage facility as requested by DPS. During the walkthrough, OPA was informed that DPS is in the process of creating a task force to develop a Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) manual over evidence controls and that a government attorney will be assigned to take part on the development of the SOP.
		In his letter dated 3/30/06, the CIB Commander stated their legal counsel was in the process of reviewing the Honolulu Police Department's evidence policy to use as a model for DPS when he was transferred unexpectedly. The Commander stated that he will be working with their new legal counsel on this matter. He further stated that the Commissioner has indicated his desire to incorporate some items from the military evidence policy to DPS's policy.
		The response letter from the Sergeant/Evidence Custodial Unit Supervisor dated 11/8/06 did not separately address this recommendation.
		<i>OPA Response:</i> The Evidence Custodial Unit Supervisor stated what they are doing at present but there is not mention of a comprehensive written manual.
		In his letter dated 8/1/07, the CSI/ECU Supervisor stated that ECU does not have a deadline for evidence submission and that each departmental employee in possession of evidence should be responsible for its safekeeping until submitted into the evidence facility. The supervisor also stated that the ECU does not deal with Temporary Storage of evidence. Required documentation for the collection, transfer, and storage of evidence is documented on the Evidence/Property Custody Receipt form. A copy of the form was provided to OPA.
		With regards to the classification and segregation of evidence/property, the Supervisor informed OPA of its evidence classification type and a diagram of the facility indicating the segregation of evidence. In addition, ECU's facility is now equipped with an electronic security system. An Electro magnetic locking mechanism was installed on the doors of the facility, from the main entrance to all evidence/property storage. OPA was also informed of the duties and responsibilities of the evidence custodian and any alternates. However, he stated that there is no prohibition of incompatible duties for evidence room personnel and that the supervisor should be able to determine if other tasks assigned to evidence personnel conflicts or interrupts with the operation of the evidence facility. ECU is consistent in receiving and releasing evidence and that their only obstacle as far as evidence is the sorting and documentation of evidence that were submitted dating back to the 1980's.
		<i>OPA Response:</i> OPA recognizes the written response provided by the CSI/ECU Supervisor which provides a narrative of what actions have been done. However, the response still fails to provide written and duly adopted policies. At the minimum, there should be a written order by the Commissioner to implement such policies.

Additional Information or Action Required	: DPS should continue to update OPA on the status of the development of written policies and procedures to address the receipt, storage, and management of evidence outlined in recommendation 2 (a-h). DPS should provide OPA a copy of the written policies and procedures once they are officially adopted and a copy of the Commissioner's memo or order implementing such policies and procedures to formally close the recommendation.

Autonomous Agencies

Commonwealth Ports Authority Commonwealth Utilities Corporation Northern Marianas College Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund Public School System

Commonwealth Ports Authority

Date(s) of followup letter(s) ser	t : 3/7/06, 9/25/06, 2/14/07, 8/23/07, 12/6/07 (Deloitte re: CPA response), 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/23/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) rec	eived : 9/6/07 (Request for extension), 10/4/07 (Request for extension), 10/29/07, 4/7/08 (Request for extension), 4/17/08
Recommendation 4 :	The Commonwealth Ports Authority should adopt policies and procedures, and/or regulations to address the issue of the security of public funds.
Agency to Act :	Commonwealth Ports Authority
Status :	Open - Delinquent
Agency Response :	In his letter dated 10/29/07, the acting Executive Director informed OPA that all of CPA's funds and investment accounts are in FDIC banks.
	<i>OPA Response:</i> OPA recognizes the written response provided by the CPA acting Executive Director which provides a narrative of what actions have been done. However, the response still fails to provide written and duly adopted policies and/or procedures to address the issue of the security of public funds.
	On 4/17/08, the CPA Acting Director resubmitted his 10/29/07 response which still fails to provide written and duly adopted policies and/or procedures to address the issue of the security of public funds.
Additional Information or Action Required :	The CPA should provide OPA with a copy of the policies and procedures, and/or regulations to address the issue of the security of public funds.

Commonwealth Utilities Corporation

Report No. AR-02-01 issued August 27, 2002 Commonwealth Utilities Corporation Audit of Small Purchases from October 1999 through March 2001

Date(s) of followup let	ter(s)	sent : 2/14/03, 9/2/03, 4/13/04, 9/27/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/6/07, 8/23/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/23/11
Date(s) of response let	ter(s)	received : 10/17/03, 10/29/04, 3/16/05, 8/24/05, 3/27/06 (request for extension), 4/11/06, 11/9/06, 5/24/11
Recommendation I	:	The CUC Board should amend CUC's Procurement Regulations to include:
		(a) a clear definition of artificial division of purchases or split purchases;
		 (b) the procurement method to be followed in special circumstances, such as for urgently needed goods or services;
		(c) an exception to the required 3 quotations when the number of available suppliers is very limited.
Agency to Act	:	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation
Status	:	Closed
Agency Response	:	On 10/17/03, the CUC Executive Director provided OPA with the following proposed policies, forms, and amendments to the CUC Procurement Regulations which are now with the CUC Board for their review, adoption, and promulgation.
		<i>Split Purchases</i> - CUC has proposed amendments to the CUC Procurement Regulations regarding split purchases which provided clear definition of split purchases and guidance for determining the existence of such type of procurement.
		<i>Emergency Procurement</i> - CUC has proposed amendments to the CUC Procurement Regulations regarding emergency procurement which specifies conditions for use, procedures, documentation, and authorizations required for such type of procurement.
		<i>Sole Source Procurement</i> - CUC has designed a Sole Source Justification Form to document the procurement method when CUC cannot obtain three quotations because of special circumstances.
		On 10/29/04, the CUC Executive Director informed OPA that the CUC Board has adopted the Proposed Amendments to the Procurement Rules and Regulations addressing split purchases, emergency procurement, and sole source procurement on 10/7/04 and is in the process of promulgating the said amendments.
		On 3/16/05, the CUC Executive Director advised OPA that the Proposed Amendments to the CUC Procurement Rules and Regulations addressing split purchases, emergency procurement, and sole source procurement will be submitted to the Attorney General's Office for review by April 15, 2005.
		In her response letter dated 8/24/05, the Executive Director Stated that CUC is in the process of finalizing all the necessary documents to promulgate the Proposed Amendments to the CUC Procurement Rules and Regulations addressing split purchases, emergency procurement, and sole source procurement.

		In his response dated 4/11/06, the CUC Acting Executive Director informed OPA that the CUC management is currently assessing its plan of actions to address outstanding OPA recommendations given its current organizational structure under the Department of Public Works. He further noted that the CUC management will be working with the Attorney General's Office to settle recommendations stated in the OPA audit reports and that information regarding the status of such recommendations will be forwarded to OPA as they become available. In his response dated 11/9/06, the Executive Director informed OPA that after careful review of the former Board's approved changes to the Procurement and Personnel Regulations (PPR), CUC found that they are unable to submit the approved changes to the PPR in its current form to the Attorney General's Office for promulgation. Specifically, CUC needs to ensure that the Board approved PPR conforms to the Executive Order issued by the Governor. He also stated in his letter that CUC and its Legal Counsel are reviewing if the Executive Order allows for the involvement of the Advisory Board created by the Executive Order. Once these matters are resolved, CUC will promptly act to promulgate the regulations to address OPA's recommendation.
		On 5/24/11, the CUC Chief Financial Officer provided OPA a copy of CUC's Procurement Regulations published in the Commonwealth Register on 06/18/07 and the Northern Mariana Islands Administrative Code (Title 50 Chapter 50) which addresses the issue of split contracts (§ 50-50-120), emergency procurement (§ 50-50-220), and sole source procurement (§ 50-50-215). The document provided to OPA is sufficient to close this recommendation.
Recommendation 2	:	The CUC Board should adopt policies and procedures for small purchases that:
		 provided adequate guidance to CUC employees on determining split purchases or artificially divided purchases;
		2. define the coverage of emergency procurement under the after-the-fact procurement method specified in the CUC Comptroller's memorandum dated October 24, 2001;
		3. provide procedures for identifying and documenting actual or potential conflicts of interest, including procedures for ensuring that conflicted employees recuse themselves from participating in the procurement process.
Agency to Act	:	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation
Status	:	Closed
Agency Response	:	On 10/17/03, the CUC Executive Director provided OPA with the following proposed policies, forms, and amendments to the CUC Procurement Regulations which are now with the CUC Board for their review, adoption, and promulgation.
		<i>Split Purchases</i> - CUC has proposed amendments to the CUC Procurement Regulations regarding split purchases which provided clear definition of split purchases and guidance for determining the existence of such type of procurement.
		<i>After-the-Fact Purchase Orders</i> - CUC has drafted a proposed policy on after-the-fact purchase orders viewing it as a serious matter and with the ultimate goal of eliminating it. Only in emergency situations may the corporation ratify after-the-fact purchases as commitments which are governed by applicable emergency procurement regulations.
		<i>Conflict of Interest</i> - In a memorandum dated 10/17/03, the CUC Executive Director instructed the CUC Procurement Manager to advise all employees involved in the procurement process to observe CUC's policy on conflict of interest. CUC has proposed a Disclosure Statement Form which will require all concerned to disclose any substantial interest that employees or their relative have in any CUC procurement matter. The CUC Procurement Manager was also instructed to coordinate with OPA to provide annual Government Ethics training for its staff.
		On 10/29/04, the CUC Executive Director informed OPA that the CUC Board has

adopted the Proposed Amendments to the Procurement Rules and Regulations addressing split purchases, after-the-fact purchase orders, and Disclosure Statement Form and is in the process of promulgating the said rules and regulations.

On 3/16/05, the CUC Executive Director advised OPA that the Proposed Amendments to the CUC Procurement Rules and Regulations addressing split purchases, after-the-fact purchase orders, and Disclosure Statement Form will be submitted to the Attorney General's Office for review by April 15, 2005.

In her response letter dated 8/24/05, the Executive Director Stated that CUC is in the process of finalizing all the necessary documents to promulgate the Proposed Amendments to the CUC Procurement Rules and Regulations addressing split purchases, after-the-fact purchase orders, and Disclosure Statement Form.

In his response dated 4/11/06, the CUC Acting Executive Director informed OPA that the CUC management is currently assessing its plan of actions to address outstanding OPA recommendations given its current organizational structure under the Department of Public Works. He further noted that the CUC management will be working with the Attorney General's Office to settle recommendations stated in the OPA audit reports and that information regarding the status of such recommendations will be forwarded to OPA as they become available.

In his response dated 11/9/06, the Executive Director informed OPA that after careful review of the former Board's approved changes to the Procurement and Personnel Regulations (PPR), CUC found that they are unable to submit the approved changes to the PPR in its current form to the Attorney General's Office for promulgation. Specifically, CUC needs to ensure that the Board approved PPR conforms to the Executive Order issued by the Governor. He also stated in his letter that CUC and its Legal Counsel are reviewing if the Executive Order allows for the involvement of the Advisory Board created by the Executive Order. Once these matters are resolved, CUC will promptly act to promulgate the regulations to address OPA's recommendation.

On 5/24/11, the CUC Chief Financial Officer provided OPA a copy of CUC's Procurement Regulations published in the Commonwealth Register on 06/18/07 and on the Northern Mariana Islands Administrative Code (Title 50 Chapter 50) which addresses the issue of split contracts (§ 50-50-120), emergency procurement (after-the-fact) (§ 50-50-220), and employee conflict of interest (§ 50-50-520). The document provided to OPA is sufficient to close this recommendation.

Report No. AR-03-01 issued January 15, 2003 Commonwealth Utilities Corporation Audit of Personnel Hiring from October 1, 1999 through July 15, 2001

Date(s) of followup lett	ter(s)	ent : 9/2/03, 4/13/04, 9/27/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/6/07, 8/23/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/23/11		
Date(s) of response lett	er(s) 1	ceived : 2/21/03, 10/17/03, 10/29/04, 3/16/05, 8/24/05, 3/27/06 (request for extension), 4/11/06, 11/9/06, 5/24/11		
Recommendation I	:	Continue the effort to develop and adopt comprehensive personnel rules and regulations. If it determines that limited term appointments are necessary for CUC operation, it should define its practice in the proposed personnel regulations.		
Agency to Act	:	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation		
Status	:	Closed		
Agency Response	:	In her response dated 10/17/03, the CUC Executive Director stated that the Board's Personnel Committee is pursuing the revision of their existing Personnel Rules and Regulations to be presented to the Board for approval and for promulgation as CUC Regulations. OPA was provided a copy of the Executive Director's letter to the Board		

dated 10/2/03 requesting action on this matter.

On 10/29/04, the CUC Executive Director informed OPA that the CUC Board has adopted the proposed CUC Human Resources Rules and Regulations on 10/7/04 and is in the process of promulgating the said rules and regulations.

On 3/16/05, the CUC Executive Director advised OPA that the revised Personnel Rules and Regulations will be brought back to the Personnel Committee for further review. Upon adoption of the necessary changes, the Corporation will pursue promulgation of the said revised rules and regulations.

In her letter response dated 8/24/05, the Executive Director stated that CUC is in the process of finalizing all the necessary documents to promulgate its revised Personnel Rules and Regulations.

In his response dated 4/11/06, the CUC Acting Executive Director informed OPA that the CUC management is currently assessing its plan of actions to address outstanding OPA recommendations given its current organizational structure under the Department of Public Works. He further noted that the CUC management will be working with the Attorney General's Office to settle recommendations stated in the OPA audit reports and that information regarding the status of such recommendations will be forwarded to OPA as they become available.

In his response dated 11/9/06, the Executive Director informed OPA that after careful review of the former Board's approved changes to the Procurement and Personnel Regulations (PPR), CUC found that they are unable to submit the approved changes to the PPR in its current form to the Attorney General's Office for promulgation. Specifically, CUC needs to ensure that the Board approved PPR conforms to the Executive Order issued by the Governor. He also stated in his letter that CUC and its Legal Counsel are reviewing if the Executive Order allows for the involvement of the Advisory Board created by the Executive Order. Once these matters are resolved, CUC will promptly act to promulgate the regulations to address OPA's recommendation.

On 5/24/11, the CUC Chief Financial Officer provided OPA a copy of CUC's Human Resource Rules and Regulations (HRR&R). CUC did not define "Limited Term" appointments in its HRR&R, therefore, OPA expects that CUC will not grant limited term appointments unless it amends its HRR&R to include these positions. The document provided to OPA is sufficient to close this recommendation.

Report No. AR-03-02 issued January 22, 2003 Commonwealth Utilities Corporation Audit of Premium Pay, Overtime, and Salary Increases from October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2001

Date(s) of followup lett	er(s)	ent : 9/2/03, 4/13/04, 9/27/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/6/07, 8/23/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/23/11
Date(s) of response lette	er(s) r	ceeived : 2/21/03, 10/17/03, 10/29/04, 3/16/05, 8/24/05, 3/27/06 (request for extension), 4/11/06, 11/9/06, 5/24/11, 7/1/11, 7/5/11
Recommendation I	:	Develop and adopt Personnel Rules and Regulations to cover promotion and salary increase justifications, and the various forms of premium pay it plans to use, as well as to conform to the requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act concerning computation of overtime.
Agency to Act	:	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation
Status	:	Closed
Agency Response	:	In her response dated 2/21/03, the CUC Executive Director informed OPA that the CUC Board of Directors adopted an interim manual for Human Resources Policies and Procedures (HRPP) on 12/27/02. Upon completion of its review from staff and

management, the Board will adopt the manual in a final form and present it to the AGO for review and promulgation in the Commonwealth Register as a regulation. CUC is preparing to issue a solicitation for a firm to create new classification and compensation plans for reclassification for the Corporation. The HRPP will address the issues of promotion and provide for a competitive promotional process. The compensation plan will provide for step increases in salaries for employees. The HRPP requires strict compliance with the Fair Labor Standards Act and it is CUC's intention that, with the concurrence of the consulting firm doing the compensation plan, CUC will eliminate premium pay and incorporate all aspects of any individual position which would impact compensation into the base salary. Based on the information provided, this recommendation is considered resolved until such time that the manual for HRPP is promulgated as regulations.

In her response dated 10/17/03, the CUC Executive Director stated that the Board's Personnel Committee is pursuing the revision of their existing Personnel Rules and Regulations to be presented to the Board for approval and for promulgation as CUC Regulations.

On 10/29/04, the CUC Executive Director informed OPA that the CUC Board has adopted the proposed Human Resources Rules and Regulations on 10/7/04 and is in the process of promulgating the said rules and regulations.

On 3/16/05, the CUC Executive Director advised OPA that the revised Personnel Rules and Regulations will be brought back to the Personnel Committee for further review. Upon adoption of the necessary changes, the Corporation will pursue promulgation of the said revised rules and regulations.

In her letter response dated 8/24/05, the Executive Director stated that CUC is in the process of finalizing all the necessary documents to promulgate its revised Personnel Rules and Regulations.

In his response dated 4/11/06, the CUC Acting Executive Director informed OPA that the CUC management is currently assessing its plan of actions to address outstanding OPA recommendations given its current organizational structure under the Department of Public Works. He further noted that the CUC management will be working with the Attorney General's Office to settle recommendations stated in the OPA audit reports and that information regarding the status of such recommendations will be forwarded to OPA as they become available.

In his response dated 11/9/06, the Executive Director informed OPA that after careful review of the former Board's approved changes to the Procurement and Personnel Regulations (PPR), CUC found that they are unable to submit the approved changes to the PER in its current form to the Attorney General's Office for promulgation. Specifically, CUC needs to ensure that the Board approved PER conforms to the Executive Order issued by the Governor. He also stated in his letter that CUC and its Legal Counsel are reviewing if the Executive Order allows for the involvement of the Advisory Board created by the Executive Order. Once these matters are resolved, CUC will promptly act to promulgate the regulations to address OPA's recommendation.

On 5/24/11, the CUC Chief Financial Officer provided OPA a copy of CUC's Human Resource Rules and Regulations. Section 6: Employee Performance Evaluation of CUC's HRR&R states that "employees may be eligible for an annual performance increase. Increases are based on the CUC Board of Directors approved increase percentage as adopted for each fiscal year. Additional documents were provided to OPA on 7/1/11 and 7/5/11 to address the issue of forms of premium pay that CUC plans to use. In lieu of overtime pay, CUC has implemented the use of compensatory time at a rate of 1.5 hours per hour worked. As such, the documents provided to OPA is sufficient to close this recommendation.

Report No. AR-05-01 issued March 3, 2005

Audit of the Security of CNI Government Funds Deposited in Banks and Financial Institutions

Date(s) of followup letter	r(s) sent	t : 3/10/06, 9/26/06, 2/6/07, 8/23/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/23/11
Date(s) of response letter	r(s) rece	ived : 3/27/06 (request for extension), 4/11/06, 11/9/06, 5/24/11
Recommendation I	:	The Commonwealth Utilities Corporation should adopt policies and procedures, and/or regulations to address the issue of the security of public funds.
Agency to Act	:	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation
Status	:	Open - Active
Agency Response	:	In his response dated 4/11/06, the CUC Acting Executive Director informed OPA that the CUC management is currently assessing its plan of actions to address outstanding OPA recommendations given its current organizational structure under the Department of Public Works. He further noted that the CUC management will be working with the Attorney General's Office to settle recommendations stated in the OPA audit reports and that information regarding the status of such recommendations will be forwarded to OPA as they become available.
		CUC's email response dated 5/24/11 from the Chief Financial Officer did not address this recommendation.
		<i>OPA Response:</i> OPA wants to clarify this issue with the management of CUC. Depositing funds in FDIC insured banks does not guarantee the security of public funds as intended in the Government Deposit Safety Act (PL 4-33 amended by PL 9-13) because FDIC only covers up to \$100,000 of the deposit. The Government Deposit Safety Act states the following:
		§ 7803. Deposit Safety Requirements. All funds in the commonwealth treasury may be deposited by the Secretary of Finance to the credit of the CNMI government in any bank provided that the bank in which the money is deposited shall furnish and pledge security with bank assets valued at all times at 110% of these funds. Bank assets shll be in the form of United States Treasury bonds and United States Government Agency securities having readily ascertainable market value. United States Government Agency securities for the United States Government. Security shall not be required for that portion of any deposit that is insured under any law of the United States.
Additional Information	1	§ 7807. Provisions of Depository Contracts. Any acceptance by a depository of government funds shall constitute an acceptance of the provisions of Section 7803 and those provisions shall be deemed a part of and incorporated into the contract of deposit without necessity for specific mention thereof. The Secretary shall file with the Public Auditor a copy of formal written contracts of deposit which may be entered into.
or Action Required	:	The CUC should write policies to ensure that all deposits would be fully collateralized by all depository banks. Subsequently, CUC should provide OPA with documentation that CUC Funds are fully secured in accordance with the Government Deposit Safety Act.

Northern Marianas College

Report No. AR-03-03 issued February 19, 2003 Northern Marianas College Evaluation of the Facts and Circumstances Surrounding the Termination of Employees

Date(s) of followup letter	(s) sent	: 8/1/03, 4/28/04, 9/27/04, 2/15/05, 8/8/05, 3/8/06, 9/26/06, 2/6/07, 8/23/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter((s) receiv	ed : 4/1/03, 8/5/03, 6/7/04, 9/15/06 (Response to Governor's Office), 1/24/11
Recommendation 6	:	The President inquire with the Western Association for Schools and Colleges (WASC) as to whether or not the Administration Procedures Act should apply to NMC; if WASC determines that they should apply, the President should ensure that NMC policies are published as regulations as required by the Administrative Procedures Act; if WASC determines they do not apply, the President should bring this matter to the Board to propose legislation which would exclude NMC from the Act.
Agency to Act	:	Northern Marianas College
Status	:	Resolved - Active
Agency Response	:	In the NMC Board of Regent's response prior to issuance of the final audit report, the Board agreed that NMC should follow the Administrative Procedures Act on future actions. In his 8/5/03 response, the former NMC President stated that the Board initially approved the first section of revised policies at its regular June meeting. These policies have been reviewed by the Chamorro/Carolinian Language Policy Commission as the initial step in the Administrative Procedures Act and will soon be published. OPA was provided a copy of the first section of revised policies that have already been adopted. NMC will forward more policies as they are adopted. In his 6/7/04 response, the NMC Director of Finance and Procurement stated
		that the Office of the President is currently researching the actions taken by the former NMC President to address this recommendation and will provide OPA with the relevant information upon completion of its research.
		In his response letter to the Special Legal Counsel for the Governor's Office dated 9/15/06, the Acting President stated that NMC has been working to ensure that all its revised policies and procedures are published in the Commonwealth Register. He also stated that NMC's lack of adequate personnel has hampered their ability to comply fully with this recommendation, but will continue to work towards full compliance with this recommendation.
		On 1/24/11, the NMC Interim President provided OPA a copy of NMC's proposed Board Operations Policy that was adopted by the Board of Regents on 06/27/03 and published in the Commonwealth Register on 9/18/03. However, the document for the published final adoption ws not provided. On 6/29/11, OPA requested from the NMC Interim President via telephone for a copy of the notice of final adoption of the Board Operations Policy. The NMC Interim President stated that she will follow-up and provide OPA with the document requested.
Additional Information or Action Required	:	NMC should provide OPA with a copy of the notice of adoption of the Board Operations Policy that was published in the Commonwealth Register on 9/18/03.
Recommendation 10	:	The President and the Board consulted with its legal counsel to determine the effect of the Civil Service Commission's (CSC) decision on NMC employment status.

Agency to Act	:	Northern Marianas College
Status	:	Closed
Agency Response	:	In his response dated 8/5/03, the NMC President stated that the Civil Service issue is still pending in the court.
		In his 6/7/04 response, the NMC Director of Finance and Procurement stated that the Office of the President is currently researching the actions taken by the former NMC President to address this recommendation and will provide OPA with the relevant information upon completion of its research.
		In his response letter to the Special Legal Counsel for the Governor's Office dated 9/15/06, the Acting President stated that the College continues to review this finding through its counsel as a recent court ruling concluded that NMC employees may be subject to the Civil Service Commission. NMC is appealing this ruling as WASC requires the College be autonomous in this regard. Additional information on this issue will be provided to OPA as it becomes available.
		On 01/24/11, the Interim President provided OPA a copy of the Supreme Court's decision on the issue. The Supreme Court ruled that CSC does not have jurisdiction over NMC's administrative appeals. As such, NMC is exempted from the civil service system. The Supreme Court's decision is a final verdict therefore this recommendation is now considered closed.

• Date(s) of followup letter	r(c) cont	: 3/8/06, 9/26/06, 2/6/07, 8/23/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09,
Date(s) of followup letter	i(s) sent	11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) received		ed : 9/15/06 (Response to Governor's Office), 1/24/11
Recommendation 10	:	The Northern Marianas College should adopt policies and procedures, and/or regulations to address the issue of the security of public funds.
Agency to Act	:	Northern Marianas College
Status	:	Open - Active
Agency Response	:	In his response letter to the Special Legal Counsel for the Governor's Office dated 9/15/06, the Acting President stated that the College's policy is to maintain its funds in FDIC insured banks. The College currently does not have insurance coverage on deposits in excess of the \$100,000 FDIC coverage, but will explore additional insurance options available for amounts in excess of the FDIC coverage. Additional information will be provided to OPA as they become available.
		<i>OPA Response:</i> OPA is unsure whether the College's policy for maintaining its funds in FDIC insured banks is a practice or a written policy adopted by the Board of Regents. If it is only a practice, NMC should document and adopt the policy in order to address OPA's recommendation. If the policy has already been documented and adopted, NMC should provide OPA a copy of the written policy for its review to formally close the recommendation.
		On 1/24/11, the NMC Interim President provided OPA a copy of NMC's Board Policy pertaining the of the security of public funds which states that all NMC bank accounts shall be with a banking institute insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
		<i>OPA Response:</i> OPA has been aware of such NMC Policy and OPA's concern pertains to deposits in excess of \$100,000 that is not covered by the FDIC. The

	additional policy needed pertains to the awareness and assurance that NMC will comply to the requirement of the Government Safety Deposit Act. According to 1 CMC § 7803, the Deposit Safety Requirements state that "all funds in the commonwealth treasury may be depositedin any bank; provided that the bank in which the money is deposited shall furnish and pledge security with bank assets valued at all times at 110% of these funds. Bank assets shall be in the form of United States Treasury bonds and United States Government Agency securities having readily ascertainable market valueSecurity Shall not be required for that portion of any deposit that is insured under any law of the
Additional Information	United States."
or Action Required	NMC should supplement its policy on maintaining bank accounts with FDIC insured banks with policies that will ensure compliance with the Government Safety Deposit Act. Specifically, NMC must adopt a policy requiring depository banks to provide security for government deposits in excess of what is covered by the FDIC.

Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund

Report No. LT-01-04 issued August 8, 2001 Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund Audit of Travel Outside the CNMI from October 1996 Through March 2000

Date(s) of followup letter	(s) sent	: $3/5/02, 8/9/02, 2/18/03, 8/4/03, 4/16/04, 9/28/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05$ 3/10/06, 10/17/06, 2/7/07, 8/27/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter((s) received	: 7/22/03, 3/22/05, 8/14/06, 10/26/06, 1/4/08, 8/31/09, 9/3/09, 7/15/11
Recommendation 2	for add for mer	e Fund Administrator should consistently enforce sanctions or other remedies travel violations. Travelers with unliquidated advances should be denied litional travel advances; payroll deductions should be immediately implemented travelers who fail to submit the required travel documents on time; and board mbers, especially those who are not government employees, should be required mmediately repay outstanding travel advances.
Agency to Act	: Nor	rthern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund
Status	: Res	solved - Active
Agency Response	unn the	IIRF agreed with the recommendation and stated that erroneous and necessary travel reimbursements must be collected. The BOT will work with Administrator towards identifying the travel violations and collecting from the velers who owe the Fund.
	mer the a tra vou trav pay part com	7/22/03, the NMIRF Administrator provided OPA with a copy of the morandum directed to the Fund Comptroller reminding him to strictly enforce NMIRF Travel Policy that no further travel shall be authorized or permitted for aveler who has failed to submit the proper vouchers from prior travel, until the uchers are received and approved by the Administrator, and all outstanding vel advances cleared. He also authorized the Comptroller to deduct from troll any amounts due from travelers and require Board of Trustees who are not t of the government payroll to immediately repay the advances when a mpleted travel voucher is submitted. OPA was not informed, however, of the the travel of when the scheduled overpayments will be collected.
	stric trav also	his response dated 3/22/05, the NMIRF Administrator stated that the Fund is ctly enforcing its travel policy and continuously reminds travelers to liquidate vel vouchers within 10 days after the culmination of official travels. The Fund o collected from travelers anything that was due from each individual traveler on liquidation of advances.
		8/14/06, the then NMIRF Administrator stated that no collection attempts have n initiated.
	repi recc effc pay any with recc limi	10/26/06, the NMIRF Administrator stated that a meeting was held with resentatives from OPA, AGO, NMIRF, and CPA to discuss various options to oup funds expended by the NMIRF Board of Trustees such as civil collection orts, criminal prosecutions, and statutory revisions potentially allowing retiree garnishment. Concerning these options, the issue of statute of limitations and applicable exceptions to this rule was also discussed. The group discussed h a consensus that the Attorney General had previously prioritized some oupment actions and will make a test case for the exception to the statute of itations. In the meantime, NMIRF will issue dunning letters to its previous stees.
	10/2	1/4/08, the NMIRF Administrator stated in its response for OPA to revisit the 26/06 meeting with the representatives from OPA, AGO, NMIRF, and CPA. additional updates were provided to OPA.

	On 8/31/09, the NMIRF Administrator stated in its response to refer to NMIRF's previous correspondences dated 10/26/06 and 1/4/08. Additionally, in a phone conversation with the NMIRF Administrator on 9/3/09, OPA was informed that NMIRF will forward any updated information pertaining to this recommendation once it becomes available.
	In her response letter dated 7/15/11, the Acting NMIRF Administrator stated that the NMIRF recently reviewed the schedule of receivables cited in the audit report and has ascertained that 4 of the 21 travelers listed are deceased. As a result, NMIRF has written off the receivables owed by the deceased individuals. For the remaining balances owed, NMIRF has forwarded the matter to its Legal Counsel to review and determine if NMIRF still has standing to collect, given that the amounts owed are between 11 and 15 years old.
Additional Information or Action Required :	NMIRF is requested to provide OPA the status of collection of overpayments cited in the audit report. NMIRF should also provide OPA reasons, if any, for those overpayments that were not collected or resolved.

Report No. AR-00-03 issued July 20, 2000 Commonwealth Ports Authority Audit of the Compensatory Time Claimed and Retirement Benefits Paid to Two Former Officials of the CPA

Date(s) of followup lette	r(s) sen	t : 1/17/01 (CPA), 1/19/01 (NMIRF), 8/20/01 (CPA), 8/21/01 (NMIRF), 3/5/02 (CPA) (NMIRF), 8/9/02 (CPA) (NMIRF), 8/12/02 (AGO), 10/3/02 (AGO), 12/24/02 (AGO), 2/14/03 (AGO) (CPA), 2/18/03 (NMIRF), 8/4/03 (NMIRF) (AGO), 4/13/04 (AGO), 4/16/04 (NMIRF), 9/21/04 (AGO), 9/28/04 (NMIRF), 2/15/05 (AGO) (NMIRF), 8/9/05 (AGO) (NMIRF), 3/10/06 (NMIRF) (AGO), 10/17/06 (NMIRF), 2/7/07, 8/27/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11		
Date(s) of response letter	r(s) reco	eived : 8/31/01 (meeting with CPA), 10/4/01 (NMIRF letter of request for extension to respond until 11/3/01), 10/12/01 (CPA), 1/29/01, 2/12/02 (meeting with AGO), 8/12/02 (CPA request for extension), 8/30/02 (CPA), 9/25/02 (CPA), 4/2/03 (NMIRF), 7/22/03 (NMIRF), 9/15/03 (AGO), 4/30/04 (AGO), 10/25/04 (AGO), 3/22/05 (NMIRF), 9/1/05 (AGO), 8/14/06 (NMIRF), 1/4/08 (NMIRF), 8/31/09 (NMIRF), 7/15/11		
Recommendation 8	:	The Fund Administrator should instruct his staff to recalculate and adjust the pension benefits of all other fund members by disregarding overtime and comptime hours that were considered as additional credited service.		
Agency To Act	:	Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund		
Status	:	Resolved - Active		
Agency Response	:	NMIRF obtained the legal opinion of AGO on whether overtime and compensatory time can be used to determine the benefit amount of a retirement annuity.		
		AGO, in its legal opinion dated 6/9/00, stated that overtime and compensatory time may not be used to calculate the amount of benefit, but only for determining eligibility for retirement. AGO also stated that overtime and compensatory time cannot be used to determine the amount of the benefit paid to a retiree by the Retirement Fund.		
		AGO recommended that re-calculation of benefits to affected members should be made, and the amount of overpayments should be determined. AGO further stated that members should be informed and advised of their right to appeal an adverse determination. If no appeal is filed, then the collection process must be undertaken by the NMIRF.		

		The Fund is in the process of determining which beneficiaries have been overpaid. Further actions will be taken after this determination, <i>i.e.</i> , informing affected beneficiaries, appeal and collection process, etc.
		In his response dated 7/22/03, the NMIRF Administrator stated that the pensions of two former CPA officials were recalculated "down" from the original calculations. OPA was not informed, however, of the results for recalculating the pension benefits of all other fund members in determining which beneficiaries have been overpaid and what further actions were taken after their determination.
		On 3/22/05, the NMIRF Administrator stated that at the 3/10/05 Board of Trustees meeting, the Board agreed to revisit the issue of recovering overpayments at its next regular meeting. The Administrator also stated that the Fund does not currently have a full-time legal counsel.
		On 8/14/06, the then NMIRF Administrator stated that the recalculation of pension benefits is ongoing, yet is subject to certain due process applications of the Administrative Procedure Act prior to recoupment.
Additional Information		In her response letter dated 7/15/11, the NMIRF Acting Administrator informed OPA that the NMIRF has commenced the process of determining which beneficiaries have been overpaid and computing the overpayment amounts. Thereafter, NMIRF will initiate efforts to collect on such overpayments through the Administrative process.
or Action Required	:	NMIRF should inform OPA of a target date when their recalculation of pension benefits for all other fund members will be completed. Once completed, NMIRF should provide OPA evidence that corrective action was taken to adjust the benefits of affected members.
Recommendation 9	:	The Fund Administrator should recover improper payments to the two former CPA officials totaling \$126,730.06, and also from all other fund members who have been overpaid by including overtime and comp-time in the computation of their retirement annuity. If any problems exist in recovering overpayments, refer the matter to the Attorney General for legal action.
Agency to Act	:	Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund
Status	:	Resolved - Active
Agency Response	:	On 5/15/00, the Fund began withholding 50% of the former CPA Executive Director's semi-monthly pension. The Fund also conducted two separate agency hearings in connection with the retirement benefits improperly paid or being paid to the former Executive Director and former Security Chief. In its decision dated 2/15/01, the Fund's Board of Trustees affirmed the decision and actions of its Administrator in terminating the retirement benefit of the former Security Chief. Also, in a letter dated 7/27/00, AGO concurred with the findings of OPA and determined that the overpayment to the former Security Chief of CPA must be recovered. The Fund's Board of Trustees has not yet issued its decision on the case of the former Executive Director.
		On 4/2/03, OPA was provided with a copy of the Board of Trustees decision dated 6/21/01 in which it affirmed the decision and actions of its Administrator in re- calculating and adjusting the retirement benefits of the Former Executive Director. OPA was informed, however, that the Former Executive Director had appealed the Board's final decision to the Superior Court (Civil Action No. 00-0409E) and the case is still on-going.
		In his response dated 7/22/03, the NMIRF Administrator stated that they will update OPA on this issue when the CNMI Courts renders its decision on the Former Executive Director's appeal to the Superior Court.
		On 3/22/05, the NMIRF Administrator stated that at the 3/10/05 Board of Trustees meeting, the Board agreed to revisit the issue of recovering overpayments at its next regular meeting. The Administrator also stated that the Fund does not currently have a full-time legal counsel.

	In a phone conversation with the NMIRF Administrator on 4/5/05, OPA was informed that the Former Executive Director's appeal to the Superior Court is still on-going.
	In his 8/14/06 response, the then NMIRF Administrator informed OPA that recoupment from his benefits from one of the former CPA officials will commence if and when re-employed with the government. For the other former CPA official, pursuant to a stipulation the case will be returned to the administrative hearing process and a new Notice of Administrative Hearing will be issued forthwith.
	In his 1/4/08 response, the NMIRF Administrator stated that one individual is unemployed and currently seeking employment, has approximately 20 months before retirement eligibility; and, upon re-employment or retirement recoupment will be sought. For the other individual, his case has been submitted and is under advisement with the court.
	On 8/31/09, the NMIRF Administrator stated in its response to refer to NMIRF's previous correspondences dated 10/26/06 and 1/4/08. Additionally, in a phone conversation with the NMIRF Administrator on 9/3/09, OPA was informed that NMIRF will forward any updated information pertaining to this recommendation once it becomes available.
	On 7/15/11, the NMIRF Acting Administrator informed OPA that it has not executed a settlement agreement with the former CPA Security Chief. Subsequent to the re-computation of his pension benefit which omitted any comp-time credit, the former CPA Security Chief no longer qualifies to receive retirement benefits. As such, NMIRF has ceased his benefits but was not able to collect any amounts from the former CPA Security Chief as he has been unemployed since 2000. NMIRF also noted that the former Chief suffered from a stroke several years ago which has made his ability to pay even more unlikely. NMIRF will initiate efforts to work out an arrangement with the former CPA Security Chief to recover the overpayments however nominal.
	For the former CPA Executive Director, NMIRF has fully recovered \$69,816.17 in overpayment for the period covering March 2008 through February 2010. A copy of the accounts receivable subsidiary ledger was provided to OPA evidencing the full recovery of the overpayment. The former CPA Executive Director is now receiving his full and corrected pension along with any related COLA adjustments. The part of the recommendation pertaining to the former CPA Executive Director is now considered closed.
Additional Information or Action Required :	NMIRF should provide OPA evidence of a written settlement agreement with the former CPA Security Chief requiring repayment to the Retirement Fund.

Date(s) of followup lette	r(s) sen	: 3/10/06, 10/17/06, 2/7/07, 8/27/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter	r(s) rece	ved : 8/14/06, 7/15/11
Recommendation 9	:	The Northern Mariana Retirement Fund should adopt policies and procedures, and/or regulations to address the issue of the security of public funds
Agency to Act	:	Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund
Status	:	Resolve - Active
Agency Response	:	In his letter dated 8/14/06, the then NMIRF Administrator stated that they are currently in compliance with law as evident by their receipt of monthly Collateral Security Agreements from its banking institution.

	<i>OPA Response</i> -The receipt of the monthly Collateral Security Agreement between NMIRF and the bank is insufficient to close the recommendation. This agreement does not state how NMIRF is to manage its operational funds.
	On 7/15/11, the NMIRF Acting Administrator provided OPA a copy of its draft resolution policy pertaining to the issue of the security of public funds for the Board of Trustees' consideration. Once approve, NMIRF will provide a copy to OPA.
Additional Information or Action Required :	The NMIRF should provide OPA with a copy of their formally adopted policies and procedures, and/or regulations to address the issue of the security of public funds.

Public School System

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent Date(s) of response letter(s) received		: 3/9/06, 9/28/06, 2/7/07, 8/23/07, 3/17/08, 9/16/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10
		ed : 3/28/06, 8/22/07, 12/10/10, 1/7/11
Recommendation 12	:	The Public School System should adopt policies and procedures, and/or regulations to address the issue of the security of public funds.
Agency to Act	:	Public School System
Status	:	Closed
Agency Response	:	In her letter response dated 3/28/06, the Commissioner of Education informed OPA that all PSS monies must be deposited in an FDIC insured bank. However, OPA was not provided with a copy of the PSS policy evidencing this requirement.
		In his letter dated 8/22/07, the Commissioner of Education informed OPA that PSS has successfully obtained collateralization beyond the FDIC insured limits for PSS deposits from a banking institution. OPA was also provided a copy of the certification of compliance letter from the bank which stated its compliance with Public Law 12-61. The certification of compliance letter also stated that it will monitor the deposits on a quarterly basis and collateral will be adjusted as needed.
		<i>OPA Response:</i> OPA recognizes the written response and documents provided by PSS. OPA will continue to follow-up on this recommendation until provided with written policies and procedures.
		On 12/10/10, OPA received a copy PSS's Policy and Procedures addressing the issue of the security of public funds. PSS will provide OPA with a copy of the approved board meeting minutes evidencing the approval of the policy as soon as the board officially approves the meeting minutes at their next meeting.
		On 1/7/11, PSS provided OPA a copy of the board meeting minutes evidencing that the policies and procedures, and/or regulations addressing the issue of the security of public funds has been formally adopted and approved, therefore, this recommendation is now considered closed.



Report No. AR-03-05 issued August 6, 2003 CNMI Senate, Thirteenth Legislature Monthly Subsistence Allowance Provided to Members of the Senate Covering the Period Ending June 30, 2002

Date(s) of followup letter(s) sent Date(s) of response letter(s) received		ent : 4/13/04, 9/27/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 9/22/05, 3/8/06, 2/7/07, 8/23/07, 3/20/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 5/17/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
		eceived : 5/12/04 (request for extension to respond), 10/13/04, 3/8/05 (telephone follow-up), 3/14/05, 8/17/05 (meeting with Senate Legal Counsel), 8/29/05, 9/11/08
Recommendation 2	:	Undertake an analysis of reasonable travel costs to ensure that the amount set for allowance is appropriate given expenses incurred.
Agency to Act	:	Senate
Status	:	Open - Active
Agency Response	:	The Senate said it will replace the existing subsistence allowance system with a new revolving reimbursement system that would use uniform per diem rates to reimburse Senators for expenses incurred in the exercise of their constitutional duties. It would also conduct an analysis of travel expenses to ensure that the new per diem rate was reasonable.
		In his response dated 10/13/04, the Senate President informed OPA that after reviewing the controlling legal authorities and after extensive deliberation and discussion, the Senate feels that a comprehensive Constitutional and statutory system currently exists to adequately govern the allowance given to members of the Senate and that no further legislation is necessary at this time.
		<i>OPA Response</i> - OPA did extensive legal research which formed the basis for the recommendation. OPA believes the CNMI Constitution clearly addresses these issues. OPA disagrees that the Senate may impliedly grant itself the authority to create an allowance for expenses through its internal rules. Therefore, until such time as the allowances that have been created by the Senate rules are discontinued, OPA must reiterate that the potential for legal challenges to the constitutionality of the authority for the monthly allowance exists. OPA urges the Senate to reconsider its position and pursue the analysis to determine the reasonable amount of members' travel allowance.
		In a telephone follow-up conversation with a Senate Legal Counsel, OPA was informed that the Senate's position on this matter remains the same. Therefore, this recommendation will remain <i>Open - Active</i> until such time that the Senate reconsiders its position and addresses OPA's recommendation.
		On 8/17/05, OPA met with the Senate Legal Counsel to discuss OPA's audit recommendations. The Senate Legal Counsel informed OPA that the Senate's position on this matter is essentially the same. However, OPA expressed its concern that a better system of accountability should be established. On 8/29/05, the Senate President reiterated to OPA that the Senate's position on this matter still remains the same and that no further legislation is necessary at this time. However, after discussing the matter with the Senate Legal Counsel and the concerns expressed by OPA staff during the 8/17/05 meeting, the Senate President therefore requested OPA's assistance in establishing an accounting system for the monthly Senatorial allowance or stipend that balances ease of use and proper accountability and is mutually acceptable to both the Senate and OPA. In OPA's response to the Senate's use, OPA consulted with representatives of the Hawaii Legislature, the Hawaii State

Additional Information or Action Required	on :	Auditor, the Virgin Islands Inspector General, and the American Samoa Territorial Auditor because of the similarities in the multi-island geographic composition of the state or territory and the level of sophistication of accounting systems. OPA provided the Senate President with a copy of the Hawaii House Administrative and Financial Manual for the Hawaii House of Representatives, Twenty Second State Legislature as reference in developing an accounting system for the monthly allowances paid to CNMI Senators. In its letter, OPA cited various requirements, restrictions, and forms which must be completed governing the Hawaii Legislature's annual allowance. For the CNMI Senator's stipend, OPA proposed a simple one page form which would simply list the date and amount of the monthly disbursement and then account for its usage. Since the Senators are currently being required to provide the Senate President with their monthly receipts, this system incorporates that method and make it easily reviewable. This form will also allow the Senators to undertake an analysis to determine reasonable travel costs to ensure that the amounts set for allowances are appropriate for the expenses incurred.
Recommendation 3	:	Document travel activity to enable the Senate to more accurately estimate an appropriate monthly allowance.
Agency to Act	:	Senate
Status	:	Open -Active
Agency Response	:	The Senate agreed to provide OPA with a written analysis of what it considers as reasonable travel to support changing the amount set for the monthly subsistence allowance.
		In his response dated 10/13/04, the Senate President informed OPA that after reviewing the controlling legal authorities and after extensive deliberation and discussion, the Senate feels that a comprehensive Constitutional and statutory system currently exists to adequately govern the allowance given to members of the Senate and that no further legislation is necessary at this time.
		<i>OPA Response</i> - OPA did extensive legal research which formed the basis for the recommendation. OPA believes the CNMI Constitution clearly addresses these issues. OPA disagrees that the Senate may impliedly grant itself the authority to create an allowance for expenses through its internal rules. Therefore, until such time as the allowances that have been created by the Senate rules are discontinued, OPA must reiterate that the potential for legal challenges to the constitutionality of the authority for the monthly allowance exists. OPA urges the Senate to reconsider its position and pursue the analysis to determine the reasonable amount of its subsistence allowance.
		In a telephone follow-up conversation with a Senate Legal Counsel, OPA was informed that the Senate's position on this matter remains the same. Therefore, this recommendation will remain <i>Open - Active</i> until such time that the Senate reconsiders its position and addresses OPA's recommendation.
		On 8/17/05, OPA met with the Senate Legal Counsel to discuss OPA's audit recommendations. The Senate Legal Counsel informed OPA that the Senate's position on this matter is essentially the same. However, OPA expressed its concern that a better system of accountability should be established. On 8/29/05, the Senate President reiterated to OPA that the Senate's position on this matter still remains the same and that no further legislation is necessary at this time. However, after discussing the matter with the Senate Legal Counsel and the concerns expressed by OPA staff during the 8/17/05 meeting, the Senate President agreed that a better system of accountability should be established. The Senate President therefore requested OPA's assistance in establishing an accounting system for the monthly Senatorial

Additional Information or Action Required	n :	allowance or stipend that balances ease of use and proper accountability and is mutually acceptable to both the Senate and OPA. In OPA's response to the Senate President dated 9/22/05, OPA stated that in developing a system for the Senate's use, OPA consulted with representatives of the Hawaii Legislature, the Hawaii State Auditor, the Virgin Islands Inspector General, and the American Samoa Territorial Auditor because of the similarities in the multi-island geographic composition of the state or territory and the level of sophistication of accounting systems. OPA provided the Senate President with a copy of the Hawaii House Administrative and Financial Manual for the Hawaii House of Representatives, Twenty Second State Legislature as reference in developing an accounting system for the monthly allowances paid to CNMI Senators. In its letter, OPA cited various requirements, restrictions, and forms which must be completed governing the Hawaii Legislature's annual allowance. For the CNMI Senator's stipend, OPA proposed a simple one page form which would simply list the date and amount of the monthly disbursement and then account for its usage. Since the Senators are currently being required to provide the Senate President with their monthly receipts, this system incorporates that method and make it easily reviewable. In his response dated 9/11/08, the Senate President informed OPA that he has instructed the Senior Legal Counsel to write an opinion regarding the subsistence allowance and has instructed the Legal Counsel to meet with OPA Legal Counsel to discuss a final resolution. The Senate should inform OPA whether or not it will adopt the proposed form for accounting the monthly Senatorial allowance.
Recommendation 5	:	Amend legislation and/or travel policy to prevent senators from being reimbursed for other concurrent travel.
Agency to Act	:	Senate
Status	:	Open -Active
Agency Response	:	The Senate stated it would take action so that members do not receive "double compensation" for travel costs.
		In his response dated 10/13/04, the Senate President informed OPA that after reviewing the controlling legal authorities and after extensive deliberation and discussion, the Senate feels that a comprehensive Constitutional and statutory system currently exists to adequately govern the allowance given to members of the Senate and that no further legislation is necessary at this time.
		<i>OPA Response</i> - OPA did extensive legal research which formed the basis for the recommendation. OPA believes the CNMI Constitution clearly addresses these issues. OPA disagrees that the Senate may impliedly grant itself the authority to create an allowance for expenses through its internal rules. Therefore, until such time as the allowances that have been created by the Senate rules are discontinued, OPA must reiterate that the potential for legal challenges to the constitutionality of the authority for the monthly allowance exists. OPA urges the Senate to reconsider its position and amend legislation and/or travel policy to prevent Senators from being reimbursed for other concurrent travel.
		In a telephone follow-up conversation with a Senate Legal Counsel, OPA was informed that the Senate's position on this matter remains the same. Therefore, this recommendation will remain <i>Open - Active</i> until such time that the Senate reconsiders its position and addresses OPA's recommendation.
	_	In his response dated 9/11/08, the Senate President informed OPA that he has instructed the Senior Legal Counsel to write an opinion regarding the subsistence allowance and has instructed the Legal Counsel to meet with OPA Legal Counsel to discuss a final resolution.
Additional Information or Action Required	n :	The Senate should amend language in legislation and/or travel policy requiring senators to adjust their vouchers or allowances so as not to obtain reimbursement for other concurrent travel.

This page was intentionally left blank.

Independent Auditor's Recommendations

CNMI

Commonwealth Development Authority Commonwealth Government Employees' Credit Union Commonwealth Ports Authority Commonwealth Utilities Corporation Department of Public Lands Northern Marianas College Northern Marianas Housing Corporation Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund Public School System Workers' Compensation Commission Attached is the Schedule of Findings, Questioned Costs and Recommendations issued by Independent Auditors.¹

This section of the report presents recommendations from Single Audit reports and other types of audit reports issued by private Certified Public Accountant (CPA) firms. The Office of the Public Auditor is not responsible for tracking the implementation of these recommendations, however, they are included in our audit tracking report for information purposes. Because OPA is responsible for overseeing all audits of the CNMI government, follow-up procedures are also conducted for these recommendations to determine what actions have been taken by the individual agencies to implement the recommendations issued by private CPA firms. A copy of the agencies' responses is subsequently provided to the Independent Auditor who conducted the audit to determine whether the agencies' responses are sufficient to consider the recommendations resolved.

Based on the classification followed by private CPA firms, a recommendation is described as either resolved or unresolved.

¹ The following pages were taken directly out of the Independent Auditors' reports. As such, the page numbers in this section of the report do not correspond with the page numbers in the original reports.

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009 Conducted By: Deloitte.

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent :	10/14/10 (DEQ, DOF, DPH, DPL, DPW, GOV-CIP, Medicaid, NAP, WIC), 5/17/11 (DEQ, DOF, DPH, DPL, DPW, GOV-CIP, Medicaid, NAP, WIC)
Date(s) of response letter(s) received:	11/15/10 (AGO), 5/19/11 (DPL)

See pages 62 to 160 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditors Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

B. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

External Financial Reporting

Finding No. 2009-1

<u>Criteria</u>: Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, requires that the financial statements of the reporting entity include component units for which the primary government is either financially accountable for, or for which exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

<u>Condition</u>: As of September 30, 2009, the Commonwealth Utilities Corporation (CUC) and the Public School System (PSS) were unaudited.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of audited financial statements for the entities.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is nonconformity with GASB Statement No. 14 resulting in a qualification in the opinion on the financial statements of the CNMI.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI conform with GASB Statement No. 14 by obtaining audited financial statements of the above entities for inclusion within the CNMI's financial statements.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Lack of audited financial statements for component units was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2005 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Robert A. Schrack, Secretary of Finance and Michael Pai, Public Auditor

Corrective Action: CNMI component units are required to have annual audits performed. The Office of the Public Auditor has been working with CNMI's component units to complete their audits within nine months of the close of their fiscal years.

Proposed Completion Date: FY2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Cash Flows

Finding No. 2009-2

<u>Criteria</u>: Sufficient cash flows should be maintained to ensure current obligations are met as well as to ensure efficient operations.

<u>Condition</u>: During the last few years, the CNMI's economic condition has been greatly affected by global policies and influences, natural disasters and terrorism among others. As a result, financial burden has been placed on the Government causing cost cutting measures to be implemented. The financial activities of the CNMI's General Fund in the last five years are as follows:

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Total assets	\$ 50,023,703	\$ 63,742,784	\$ 84,562,318	\$ 73,178,439	\$ 74,676,988
Total liabilities	\$ 322,606,682	\$ 299,614,851	\$ 283,813,688	\$ 225,281,973	\$ 210,539,483
Total fund deficit	\$ (272,582,979)	\$ (235,872,067)	\$ (199,251,370)	\$ (152,103,534)	\$ (135,862,495)
Total revenues	\$ 137,755,573	\$ 195,025,126	\$ 159,879,556	\$ 192,660,289	\$ 214,891,036
Total expenditures	\$ 182,674,263	\$ 224,417,008	\$ 193,136,802	\$ 209,039,185	\$ 244,881,423
Other financing sources (uses)	\$ 8,207,778	\$ (7,228,815)	\$ (2,996,864)	\$ 137,857	\$ 16,143

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is that resources are not readily available to alleviate cash flow needs. Further, revenue resources are not adequate to meet increasing expenditures/obligations.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the potential for inadequate cash flows to meet current obligations. It appears that this condition has been mitigated by the increase in the liability to the Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI review its various functions to ensure adequate cash flows are available to meet current obligations.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Inadequate cash flows to meet current obligations was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2004 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Robert A. Schrack, Secretary of Finance

Corrective Action: See also Finding 2009-14 relating to liability to the Retirement Fund and Note 14 General Fund Financial Position. Since the liability to the Retirement Fund is \$235.2 million and the net unrestricted asset deficit is \$282.0, resolving the retirement liability will also resolve this finding. As noted by the auditors, the cash flow deficit has been substantially mitigated by the increase in the retirement liability.

Various austerity measures, including reduced work hours, hiring freeze, stringent review of non personnel expenditures and reduced budget allotments have reduced expenditures from \$244.9 million in 2005 to \$185.0 million in 2009 as noted above. Revenues have fallen at a faster rate than expenditures during this period but the cash flow effect has mitigated by \$60 million in deferred retirement contributions. In 2009 Public Law 16-32 authorized the transfer of \$3.5 million in expenditures from the General Fund to other funds. Additionally the ARRA State Stabilization grant authorized reimbursement of \$4 million in General Fund medical referral expenses as pre award costs.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-2, Continued

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action, Continued:

Subsequent to the end of fiscal year 2009, Public Law 17-6 authorized the Governor to reprogram available resources and transfer General Fund expenses to other funds up to \$10 million and the ARRA State Stabilization grant reimbursed \$3 million in General Fund expenditures.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Revenues/Receipts - Division of Customs

Finding No. 2009-3

<u>Criteria</u>: Revenue/receipts control ensures that cash receipts are recorded in the period in which they are received and that collections are deposited in a timely manner. Further, relevant supporting documents should be properly filed and maintained.

<u>Condition</u>: Of seventy-five cash receipts of the Division of Customs tested, the following were noted:

1. Twenty-nine transactions were not uploaded to the general ledger on the date of transaction. These transactions were recorded in the general ledger through a journal entry dated 09/30/09 as reconciling items in the bank reconciliation. The collections/revenues were recorded under one lump sum customs revenue account and not by specific revenue accounts. Details are as follows:

		Deposit Date	Total Collections
		(for cash and	(for cash, check
Location Code	Transaction Date	check collections)	and credit cards)
41	03/02/09	03/05/09	\$ 86,503
41	03/06/09	03/09/09	\$ 96,218
41	03/17/09	03/18/09	\$ 44,564
41	03/23/09	03/26/09	\$ 44,564 \$ 81,729
41	03/31/09	03/20/09	\$ 4,274
41	04/03/09	04/06/09	
41	04/03/09	04/05/09	\$ 216,047 \$ 9,329
41	04/13/09	04/17/09	
41	04/17/09	04/17/09 04/24/09	
41	04/24/09	04/29/09	
41	05/04/09	05/05/09	\$ 168,485
41	05/13/09	05/20/09	\$ 84,335
41	05/18/09	05/20/09	\$ 84,335 \$ 21,388 \$ 34,742 \$ 6,369 \$ 29,267
41	05/21/09	05/29/09	\$ 34,742
41	05/28/09	05/29/09	\$ 6,369
41	06/04/09	06/19/09	
41	06/12/09	06/19/09	\$ 194,797
41	06/22/09	06/23/09	\$ 15,966
41	06/24/09	06/26/09	\$ 47,820 \$ 35,126
41	06/29/09	07/13/09	
41	07/02/09	07/13/09	\$ 145,783
41	07/08/09	07/13/09	\$ 34,242
41	07/10/09	07/20/09	\$ 89,770
41	07/14/09	07/20/09	\$ 5,339
41	07/20/09	07/29/09	\$ 34,242 \$ 89,770 \$ 5,339 \$ 1,720 \$ 2,076 \$ 4,176 \$ 9,852 \$ 1,810
42	05/15/09	05/19/09	\$ 2,076
43	03/20/09	03/23/09	\$ 4,176
43	07/09/09	07/13/09	\$ 9,852
43	07/20/09	07/21/09	\$ 1,810

2. The related invoice supporting the following excise tax receipts indicated in the declaration of entry (DOE) was not provided:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-3, Continued

Condition, Continued:

Location Code	Transaction Date	Cash Receipt #	DOE or EC #	per DOE
41	05/28/09	479854	DOE 4859-09	\$ 46
41	03/06/09	136680	DOE 16865-09	\$ 38,959
41	08/20/09	237-09	DOE 4706-09	\$ 217
41	10/07/08	205-09	DOE 463-09	\$ 16
41	05/04/09	137424	DOE 728-09	\$ 1,551
41	03/17/09	136736	DOE 18710-09	\$ 313
41	12/05/08	2053-09	DOE 5185-09	\$ 10,536
41	07/30/09	28-09	DOE 8221-09	\$ 14
41	06/04/09	479955	DOE 1540-09	\$ 409
41	08/19/09	231-09	DOE 4174-09	\$ 1,091
43	01/13/09	3158-09	DOE 10870-09	\$ 127

Excise Tax

3. The supporting certification of entry or DOE for the following two items was not available:

Location Code	Location Name	Transaction Date	Cash Receipt #	DOE or EC #
43	Postal	07/13/09	492011	DOE 3113-09
43	Postal	07/28/09	492125	DOE 8701-09

4. The Cashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary Report (CDRSR), receipt details and other relevant supporting documents for the following seven items were not provided:

Location Code	Transaction Date
7	11/05/08
7 64	01/15/09 02/24/09
41	04/14/09
131	05/19/09
131	05/22/09 06/15/09
41	00/13/09

5. The collections for the following fifteen transactions were deposited to the bank more than three business days from the date of collection: Total Cash and

Location Code	Transaction Date	Deposit Date	Elapsed	Check Collections
41	04/17/09	04/24/09	4	\$ 4,416
41	04/1//09 05/13/09	05/20/09	4	\$ 13,995
41	05/21/09	05/29/09	5	\$ 12,500
41	06/04/09	06/19/09	10	\$ 8,356
41	06/12/09	06/19/09	4	\$ 8,033
41	06/29/09	07/13/09	9	\$ 21,014
41	07/02/09	07/13/09	8	\$ 31,968
41	07/10/09	07/20/09	5	\$ 8,233
41	07/20/09	07/29/09	6	\$ 1,720
41	08/13/09	09/02/09	13	\$ 31,752
41	08/14/09	09/02/09	12	\$ 19,059
41	08/19/09	09/02/09	9	\$ 8,833
41	08/20/09	09/02/09	8	\$ 19,226
41	09/02/09	09/09/09	4	\$ 2,609
41	09/14/09	09/21/09	4	\$ 5,163

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-3, Continued

Condition, Continued:

6. The validated deposit ticket for one transaction (ref. loc. 41, 02/13/09) was not provided.

<u>Cause</u>: The causes of the above conditions include the e-Customs system failure/breakdown during the periods March 2009 through September 2009, inadequate file maintenance and the lack of established policies and procedures to ensure that collections are deposited in a timely manner.

<u>Effect</u>: The effects of the above conditions are incorrect customs revenue account classification, unsupported revenue transactions, the potential for cash misappropriation, unreported collections and unrecorded revenues.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the CNMI strengthen controls over processing, recording and monitoring of collections at the Division of Customs. We also recommend the Division of Customs ensure that all relevant supporting documents are filed and maintained. Further, we recommend that all collections be deposited in a timely manner.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Jesus C. Muna, Director, Customs Services

Corrective Action: In December 2005, the Division of Customs Service launched a new software called the Border Management System or BMS for short. We had encountered many obstacles in regards to this system, from errors made in manifesting (arrival of a carrier) to the receipting, reporting and transmittal of deposits to Treasury. It had been more difficult most especially when the BMS was locked out by the contracted programmer on February 2009. The Division was forced to process all assessments and collections manually for seven months. During this time, a new programmer was hired to create a new software or system mirroring the BMS called the ECustoms. The Division launched the new system in August; however, with some problems encountered, the Seaport Branch was unable to start receipting electronically until September 2009. The setbacks on our normal operations did not end and the Division continued to experience problems with ECustoms. Due to the setback, the Division was forced to revert to the CNMI Tax System AS400 managed by the Electronic Data Processing (EDP) Division under the Department of Finance since June 1, 2010. The following are the corrective actions the Division has taken to minimize and eventually eliminate the errors that had occurred:

- The Division is back on the CNMI Tax System allowing the CNMI Treasurer access to the daily operations and collections. As soon as the cashiers close their daily batches, the CNMI Treasurer will be able to upload into the general ledger.
- Due to the setbacks with the BMS and ECustoms, documents were moved between ports. The Division has met with the supervisors to correct this issue and created a filing system on the management of assessment paid at other ports of entry.
- Cashier point areas have been instructed to close and verify deposits at the end of the business day to ensure timely transmittal of deposits to the CNMI Treasurer in a timely manner.
- The Division collects and transmits the monies to the Division of Treasury. The deposit to the bank is the duty of the staff of the Division of Treasury.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Revenues/Receipts - Tobacco Settlement Resources

Finding No. 2009-4

<u>Criteria</u>: GASB Technical Bulletin (TB) 2004-1, *Tobacco Settlement Recognition and Financial Reporting Entity Issues*, clarifies recognition guidance for transactions and payments made to settling governments pursuant to the Master Settlement Agreement with major tobacco companies. GASB TB 2004-1 requires the recognition of estimated receivables and revenues based on domestic sales/shipments of cigarettes.

<u>Condition</u>: For fiscal year 2009, the CNMI recognized \$2,040,386 in tobacco settlement resources (TSR) revenues (Ref. fund 7067 account 45640) based on cash received during the fiscal year. The CNMI was unable to provide a relevant analysis or schedules to support compliance with GASB TB 2004-1. This condition was corrected through a proposed audit adjustment.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established estimation techniques to determine accrued tobacco settlement receivables and revenues.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is nonconformity with GASB TB 2004-1.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the CNMI ensure GASB TB 2004-1 requirements are met.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Robert A. Schrack, Secretary of Finance

Corrective Action: The CNMI has agreed to proposed audit adjustment for 2009 and will request documentation from the trustee regarding accrual amounts in future years.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Purchases/Disbursements

Finding No. 2009-5

Criteria: Expenditures should be recorded when incurred.

<u>Condition</u>: Of total medical related expenditures of \$6,184,138 at September 30, 2009, professional services of \$5,564,722 and non-payroll expenses of \$521,030 were tested. Of eighty-one items tested, ten items, totaling \$1,018,705, included billings from medical service providers for services rendered in prior years. These expenditures represent those approved by the responsible local office, which were subsequently forwarded to the Department of Finance (DOF) for recording and payment.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established policies and procedures to ensure timely recognition of expenditures relating to medical bills at the time services are rendered.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is the potential misstatement of accrued liabilities.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI ensure that all medical billings received are reviewed and forwarded to the Department of Finance in a timely manner to ensure that expenditures are properly recorded.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of policies and procedures regarding the timely recognition of expenditures at the time services are rendered was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2003 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Robert A. Schrack, Secretary of Finance

Corrective Action: This is not a Medicaid compliance issue as Medicaid considers expenditure to be made when payment is issued. Expenditures posted to the grant need to follow this rule. Payments made subsequent to the end of the fiscal year for prior services (up to a cut-off date) can be recorded as payable in the prior year outside of the grant with an offsetting receivable.

Proposed Completion Date: FY2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Disbursements - Land Compensation

Finding No. 2009-6

<u>Criteria</u>: Land compensation disbursements should be made in accordance with established claims rules and regulations. Further, an effective system of internal control includes policies and procedures to ensure that all relevant records, documents and reports supporting land compensation are complete prior to disbursement.

<u>Condition</u>: Of three land compensation disbursements tested, a warranty deed to convey title to the Department of Public Lands (DPL) was not obtained for the following:

Land Claimant #	Check Number Reference	Amount
1 2 3	341790 342094 342039	\$ 848,206 364,347 290,461
		\$ <u>1,503,014</u>

Disbursements made to two land claimants (#s 1 and 3) were pursuant to court judgments.

For claimant # 2, the offer letter was not signed by the claimant as evidence of acceptance of DPL's offer of compensation.

Due to the lack of relevant documents supporting ownership of the related land, the disbursements were not capitalized.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is failure to ensure that all relevant land compensation documents are complete prior to disbursement of claims.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with DPL's Land Compensation Claims Rules and Regulations and that legal rights to the land are not transferred to DPL.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that DPL ensure compliance with established land compensation rules and regulations. Further, we recommend that the Department of Finance and DPL ensure that all relevant documents supporting land claims are complete prior to approving and processing related disbursements.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Failure to ensure that all relevant land compensation documents are complete prior to disbursement of claims was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Margaret Keene Villagomez, Manager of Accounting Services

Corrective Action: On April 17, 2009, the Department of Finance (DOF) billed the Department of Public Lands (DPL) \$1,523,014 under SFM2009-059 for land compensation payments issued to the following claimants:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-6, Continued

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action, Continued:

Claimant #1 Claimant #2 Claimant #3	\$	848,206 310,461 364,347
	\$ <u>1</u>	,523,014

Upon review of DPL records, the Land Claims Director advised that DPL should not reimburse DOF for the payment already issued to Claimant #2. Unlike Claimant #1 and Claimant #3, the request to pay Claimant #2 was not pursuant to a court judgment.

On May 7, 2009, DPL fund transferred \$1,057,560. This amount represents the reimbursements of \$848,206 for Claimant #1, \$290,461 for Claimant #3, and an offset/deduction of \$81,107 for an erroneous reimbursement made on April 14, 2009 for a payment made to Henry T. Indalecio. Per the Land Claims Director, this claim should have been paid through local appropriations.

To this date, DOF billings to DPL for land compensation continue to show an underpayment of \$465,453. In essence, DPL did not reimburse DOF for the payment DOF made to Claimant #2.

Proposed Completion Date: Completed 05/07/09

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Finding No. 2009-7

<u>Criteria</u>: Bank reconciliations should be performed in a timely manner. Further, book reconciling items should be timely adjusted.

<u>Condition</u>: During tests of bank reconciliations, the following were noted:

1. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, bank reconciliations for the following accounts were prepared one to three months after month end.

Bank Code	Account Name
11130	LNO Hawaii - Medical Referral
11150	Superior Court NMI Imprest Fund
11170	Guam Medical Referral Imprest Fund
11190	Treasury (DOF) Imprest Fund
11200	Tinian Imprest Fund
11210	Rota Imprest Fund
11220	Supreme Court Imprest Fund
11230	Food Stamps
11310	CHC Medical Referral
11320	La Fiesta Imprest Fund
11400	NMTIT Rebate Trust
11401	NMTIT/USR Stimulus Tax Refund
11410	Special Disability Imprest Fund
11420	Payroll Account
11430	General Fund
11450	Animal Health Imprest Fund
11480	Lottery Commission Imprest Fund
11520	Credit Card
11540	Capital Improvement Projects
11570	Federal Grant Fund
11830	Law Revision Commission Imprest

Further, book reconciling items identified during monthly bank reconciliations are adjusted only at year end.

- 2. A bank reconciliation as of September 30, 2009 was not provided for one account (Bank Code 11140).
- 3. The following cash account was confirmed by a bank but is not recorded:

Reference	Bank Balance
0003-9456	\$132,871

4. At September 30, 2009, the CNMI recorded a cash clearing account of \$1,324,314. This account is expected to be zero at fiscal year-end. An audit adjustment was proposed to correct this condition.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adherence to policies and procedures related to the timely preparation of bank reconciliations.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-7, Continued

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the possibility of misstated cash balances throughout the year.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI adhere to established policies and procedures to ensure timely reconciliation of bank accounts and that book reconciling items be adjusted in a timely manner. Further, we recommend that the Department of Finance ensure that all cash accounts are recorded and that cash clearing accounts are adjusted and reconciled at fiscal year-end.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate policies and procedures related to timely preparation of bank reconciliations was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2003 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Bernadita C. Palacios, Acting Director, Finance & Accounting, Antoinette Calvo, CNMI Treasurer and Robert A. Schrack, Secretary of Finance

Corrective Action: We agree the finding although we feel the criteria of including one month past the end of the month as deficient overly stringent given available staff and receipt of bank statements and cleared check data from the bank. The auditors indicated the problem is the length of time reconciliations take rather than a lack of reconciliations. There are only two staff in the reconciliation Section due to staff turnover and lack of budget to replace the two departed employees. In addition, delayed bank statements, American Express statements and availability of the automated cleared check file contribute to the time lag experienced between month end and the In order to improve timeliness of the checking account reconciliation being completed. reconciliations, the Reconciliation Section has been given inquiry access to the bank accounts on line to allow quicker retrieval of information. We will also discuss faster availability of bank statements and cleared check files with our bank. Currently these are not received until a month after the month end.In addition, coordination between the Recon section and the Treasury and Revenue and Tax Divisions will be improved to facilitate checking account reconciliations. Procedures to provide for entry of reconciling items on a monthly basis are currently being implemented.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Finding No. 2009-8

<u>Criteria</u>: A system of internal control provides for adequate monitoring of issued, unreleased, returned, cancelled and voided checks. While the holding of checks is unavoidable at times, checks held at year-end should be identified and reclassified as payables.

Condition: Our cutoff and bank reconciliation tests noted the following:

1. At September 30, 2009, approximately \$637,938 of checks were held by the Division of Treasury. The breakdown by account is as follows:

Bank Account GL #	Account Name	# of Checks	Amount
7063.11400 1010.11430 1010.11420 7400.11401 1010.11540	Rebate Trust Fund General Fund Payroll Account Stimulus Tax Refund Account Capital Improvement Projects Fund	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,615 \\ 138 \\ 33 \\ 15 \\ \underline{} \\ 1 \end{array} $	\$ 202,357 415,824 11,327 5,840 <u>2,590</u>
		<u>1,802</u>	\$ <u>637,938</u>

The Division of Treasury did not prepare a list of checks held at year-end. The above were reflected as outstanding checks in the September 30, 2009 bank reconciliations.

- 2. Additionally, one hundred fifteen checks held aggregating \$24,401 included in the rebate trust fund represent checks returned by the post office. The checks have dates ranging from October 2008 through September 2009. The Division of Treasury does not appear to have monitored these returned checks as such are reported as outstanding checks in the September 30, 2009 reconciliation.
- 3. The September 30, 2009 General Fund (Acct. #11430) bank reconciliation reflected staledated checks of \$376,783. A substantial portion of the stale-dated checks was scholarshiprelated; however, the \$376,783 was recorded to a miscellaneous adjustment account in the General Fund.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of policies and procedures to monitor issued, unreleased and returned checks, the lack of policies and procedures to ensure that all manual checks are posted to the general ledger and the failure to address cash-related issues in a timely manner.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the misstatement of cash, payables and expenditures and numerous reconciling items in the bank reconciliation. Further, the above condition may provide opportunity for fraudulent activities.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the CNMI Division of Treasury establish policies and procedures to adequately monitor issued, unreleased, returned, cancelled and voided checks. Further, we recommend that the CNMI Division of Treasury ensure that checks requested for cancellation are timely voided in the system. Additionally, we recommend that all disbursements be posted to the general ledger in a timely manner.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-8, Continued

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of policies and procedures to monitor issued, unreleased and returned checks, the lack of policies and procedures to ensure that all manual checks are posted to the general ledger and the failure to address cash-related issues in a timely manner was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Antoinette Calvo, CNMI Treasurer

Corrective Action:

- 1. Treasury will institute a procedure to mail out all printed checks prior to the end of the fiscal year. Schedule will be prepared of unmailed checks which should include only the last few days of the fiscal year.
- 2. Checks are still considered outstanding unless voided in the system. Checks returned by the post office are held pending communication with the payee. Once they become stale dated they are voided.
- 3. Checks were held by the Scholarship Office and not returned to Treasury until they were stale dated. We will work with the Scholarship Office to ensure checks to be voided are returned on a timely basis.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Receivables

Finding No. 2009-9

<u>Criteria</u>: Receivables for services rendered by the Commonwealth Health Center (CHC) should be billed timely and follow-up procedures should be undertaken to collect outstanding accounts.

Condition: Our tests of CHC receivables at September 30, 2009 noted the following:

1. As of September 30, 2009, CHC receivables were \$129,749,668 of which \$112,327,603 date back to fiscal years 2008 and prior. An aging of the outstanding receivables by fiscal year is as follows:

17,422,065
8,890,510 3,904,468 11,202,906 8,006,273 80,323,446

\$ <u>129,749,668</u>

The large receivable balance, the many aged individual balances, and the backlog of billing files all reflect inadequate billing and collection procedures.

- 2. The receivables balance at September 30, 2009 provided by CHC is based on detailed reports generated on October 24, 2009. CHC's system is unable to generate "as of" balances and the listing may not reflect the correct receivables at September 30, 2009.
- 3. A detailed report for one major group payer category (Private Companies-NR), with a September 30, 2009 receivable balance of \$5,624,328, was not provided.
- 4. The Department of Finance entered into a contract with a collection agency in September 1995 for the collection of CHC receivables. Total receivables referred from 1992 to 1996, amounted to \$11,760,649. The collection agency ceased operations but never declared bankruptcy. The collection agency never cleared its account with CHC. Further, the \$11,760,649 is not reflected in CHC's records.
- 5. The CNMI Group Health and Life Insurance Trust Fund (GHLITF) confirmed amounts due to CHC of \$14,829,951. However, this amount has not been reconciled to CHC's records reflecting receivables from GHLITF of \$35,877,816.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is a lack of adequate policies and procedures related to the billing and collection of CHC revenues.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the possibility of a misstatement of CHC receivable balances; however, this effect is mitigated by a corresponding allowance for uncollectible accounts.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that CHC implement procedures to ensure that all billings are processed timely and that standard procedures are implemented to follow-up on aged accounts. Uncollectible accounts should be written off.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-9, Continued

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Inadequate controls over receivables at CHC was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 1995 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Joseph Kevin Villagomez, Secretary of Public Health

Corrective Action:

- 1. DPH agrees with the findings. Implementing policies and procedures, as recommended by the auditors, will help but will not resolve the backlog in the processing of bills or decrease the huge outstanding receivable. The major cause of the problem is nonpayment of bills by the Government Health Insurance (GHI) program outstanding since 1992 and inclusion of Medicaid expenditures beyond the annual cap as receivables. Medicaid expenditures above the annual cap represent over one third of the total receivable and GHI represents fifty percent of the remaining outstanding receivable. Inefficiency of the present computer billing system and inadequate FTE's in the Billing and Collection Office contribute to the billing backlog. DPH is seeking funding for a newer, faster more efficient billing system. DPH will discuss the write off of accounts considered uncollectible with the Department of Finance. Since these amounts have never been booked as revenue, no bad debt expense needs to be booked, only adjustments to the receivable and reserve accounts.
- 2. The lack of "as of" reports is being corrected. Our IT manager is working with the system users and program consultant to update all applications. The AR package currently cannot generate this report.
- 3. Same as #2. Report cannot be generated separately with current system.
- 4. DOF and CHC will review status of these accounts.
- 5. Discrepancy exists due to unprocessed claims at GHI that have been recorded by CHC. GHI is no longer functional as the government uses a commercial insurer. Since the CNMI government incorporates both the payable and receivable writing off both amounts is being explored.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Receivables

Finding No. 2009-10

<u>Criteria</u>: A system of internal control requires that subsidiary ledgers be timely reconciled to the general ledger.

<u>Condition</u>: As of September 30, 2009, the General Fund recorded returned check receivables (account #1010.12800) of \$785,903, which is \$162,796 higher than the subsidiary ledger. A reconciliation of the difference was not made available.

Our tests of seven returned checks aggregating \$147,616 that were collected during the current fiscal year, noted six items that were still included in the subsidiary ledger at September 30, 2009. Details are as follows:

Check Number	Check Date	Principal <u>Amount</u>	Service <u>Charge</u>	Total	OCR #
152 34410 1231 1048 13053 6294	02/01/09 02/06/09 02/02/09 03/21/09 06/09/09 07/31/09	\$ 48,000 \$ 9,070 \$ 5,674 \$ 38,500 \$ 25,530 \$ 9,591	\$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25	\$ 48,025 9,095 5,699 38,525 25,555 <u>9,616</u>	2117026 424593 2118132 216385 2190632 2215506
				\$ 136,515	

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of periodic reconciliations of returned checks.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the possibility of misstatements of returned checks; however, this effect is mitigated by a corresponding allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$679,202.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that periodic reconciliation of returned checks receivable be performed.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of periodic reconciliation of returned checks was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Antoinette Calvo, CNMI Treasurer

Corrective Action: We agree with the finding. Lack of staff has been on ongoing problem. In 2010, Treasury was able to hire two additional staff to alleviate the problem. Reconciliation will be assigned to one of the staff members.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Travel Advances

Finding No. 2009-11

<u>Criteria</u>: Employee travel advances should be timely liquidated through submission of travel vouchers or the return of funds.

<u>Condition</u>: As of September 30, 2009, the General Fund recorded travel advances of \$1,528,516, which primarily represent advances outstanding and unliquidated for more than ninety days. Of this amount, approximately \$1,162,667 represents carryforwards from prior years.

Additionally, of three travel advances tested, the travel authorization, travel voucher supporting subsequent liquidation and other relevant supporting documents were not made available, as follows:

Employee Number	Travel Advance at September 30, 2009
100399	\$ 22,275
103443	\$ 175
251536	\$ 2,867

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adherence to policies and procedures regarding the liquidation of outstanding advances. In addition, individual files are not readily accessible.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the possibility of a misstatement of expenditures and related advances.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that all advances outstanding for more than one year be reviewed, their collectability evaluated, and any amounts deemed uncollectible be written off. In addition, we recommend that the Department of Finance consider payroll deductions as a means of collecting outstanding advances and policies and procedures be implemented and enforced requiring the timely liquidation of all travel advances.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Liquidation of advances was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 1987 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Robert A. Schrack, Secretary of Finance

Corrective Action: We agree that the travel advance balance needs to be adjusted for old balances and that most these old balances cannot be adequately documented. We have implemented automated sub ledgers by traveler for our travel advance accounts. Advance and voucher filing procedures are now being enforced so that new advances are not issued if there are pending outstanding advances. Payroll deductions are being made if vouchers are not filed in a timely manner. Scanning of travel vouchers is now being done. We are reviewing and clearing old balances. Since 09/30/05 the outstanding travel advance balance has been reduced by almost 50% with reductions in each of the subsequent years. The amount currently outstanding is reserved against fund balance on the balance sheet either through the reserve for encumbrances (recent advances) or reserve for related assets (old advances).

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Advances

Finding No. 2009-12

Criteria: Advances to vendors should be timely updated for goods/services already received.

<u>Condition</u>: As of September 30, 2009, the General Fund recorded advances to vendors of \$1,250,188, of which \$662,588 date to fiscal year 2008 and prior. An aging of vendor advances by fiscal year is as follows:

Fiscal Year	<u>Amount</u>
2008 2007 2006 2005 2004	\$ 205,802 146,800 209,631 73,375 26,980
	\$ <u>662,588</u>

Of three advances tested aggregating \$477,377, the following were noted:

- 1. Total advances to one vendor for medical laboratory supplies amounted to \$394,550 at September 30, 2009. Of this amount, \$374,285 related to supplies that have been received on or before September 30, 2009 and \$20,265 was paid subsequent to September 30, 2009. Further, the receiving department/division is unable to provide a detailed accounting of the merchandise received to date.
- 2. Total advances to one vendor amounted to \$80,127 (Ref. 776182 PL) at September 30, 2009. Based on relevant supporting documents, the related goods and services were received during the fiscal year.
- 3. Total advances to one vendor amounted to \$2,700 (Ref. 812196 PL) which was paid subsequent to September 30, 2009, and is not a valid advance at September 30, 2009.

The above conditions were corrected through a proposed audit adjustment.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adherence to established policies and procedures to update advances upon receipt of related goods and/or services.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the possibility of a misstatement of advances and related expenditures and encumbrances.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that advances to vendors be monitored and be timely adjusted upon receipt of related goods and/or services. Further, we recommend review of existing procedures related to advances for those goods and/or services likely to be received within a short period of time.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adherence to established policies to update advances upon receipt of related goods and services was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-12, Continued

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Bernadita C. Palacios, Acting Director, Finance & Accounting

Corrective Action: We agree that old advances need to be reviewed and adjusted. We believe that believe the policies and procedures currently in place will address the problem of advances staying on the books for lengthy periods of time.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Interfund Receivables and Payables

Finding No. 2009-13

<u>Criteria</u>: GASB Statement No. 34, paragraph 112a(1) discusses interfund loans and states that if repayment is not expected within a reasonable time, the interfund balances should be reduced and the amount that is not expected to be repaid should be reported as a transfer from the fund that made the loan to the fund that received the loan.

<u>Condition</u>: As of September 30, 2009, the general fund recorded payables to the following funds for which repayment is not expected within a reasonable time due to lack of available cash.

Receivable Fund	<u>Fund No.</u>	Interfund Amount	<u>Uncollectible</u>
Private Grants	$\begin{array}{r} 2030\\ 2043, 2300, 2400\\ 4049\\ 4052\\ 6065\\ 6075\\ 2041\\ 4046\end{array}$	\$ 1,731,105	\$ 1,731,105
Local Revenue		\$ 2,902,989	\$ 2,902,989
DOI Capital Projects		\$ 521,044	\$ 521,044
DOI Capital Projects		\$ 12,979,881	\$ 7,925,865
Smiling Cove Marina		\$ 101,503	\$ 101,503
Fish and Game Revolving		\$ 160,902	\$ 160,902
Infrastructure Tax		\$ 1,020,777	\$ 848,563
Private Capital Grants		\$ 641,590	\$ 610,016

This condition was corrected through proposed audit adjustments and does not have any federal implications.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the failure to track specific funds received, the lack of a plan to repay such funds, and the lack of available cash flow.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is the possible misstatement in interfund balances.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the CNMI assess collectability of interfund balances on a regular basis and ensure compliance with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 34, paragraph 112a(1).

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Robert A. Schrack, Secretary of Finance

Corrective Action: We have accepted the auditors' recommendation regarding audit adjustments for these balances and will review the status in 2010.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Retirement Contributions - General Fund

Finding No. 2009-14

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Public Law 6-17, Section 8342(a), the Government shall make contributions to the Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund (the Fund) each year on an actuarially funded basis toward the annuities and benefits provided its members. Section 8342(c) requires that both employee and employer contributions be remitted to the Fund within five working days following the end of each payroll date. Further, Section 8342(e) states that an employer who fails to pay or remit contributions as required shall pay a penalty of ten percent per month or part thereof for which contributions remains unpaid, up to a maximum penalty of twenty-five percent of the unpaid contribution.

Condition: Our tests of balances due the Fund noted the following:

1. As of September 30, 2009, the CNMI recorded a contribution liability of \$146,371,544 of which \$131,693,784 dates back to fiscal year 2008 and prior. An aging of the outstanding contribution liability by fiscal year is as follows:

2009 2008 2007 2006 2005 2004 and prior	\$ 14,677,760 17,067,675 17,243,509 16,806,429 24,006,548 56,569,623
2004 and prior	\$ <u>146,371,544</u>

2. As of September 30, 2009, the CNMI's liability relating to penalties on unpaid contributions amounted to \$45,059,393.

<u>Cause</u>: The primary cause of the above condition is the lack of available cash flow.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with Public Law 6-17, Section 8342.

Recommendation: We recommend that the CNMI adhere to the requirements of Public Law 6-17.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of compliance with Public Law 6-17, Section 8342 was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2004 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Robert A. Schrack, Secretary of Finance

Corrective Action: Public Law No. 15-13 established a Defined Contribution Retirement Plan (DCP) for all new public employees hired after January 1, 2007 (eligible employees hired prior to this date participate in the Retirement Fund which is a defined benefit plan) and defined the conditions under which certain members of the defined benefit Retirement Fund could transfer benefits to the DCP. Since the DCP has a 4% employer contribution rate versus 24% for the defined benefit plan, increasing annual savings will result as government employment includes more and more DCP participants. The defined benefit plan will have a fixed or declining number of members which will stabilize required contributions.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-14, Continued

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action, Continued:

Public Law No. 15-14 extended the date by which the defined benefit plan must be fully funded from the year 2020 to 2045. This will reduce the annual amount required to liquidate the unfunded liability and reduce the annual required employer contribution.

Public Law No. 15-70 was passed on June 14, 2007 increasing employee contributions to the defined benefit plan by 1% per year beginning in fiscal year 2008 until reaching 10.5% for Class I members and 11% for Class II members. This will decrease the required employer contribution by a similar amount.

An actuarial study was completed in May 2010, as of October 1, 2008, incorporating the above plan changes and related assumptions, showed the actuarial accrued liability (AAL) declined from \$1,007.3 billion at October 1, 2005 to \$899.4 million and the unfunded AAL declined from \$547.2 million to \$529.9 million despite an investment portfolio loss of \$72.5 million from the 2008 market decline.

In May 2010, the Retirement Fund and Executive Branch agreed to a plan that would define a corpus to be maintained, include a portion of earnings to be used for payment of pensions, proposed an initiative to be placed on the ballot for voters to approve issuance of pension obligation bonds to pay the outstanding liability and amend the required employer contribution to a dollar amount rather than a rate as the number of contributing members continues to decline. This plan was presented it to the Legislature along with proposed legislation to implement retirement plan changes that would reduce future government retirement liabilities such as paying cost of living increases as annual bonuses rather than additions to the base annuity.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Tax Rebates Payable

Finding No. 2009-15

<u>Criteria</u>: The Covenant to Establish the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in *Political Union with the United States of America* (the Covenant) adopted the Internal Revenue Code of the United States of America as the local income tax. Percentages of income tax due to the CNMI from CNMI source income are rebated at 90%, 70% or 50%, based on specified tax brackets for corporate and individual income taxes paid. The rebate liability is therefore estimated at the end of each fiscal year.

In addition, in accordance with Public Law 9-22, §1713, Interest on Overpayments, interest allowed by NMTIT §6611 on an overpayment shall be calculated only on the amount not already rebated.

<u>Condition</u>: At September 30, 2009, tax rebates payable aggregated \$30,865,860. The balance consists of the following:

Estimated 2009 liability based on fiscal year 2009 collections 2008 and prior rebates unpaid as of September 30, 2009	\$ 8,038,309 <u>22,827,551</u>
	\$ <u>30,865,860</u>

Tests of the rebate payable accounts noted the following:

- 1. Detailed tests of the unpaid tax year 2008 and prior rebates obtained from the detailed reports noted the following:
 - a. Of fifty-two unmatched filings tested relating to individual and corporate tax rebate payables of \$6,856,286, the following were noted:
 - i. For four items totaling \$2,433,199, the rebate amount in the tax return was left blank. Further, the related tax return filed was incomplete, a different tax form was used, and/or the taxpayer did not submit relevant documentation to properly calculate the tax. Details are as follows:

Assigned #	<u>Tax Year</u>	Rebate Payable <u>Per Detailed Reports</u>
A2 A5 A7 B53	2003 2005 2007 2002	$ \begin{array}{r} & 649,000 \\ & 1,024,000 \\ & 649,000 \\ & 111,199 \end{array} $
		\$ <u>2,433,199</u>

ii. Five items totaling \$1,124,169 did not agree to tax returns by \$591,115. The variances were substantially due to incomplete information where the taxpayer either did not submit the relevant supporting documentation and/or the required tax form was not used. Details are as follows:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-15, Continued

Condition, Continued:

<u>Assigned #</u>	Tax Year	Per Detailed <u>Reports</u>	Per Tax <u>Returns</u>	Variance
A1 A3 B69 B30 B55	2002 2003 2006 2006 2002	\$ 465,940 556,738 77,724 20,738 3,029	\$ 236,366 286,132 9,042 1,514	\$ 229,574 270,606 77,724 11,696 1,515
		\$ <u>1,124,169</u>	\$ <u>533,054</u>	\$ <u>591,115</u>

- iii. For one \$43,427 item, (ref. B75 for tax year 2008), the tax return was not provided.
- iv. For one \$105,178 item, (ref. B72 for tax year 2007), the rebate amount from the detailed report was higher by \$19,572 than the amount on the tax return due to data entry errors.
- b. Of thirty assessed and matched filings tested relating to individual and corporate tax rebate payables of \$1,062,425, the following were noted:
 - i. For three items totaling \$61,544, the tax return was not provided. Details are as follows:

Assigned #	<u>Tax Year</u>	Rebate Payable Per Detailed Reports
B15 B46 B48	2004 2003 2006	\$ 30 20,000 <u>41,514</u>
		\$ <u>61,544</u>

- ii. One \$2,878 item (ref. B16 for tax year 2006) was paid prior to September 30, 2009 but was included in the year-end tax rebate payable report.
- ii. For one \$41,554 item (ref. B40 for tax year 2001) the taxpayer is in payable status, as indicated in the tax system, and as such no rebate is due; however, a rebate amount was included in the year-end tax rebate payable report.
- iii. One \$97,499 item (ref. B45 for tax year 2003) was credited to a 2003 estimated tax; however, the amount was still included in the year-end tax rebate payable report.
- 2. Of twenty-four accounts totaling \$596,160 that have been uploaded to the JDE system for payment, one \$4,939 item (ref. 399104 PV for tax year 1999) had already been paid prior to September 30, 2009 and was reflected as unpaid in JDE. Further, no details of rebate payables relating to tax years 1999 and below are available from the Division of Revenue and Taxation.

Further, no interest is calculated or paid on overpayments.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-15, Continued

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of periodic review of rebate payable reports to ensure accuracy and completeness and the lack of established policies and procedures to assess the reasonableness of estimated rebates payable. Further, there is a lack of compliance with Public Law 9-22 relating to interest calculations on tax overpayments.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the possible misstatement of tax rebates payable; however, this effect is mitigated by a corresponding permanent tax reserve to offset any disputes or claims.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that policies and procedures be established for the periodic review of tax rebate payable reports to ensure accuracy and completeness. We also recommend that policies and procedures be established to assess the reasonableness of estimated rebates payable. Additionally, we recommend that the Division of Revenue and Taxation ensure that tax forms are properly filed and maintained. We also recommend that recorded rebates payable be reconciled with the liability indicated on the tax forms and significant variances, if any, be investigated, monitored, documented and timely addressed.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: A lack of detailed reports supporting analyses performed and a lack of compliance with Public Law 9-22 relating to interest calculation on tax overpayments was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2004 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Maria T. White and Canice M. Diaz, Division of Revenue and Tax

Corrective Action: The Division of Revenue and Taxation had implemented in FY 2009 a rebate payable review procedure to be done semi-annually. The semi-annual reviews have not been completed in a timely manner due to shortages of technical personnel to assign the review task on a regular basis, and also because of the need for a custom-made report to be produced before any review is done. The CNMI Tax System will be enhanced to include a standard report menu to generate detailed listings of all pending payables in each status category (unmatched, assessed, payable unpaid) and do away with the custom-made report. This enhancement will include other payable validations such as the case when a payable was paid but not timely posted back to the CNMI Tax system from JDE to reflect that payment has already been made. The technical research branch will be designated and made responsible to perform the periodic review as recommended.

The CNMI Tax system presently has a payable processing routine to determine the reasonableness of payables based on pre-established threshold amount, and for returns with initial status showing a tax due on the return or payment made on a return. The Division of Revenue and Taxation will add another level of review by comparing the rebate payable amount as indicated on the return versus the amount of rebate in the rebate payable report. Any discrepancy outside a pre-established threshold amount would be flagged for review and resolution before a payment is made.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-15, Continued

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action, Continued:

The Division of Revenue and Taxation is currently in the development stage for the implementation of the Electronic Document Management System (EDMS) that will eventually scanned and imaged every return (including OCR/ICR) filed with the Division of Revenue and Taxation. The EDMS will permanently image and store the images in a database for future retrieval and reproduction. The images can be retrieved based on certain search and retrieval criteria (taxpayer name, tax period, tax form, etc.) The hard copies will be permanently filed/stored until ordered disposed by the Secretary. The EDMS will become the standard method to access and review information on the returns unless special circumstances require retrieval of the hard copy. The EDMS project development would be completed by July 31, 2010 and all 2010 tax returns will start imaging.

The Division of Revenue will enhance its payable review processes to include reconciliation of liabilities and payments. The enhancement will be part of its payable processing routines to compare the summary of tax liabilities against the summary of all payment credits and the recorded rebates payable to determine any significant discrepancy based on established threshold amount. The payable subsystem will automatically flag any payable that falls outside the threshold amount and classify the payable as requiring review. A report will be generated and included in the review submenu discussed in the second paragraph above.

Proposed Completion Date: August 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Other Liabilities and Accruals

Finding No. 2009-16

<u>Criteria</u>: An effective system of internal control includes policies and procedures to ensure that liabilities are recorded in the appropriate fiscal year.

<u>Condition</u>: During tests of subsequent disbursements, we noted \$1,873,800 of unrecorded liabilities at September 30, 2009. Details by fund follow:

Fund	Amount
General Federal Grants DOI Capital Projects Nonmajor Capital Projects Nonmajor Special Revenue	\$ 657,247 705,326 25,133 484,094 2,000
	\$ <u>1.873.800</u>

The above condition was corrected through a proposed audit adjustment.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of appropriate cutoff procedures and controls.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is a misstatement of liabilities and expenditures.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Department of Finance implement appropriate policies and procedures to ensure recording of liabilities in the correct fiscal year.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: A lack of appropriate cutoff procedures and controls was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Bernadita C. Palacios, Acting Director, Finance & Accounting

Corrective Action: We agree with the finding and will review cut-off procedures. However, it appears there will always be audit adjustments for this as our cut-off is 60 days after year (11/30/09) end while the auditors' cut-off is April 29, 2010.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Compensated Absences

Finding No. 2009-17

<u>Criteria</u>: Accrued annual leave is limited to 45 days or 360 hours, as amounts in excess of 45 days transfer to sick leave at the end of the leave year. Further, an effective system of internal control requires that accrued annual leave for employees who are separated from the entity are identified and reviewed for validity. The payroll records should be updated on a timely manner for annual leave balances that do not represent valid obligations.

<u>Condition</u>: At September 30, 2009, compensated absences payable per the subsidiary ledger aggregated \$8,404,096. Of this amount, \$908,645 represents accrued leave of inactive, terminated, resigned or retired employees. Also, \$893,735 represents accrued leave in excess of the 45 days/360 hours limit. Additionally, the balance at September 30, 2009 is net of individual debit balances of \$131,963.

The above condition was corrected through a proposed audit adjustment.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adequate procedures and controls to ensure that accrued annual leave balances are reviewed for validity and the lack of timely updating of payroll records.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the misstatement of compensated absences payable and related expenditures.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the CNMI Department of Finance ensure that recorded compensated absences payable represent valid obligations.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate procedures and controls to ensure that accrued annual leave balances are reviewed for validity and the lack of timely updating of payroll records was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Bernadita C. Palacios, Acting Director, Finance & Accounting

Corrective Action: We agree with the finding. We are reviewing the detail of the accrued leave still carried for inactive, terminated, resigned and retired employees to determine if they are valid. We will adjust balances determined to be invalid. There are some cases where ex employees have left their balances intact so it is available if they return to government service. We are also reviewing the individual debit balances and will adjust where necessary. Debit balances may be valid for active employees where they have been granted advance leave. For terminated employees balances need to be adjusted. However, we are determining if they actually overpaid or if final payments were not properly recorded.

In the cases of leave in excess of maximum balances, amount noted above is as of 09/30/09. Excess annual leave balances are transferred to sick leave on a calendar year basis. In all cases we have agreed to audit adjustments to adjust the financial statement effect of the above.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Fund Balance - Encumbrances

Finding No. 2009-18

<u>Criteria</u>: A system of internal control provides for adequate monitoring and tracking of commitments related to open purchase orders and unfulfilled contracts. Amounts reserved for encumbrances should be reviewed and adjusted for balances that are no longer valid.

<u>Condition</u>: Our tests of reserves for encumbrances noted the following:

- 1. For DOI Capital Projects Fund encumbrances:
 - a. At September 30, 2009, the subsidiary ledger balance of the DOI Capital Project Fund encumbrances of \$4,667,268 is net of debit balances of \$2,507,115. Of the total debit balances, encumbrances of \$1,966,060 have been outstanding for more than five years. Further, we did not evidence review by the Department of Finance of these debit balances. This condition was corrected through a proposed audit reclassification.
 - b. An aging of the reserve for encumbrances, excluding debit balances, by fiscal year indicates encumbrances totaling \$894,629 have been outstanding for more than five years. We did not evidence review by the Department of Finance of long outstanding encumbrances.
 - c. Of twenty-two DOI Capital Projects Fund encumbrances tested aggregating \$2,413,436, the following exceptions were noted:
 - i. The obligating/encumbering document for the items below were not provided:

Fund/Business Unit	Document Number	Encumbrance Amount
4044.4843	60048-OS	\$ 15,126
4044.4863	304837-OS	\$ 131,601
4045.4901	70169-OS #1	\$ 245,591
4045.4901	70169-OS #2	\$ (491,181)
4045.4910	70152-OS	\$ (426,616)
4045.4930	60134-OS	\$ (79,156)
4045.4936	59-OS	\$ (71,248)
4058.5634	486-OS	\$ 253,946

For five items (70169-OS #1, 70169-OS #2, 70152-OS, 60134-OS and 59-OS), the related projects were completed at September 30, 2009. Liquidation of the encumbrances was proposed in the adjustment at condition 1a. For three items (60048-OS, 304837-OS and 486-OS) aggregating \$400,673, we were unable to verify if these were valid encumbrances.

ii. The following variances exist between amounts encumbered and expectations due to unrecorded expenditure accruals at year-end:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-18, Continued

Condition, Continued:

Fund/Business Unit/General Ledger Account	Obligating Document <u>Number</u>	Per General <u>Ledger</u>	Per Expectation	Variance	APV <u>Reference</u>
4058.5636.64320 4052.5241.64541 4058.5617.64280	498-OS 500-OS 481-OS	\$ 2,207,361 210,569 50,267	\$ 2,164,991 159,147 <u>25,134</u>	\$ 42,370 51,422 25,133	817570 823076 816354
		\$ <u>2,468,197</u>	\$ <u>2,349,272</u>	\$ <u>118,925</u>	

This condition was corrected through a proposed audit adjustment.

iii. The following DOI Capital Projects Fund encumbrances did not indicate any activity for more than five years:

Fund	Business Unit #	General Ledger <u>Account #</u>	Obligating Document	<u>Amount</u>
4044	4843	64320	60048-OS	\$ 15,126
4044	4863	62060	304837-OS	\$ 131,601
4049	5805	64280	5-OS	\$ 5,794
4052	5134	64320	153-OS	\$ 38,959

We were unable to verify if these are valid encumbrances at September 30, 2009.

- 2. Of sixteen Federal Grants Fund encumbrances tested aggregating \$3,285,122, the following were noted:
 - a. The obligating/encumbering document for the items below was not provided:

Fund/Business Unit	Obligating Document <u>Number</u>	Encumbrance <u>Amount</u>
2020. A8664N	282068-OT	\$ 2,789
2020. H9778B	281303-OT	\$ 23,668
2020. I9605D	281940-OT	\$ 944

We were unable to verify if these are valid encumbrances at September 30, 2009.

b. Accounts payable vouchers and supporting invoices evidencing subsequent liquidation of the following encumbrance was not provided:

<u>Fund</u>	Obligating Document <u>Number</u>	APV Reference <u>Number</u>	Encumbrance <u>Amount</u>	APV <u>Amount</u>
2020	282068 - OT	815968	\$ 2,789	\$ 2,789
2020	509127 - OP	817523	\$ 50,320	\$ 250

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-18, Continued

Condition, Continued:

c. The following variances exist between amounts encumbered and expectations due to unrecorded expenditure accruals at year-end:

Fund/ Business Unit	Obligating Document <u>Number</u>	Per General <u>Ledger</u>	Per Expectation	Variance	PV <u>Reference #</u>	Unrecorded Expenditure/ Liability
2020. H97783	509521-OD	\$ 97,571	\$ 159,592	\$ (62,021)	815680	\$ 62,021
2020. M8017A	504459-OC	\$ 353,007	\$ 364,076	\$ (11,069)	818525	\$ 11,069
2020. T8205U	495685-OC	\$ 560,098	\$ 607,820	\$ (47,722)	819578	\$ 47,722

This condition was corrected through a proposed audit adjustment.

d. The following variances exist between amounts encumbered and expectations due to untimely updating of encumbrances for actual expenditures:

Fund/ <u>Business Unit</u>	Obligating Document <u>Number</u>	Per General <u>Ledger</u>	Per Expectation	Variance	PV <u>Reference #</u>
2020. A95515	507040-OM	\$ 1,011,717	\$ -	\$ 1,011,717	539860
2020. A95515	508435-OM	\$ 784,728	\$ -	\$ 784,728	543788

This condition was corrected through a proposed audit adjustment.

e. The following variances exist between amounts encumbered and expectations due to cancellations/deletions made subsequent to year-end:

Fund/ <u>Business Unit</u>	Obligating Document <u>Number</u>	Per General <u>Ledger</u>	Per Expectation	Variance
2020. H8575B 2020. I9605D 2020. L7255A 2020. P8600P 2020. T96003	509127-OP 281940-OT 485009-OM 499847-OP 506141-OC	\$ 50,320 \$ 944 \$ 7,912 \$ 984 \$ 79,000	\$ 50,120 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 200 \$ 944 \$ 7,912 \$ 984 \$ 79,000

This condition was corrected through a proposed audit adjustment.

- f. One \$2,960 item (ref. 447091-OA, BU/Acct. #J4067I.63050) did not indicate any activity for more than three years. We were unable to verify if the reserve is still valid at September 30, 2009.
- 3. Our tests of General Fund encumbrances noted the following:
 - a. An aging of the reserve for encumbrances by fiscal year indicates encumbrances totaling \$981,376 have been outstanding for three years or more. We did not evidence review by the Department of Finance of long outstanding encumbrances.
 - b. Of twelve General Fund encumbrances tested aggregating \$382,688, the following were noted:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-18, Continued

Condition, Continued:

i. The obligating/encumbering document for the items below was not provided:

Fund/Business Unit	Obligating Document <u>Number</u>	Encumbrance <u>Amount</u>
1010.1011	471415-OC	\$ 28,083
1010.1975	277115-OT	\$ 2,168
1010.1975	281987-OT	\$ 1,200
1012.1812	459362-OC	\$ 95,000
1010.1975	275007-OT	\$ 1,742

We were unable to verify if these are valid encumbrances at September 30, 2009.

ii. The following variances exist between amounts encumbered and expectations due to unrecorded expenditure accruals at year-end:

Fund/ <u>Business Unit</u>	Obligating Document <u>Number</u>	Per General <u>Ledger</u>	Per Expectation	Variance	PV <u>Reference #</u>	Unrecorded Expenditure/ Liability
$\frac{1010.1340}{1010.1812}$	505114-OP	\$ 2,465	\$ -	\$ 2,465	548505	\$ 2,465
	459362-OC	\$ 95,000	\$ 90,038	\$ 4,962	818288	\$ 4,962

iii. The following variances exist between amounts encumbered and expectations due to cancellations/deletions made subsequent to year-end:

Fund/ Business Unit	Obligating Document <u>Number</u>	G	Per eneral edger	Ez	Per spectation	<u>Va</u>	riance
1010.1975 1010.1975	277115-OT 275007-OT	\$ \$	2,168 1,742	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	2,168 1,742

iv. The following General Fund encumbrances did not indicate any activity for three years or more:

Fund	Business Unit #	General Ledger <u>Account #</u>	Obligating Document	<u>Amount</u>
1010	1290	63060	461554-OP	\$ 655
1012	1840	63080	462024-OA	\$ 8,325

We were unable to verify if these are valid encumbrances at September 30, 2009.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established policies and procedures to monitor recorded reserves for encumbrances and inadequate file maintenance.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-18, Continued

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the potential misstatement of the reserve for encumbrances.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the Department of Finance ensure that all encumbrances are supported by encumbering documents. Further, we recommend the Department of Finance ensure that encumbrances are updated for actual expenditures. Additionally, we recommend that long outstanding encumbrances and debit balances be examined to ensure validity.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Bernadita C. Palacios, Acting Director, Finance & Accounting

Corrective Action: We agree that encumbrance balances need to be reviewed and adjusted and are in the process of reviewing and correcting balances. This will include reconciling advance payment and travel encumbrances with the outstanding advance accounts. For #1 encumbrances are mainly construction contracts many of which were carried over from the old FMS system and it has been difficult physically adjusting the detail. We are upgrading our FMS and this will be one of the items we will be discussing with the vendor. For #2 and #3 many of the details relate to unrecorded liabilities and subsequent cancellations that were corrected through AJEs.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

CNMI Local Noncompliance

Finding No. 2009-19

<u>Criteria</u>: Budgets are a vital tool for establishing public policy and maintaining control over the management of public resources.

<u>Condition</u>: On March 11, 2009, the CNMI passed Public Law 16-32 as the Appropriations and Budget Authority Act for 2009. The following activity levels reported expenditures in excess of budget allotments for the year ended September 30, 2009.

	Budgeted Level of <u>Expenditures</u>	Actual Expenditures	Over- Expenditure
Executive Branch: Attorney General Community & Cultural Affairs Commerce Corrections Finance Office of the Governor Public Health Public Safety	\$ 3,337,424 \$ 2,131,750 \$ 845,203 \$ 3,337,055 \$ 4,744,424 \$ 8,890,178 \$ 32,456,088 \$ 6,043,569	\$ 3,665,129 \$ 2,284,286 \$ 978,158 \$ 3,431,053 \$ 5,228,080 \$ 9,251,497 \$ 45,771,499 \$ 8,247,700	\$ (327,705) \$ (152,536) \$ (132,955) \$ (93,998) \$ (483,656) \$ (361,319) \$(13,315,411) \$ (2,204,131)
Second Senatorial District - Tinian: Dental Services DPS Police Environmental Health Fish and Wildlife Historic Preservation Office of the Mayor Parks and Recreation Procurement and Supply Revenue and Taxation	\$ 142,548 \$ 1,326,288 \$ 68,365 \$ 98,091 \$ 49,805 \$ 3,213,010 \$ 103,709 \$ 37,974 \$ 35,150	\$ 167,187 \$ 1,378,215 \$ 71,334 \$ 114,229 \$ 57,797 \$ 3,180,532 \$ 116,730 \$ 44,130 \$ 41,116	\$ (24,639) \$ (51,927) \$ (2,969) \$ (16,138) \$ (7,992) \$ (32,478) \$ (13,021) \$ (6,156) \$ (5,966)
Tinian Health Center First Senatorial District - Rota: Agriculture Customs Service DPS Port and Prop Historic Preservation Labor Land Registration Office of the Mayor Contingency Municipal Council Operations and Maintenance	<pre>\$ 1,369,975 \$ 594,217 \$ 133,623 \$ 311,428 \$ 95,839 \$ 277,317 \$ 117,245 \$ 68,633 \$ 243,441 \$ 666,411</pre>	\$ 1,579,698 \$ 607,124 \$ 179,234 \$ 363,729 \$ 104,187 \$ 280,267 \$ 136,833 \$ 74,163 \$ 282,386 \$ 712,160	\$ (209,723) \$ (12,907) \$ (45,611) \$ (52,301) \$ (8,348) \$ (2,950) \$ (19,588) \$ (5,530) \$ (38,945) \$ (45,749)
Parks and Recreation Procurement and Supply Revenue and Taxation Rota Health Center Soil and Water Conservation Sports and Recreation Government Utilities Independent Programs: Flame Tree Festival	\$ 53,548 \$ 1,642,653 \$ 21,887 \$ 213,699 \$ 994,535 \$ -	\$ 92,533 \$ 1,856,614 \$ 25,000 \$ 248,532 \$ 5,894,904 \$ 14,200	\$ (3,113) \$ (34,833) \$ (4,900,369) \$ (14,200)
National Governor's Association Judicial Branch: CNMI Superior Court CNMI Supreme Court Administrative	\$ 16,745 \$ 1,831,952 \$ 814,135 \$ 682,252	\$ 22,000 \$ 2,095,108 \$ 837,206 \$ 790,418	\$ (5,255) \$ (263,156) \$ (23,071) \$ (108,166)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-19, Continued

Condition, Continued:

	Budgeted Level of <u>Expenditures</u>	Actual Expenditures	Over- <u>Expenditure</u>
Office of the Public Auditor	\$ 1,095,079	\$ 1,374,695	\$ (279,616)
Third Senatorial District - Saipan:			
Mayor Contingency Saipan	\$ -	\$ 17,894	\$ (17,894)
Mayor Saipan	\$ 1,411,777	\$ 1,418,375	\$ (6,598)
Mayor Northern Islands	\$ 270,540	\$ 280,831	\$ (10,291)
Resident Representative to the United States	\$ 283,124	\$ 293,845	\$ (10,721)
Boards and Commissions:			
Civil Service Commission	\$ 183,646	\$ 215,924	\$ (32,278)
CNMI Election Commission	\$ 295,675	\$ 318,372	\$ (22,697)
Board/Professional Licensing	\$ 131,302	\$ 134,147	\$ (2,845)
Education (payment to Public School System):			
Payments to Public School System	\$ 32,491,764	\$ 32,559,711	\$ (67,947)

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the authorization of expenditures in excess of budget allotments.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the over-expenditure of amounts in excess of budget allotments.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Department of Finance authorize expenditures only within budgeted allotment levels.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Over-expenditures in excess of budget allotments was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2000 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Robert A. Schrack, Secretary of Finance and Virginia Villagomez, Special Assistant for Management & Budget

Corrective Action: We agree that a deficit was incurred in FY2009. Personnel austerity measures were incorporated in the budget expenditure level that were not included in the final passage of P.L. 16-32.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

C. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Finding No.:	2009-20
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.551 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
Federal Award No.:	7NM4004ÑM
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Cash Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: The terms stipulated in the Cash Management Improvement Act Agreement (CMIAA) should be followed by the grantee. Additionally, the CMIAA states that the CNMI shall be liable for interest on federal funds from the date federal funds are credited to the CNMI's account until those funds are paid out for program purposes. The allowable clearance pattern is seven days.

<u>Condition</u>: The CNMI Division of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program received \$8,012,868 in program benefits related to food costs. Of the total, \$7,847,124 was tested. For thirteen of twenty-four food cost samples tested, the following were paid after the allowable clearance pattern:

Document No.	Amount	Clearance Date	Cash Receipt Date	Days Elapsed After the Allowable <u>Clearance Pattern</u>
766995	\$ 566,763	12/01/08	10/31/08	14
770432	\$ 528,445	02/20/09	12/12/08	43
773347	\$ 593,640	01/08/09	12/19/08	7
777502	\$ 472,756	03/20/09	02/20/09	13
780049	\$ 496,284	04/09/09	03/13/09	12
782859	\$ 517,334	05/01/09	04/20/09	2
790463	\$ 487,487	07/07/09	05/13/09	30
804749	\$ 526,991	07/27/09	06/17/09	21
815345	\$ 822,846	09/15/09	08/12/09	17
817998	\$ 188,871	10/08/09	09/18/09	7
818002	\$ 697,185	10/26/09	09/18/09	19
773344	\$ 74,092	02/20/09	12/19/08	38
804745	\$ 140,726	07/07/09	06/17/09	7

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is a lack of procedures to ensure cash advanced from the grantor agency is disbursed within a timely manner.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with federal cash management requirements. An interest liability of \$446 exists. However, no questioned costs result from the condition as the projected interest liability to the U.S. Department of Agriculture is less than \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Department of Finance ensure strict compliance with the clearance pattern as approved and specified in the CMIAA.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of procedures in place to ensure cash advanced from the grantor agency is disbursed within a timely manner was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-20, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.551 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
Federal Award No.:	7NM4004ÑM
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Cash Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Antoinette Calvo, CNMI Treasurer

Corrective Action: The CNMI's general policy on Federal grant payments is to draw down funds on a reimbursement basis or as close to the actual disbursement as possible. This is evidenced by the fact that a substantial overall receivable is shown from Federal grantor agencies each year in the Single Audit. The financial statement section of this audit (FY2009) shows receivables from Federal agencies of \$7,291,112 for Federal grants as of September 30, 2009.

We will review our procedures with appropriate staff to minimize the timing differences; however, we feel the audit technique of selecting specific invoices is flawed in that overall program expenditures versus drawdowns are not considered. The federal agency may not have made payments for other expenditures in the program

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-21
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.551 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
Federal Award No.:	7NM4004NM
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Title 7 Section 3016.32 of the Code of Federal Regulations, a State will use, manage, and dispose of equipment acquired under a grant by the State in accordance with State laws and procedures.

The CNMI Property Management Policies and Procedures requires the Division of Procurement and Supply (P&S) to conduct an annual inventory of property held by a designated official who has administrative control over the use of personal property within his area of jurisdiction. Further, P&S shall perform random audits of property held by each accountable person to validate the integrity of the property control process.

Further, property records must be maintained that include a description of the property, a serial number or other identification number, the source of property, who holds title, the acquisition date, and cost of the property, percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the property, the location, use and condition of the property, and any ultimate disposition data including the date of disposal and sale price of the property.

<u>Condition</u>: The following were noted during our examination of the Division of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and the Division of Procurement and Supply compliance with the above requirements:

- a. Property records maintained by P&S did not have information as to source of the property and who holds title. Further, of five hundred and six items listed, forty-eight items did not have information as to physical location and one hundred eleven items did not have cost information.
- b. Property records maintained by SNAP did not have information as to source of the property and who holds the title. Further, of five hundred four items listed, ninety-one items did not have cost information and one item did not have an acquisition date.
- c. Although an annual inventory was performed, a reconciliation of property records maintained by SNAP and P&S has not been completed. The property records maintained by SNAP are \$12,004 in excess of the amounts maintained by P&S.
- d. Random audits of property held by SNAP to validate the integrity of the property control process were not performed.

We were unable to assess the overall cumulative monetary value of the deficiencies above. However, total capital outlays for fiscal years 2009, 2008 and 2007 were \$47,525, \$12,655 and \$10,272, respectively.

<u>Cause:</u> The cause of the above condition is lack of adherence to established policies and procedures regarding physical inventories of property and equipment and an absence of a reconciliation between the property records maintained by P&S and SNAP.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-21, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.551 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
Federal Award No.:	7NM4004NM
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Effect:</u> The effect of the above condition is that the CNMI is not in compliance with applicable equipment and real property management requirements.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI ensure compliance with applicable property rules and regulations.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adherence to established policies and procedures regarding physical inventory counts of property and equipment and lack of reconciliation between the property records maintained by P&S and SNAP was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Eleanor Cruz, Administrator

Corrective Action: A physical inventory was completed by P&S on August 2009 and reconciliation of the SNAP physical inventory was performed and completed and updated copy emailed to Deloitte & Touche on May 28, 2010. All records of SNAP physical inventory and P&S inventory master list were reconciled and completed on November 2009.

Proposed Completion Date: Completed

<u>Auditor Response</u>: The updated property and equipment listing provided by SNAP on May 28, 2010 was the one examined in relation to the criteria above. As such, the condition identified above were based on the updated property and equipment listing provided.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-22
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.551 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
Federal Award No.:	7NM4004NM
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Title 7 Section 3016.60(c) of the Code of Federal Regulations, *The Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments*, states that a State or local government shall not apply in-State or local geographical preference, whether statutorily or administratively prescribed, in awarding contracts.

<u>Condition</u>: Of five non-payroll samples tested, one item (ref. PV No. 782560) amounting to \$24,829 was awarded through a contract which included a local geographical preference clause.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of procedures in place to ensure that all federally funded procurements of goods and services exclude the local bidder preference clause.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with federal procurement regulations and potential restriction on the manner of conducting procurement. No questioned costs result from this finding as the selected vendor's bid was lower than that of the highest rated bidder.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI ensure that contracts funded by federal awards meet compliance with all applicable laws.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of procedures to ensure that all federally funded procurement of goods and services exclude the local bidder preference clause was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Eleanor Cruz, Administrator

Corrective Action: As of August 2009, all ITBs and contracts have no local preference clause in them. CNMI P.L 15-19 (CNMI Local Preference Law) is no longer applicable and USDA 7 CFR 277.14(f) and 7CFR 3016.36 have been implemented in all contracts.

Proposed Completion Date: Completed

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-23
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.551 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
Federal Award No.:	7NM4004NM
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: The Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the CNMI and the Food and Nutrition Service under the U.S. Department of Agriculture requires the CNMI Division of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) to periodically monitor all retailers participating in the Program to determine compliance with Program Requirements.

<u>Condition</u>: Our tests of compliance visits to retailers indicated that compliance visits were not performed for the quarters ending March 31, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2009.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is represented to be an inadequate number of personnel to perform the visits.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with the requirements of the MOU.

Recommendation: We recommend that SNAP comply with the provisions of the MOU.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Eleanor Cruz, Administrator

Corrective Action: The Retail and Redemption Unit (RRU) agrees with the finding that the compliance visits for quarters ended March 31, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2009 "High Redeemers" were not performed during the fiscal year. The cause of these conditions is due to inadequate number of personnel to perform these requirements. There are three full-time employees (FTE) assigned to RRU, however, during this fiscal year, one FTE was a CNMI Army Reservist and was activated for deployment to Kuwait which he served for over a period of twelve months. In addition, since the inception of the BICA Unit/CIC Section, at least one RRU staff is assigned to assist during the issuance of the NAP Coupons/ATP checks every month despite inadequate manpower to perform its duties and responsibilities on a timely manner as required.

Proposed Completion Date: RRU have completed the compliance visits for March 31, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2009 and compliance visits reports for the said periods were submitted to NAP Administrator including the mailing of the confirmation letters to affected retailers on May 18, 2010.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-24
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and
e	Children
Federal Award No.:	7NM700NM7
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Eligibility
Questioned Costs:	\$3,167

<u>Criteria</u>: The CNMI Division of Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children's (WIC) Procedures Manual requires that participants must be informed of their rights and obligations and documentation of such must be performed at each certification.

<u>Condition</u>: Our tests of fifty participants indicated that rights and obligations documentation was not prepared for three participants (WIC ID Nos. 10010003564, 10010004171 and 10010004738).

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is lack of controls over the eligibility process.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with eligibility requirements and the possible use of federal funds for ineligible individuals and questioned costs of \$3,167 since the projected costs exceeded \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that WIC strengthen record keeping controls to ensure that case files supporting eligibility determination are completely maintained.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Erin Angela Camacho, RD, CLC, Program Administrator, CNMI WIC Program

Corrective Action: The CNMI WIC clinic has established better filing procedures to keep certification paperwork from being lost. Rights and Obligations forms are now stapled to the check issuance signature sheet, and secured in a daily file with metal tabs. This has minimized misfiled and lost paperwork since it was implemented in January of 2010. Staff have been trained and reminded that the rights and obligations paperwork must be signed at every certification appointment. This has been addressed in clinic staff meeting trainings. In October of 2008 the Program received approval from FNS for FFY 2009 to hire a Quality Assurance Coordinator whose duties include the ongoing monitoring and management evaluation of the Program. The Program is awaiting the approval of the FTE from the CNMI Government. To date the CNMI WIC Program has hired a Quality Assurance Coordinator he has been onboard as of February 2010. As recommended by the CNMI WIC's most recent USDA STAR onsite review that took place April 26th to the 30th steps are being taken to ensure that the Quality Assurance Coordinator receives the proper training in all aspects of Monitoring and Evaluation. What is suggested by the Clinic Manager is to have the CNMI WIC Quality Assurance Coordinator do random Audits on Participant files on a Quarterly basis to insure that the CNMI WIC Clinic meets this requirement.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-25
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and
e	Children
Federal Award No.:	7NM700NM7
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$- 0 -

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Title 7 Section 3016.32 of the Code of Federal Regulations, a State will use, manage, and dispose of equipment acquired under a grant by the State in accordance with State laws and procedures.

The CNMI Property Management Policies and Procedures requires the Division of Procurement and Supply (P&S) to conduct an annual inventory of property held by a designated official who has administrative control over the use of personal property within his area of jurisdiction. Further, P&S shall perform random audits of property held by each accountable person to validate the integrity of the property control process.

Further, property records must be maintained that include a description of the property, a serial number or other identification number, the source of property, who holds title, the acquisition date, and cost of the property, percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the property, the location, use and condition of the property, and any ultimate disposition data including the date of disposal and sale price of the property.

<u>Condition</u>: The following were noted during our examination of the CNMI Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) and Division of Procurement and Supply (P&S) compliance with the above requirements:

- WIC's property listing is not reconciled with the P&S's records.
- P&S maintained records of equipment and property held by WIC, which includes equipment and property purchased by WIC since 2006 with a total cost of \$185,023. However, the property records did not include information as to the source of the property and percentage of federal participation. Further, of one hundred fifty-seven items of property and equipment listed as of September 30, 2009, fifty items did not have cost information.
- The P&S listing as of September 30, 2009 indicated a total of one hundred fifty-seven fixed asset items compared to WIC's listing of one hundred ninety-two fixed asset items as of September 30, 2009.
- A physical inventory of equipment and property has not been performed since the WIC program started.

We are unable to assess the overall cumulative monetary value of the deficiencies above. However, total capital outlay for fiscal years 2009, 2008 and 2007 were \$20,252, \$107,331 and \$57,440, respectively.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-25, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and
	Children
Federal Award No.:	7NM700NM7
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-Ô-

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adherence to established policies and procedures regarding physical inventory counts of property and equipment and failure to reconcile property records.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is that the CNMI is not in compliance with applicable equipment and real property management requirements.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI ensure compliance with applicable property rules and regulations.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adherence to established policies and procedures regarding physical inventory counts of property and equipment and failure to maintain property records was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Imelda Benavente, WIC Financial Manager and Irene Sigrah, WIC Administrative Assistant

Corrective Action: WIC is in the process of updating and reconciling its property records with DOF-P&S. WIC has also since obtained a copy of the CNMI Property Management Policies and Procedures to use as its guidance for the management and accountability of all property under WIC's possession. WIC will ensure that it comply with the CNMI Property Management Policies and Procedures.

Proposed Completion Date: August 31, 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-26
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and
e	Children
Federal Award No.:	7NM700NM7
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$2,500

<u>Criteria</u>: Federal regulations state that small purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies, or other property that do not cost more than the simplified acquisition threshold fixed at 41 U.S.C. 403(11) (currently set at \$100,000). If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations shall be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources.

The CNMI Procurement Regulations Section 3-105 states the following:

- Any procurement not exceeding the amount established herein may be made in accordance with small purchase procedures. However, procurement requirements shall not be artificially divided so as to constitute a small purchase.
- Bidding is not required for procurements under \$2,500.
- Bidding is also not required but is encouraged for procurement over \$2,500 and under \$10,000. The individual with the expenditure authority must obtain price quotations from at least three vendors and should base the selection on competitive price and quality for procurement valued at \$2,500 to \$10,000. Any price quotations must be written, documented and submitted to the Procurement and Supply Director for approval.

<u>Condition</u>: Of nine non-payroll Nutrition Services and Administration costs tested aggregating \$132,720, there is no indication that price or rate quotations were obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources which qualify under small purchase procedures for the following item:

Document Type	Document No.	General <u>Ledger Date</u>	Expenditure <u>Tested</u>
PV	762025	10/07/08	\$ 2,500

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the failure to enforce adherence to established procurement regulations and the absence of competitive procurement for items less than \$2,500.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with federal procurement regulations and questioned costs of \$2,500 since the projected costs exceeded \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Program comply with federal procurement regulations related to small purchase procedures.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of policies and procedures within the requesting departments to ensure compliance with local and federal procurement regulations was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-26, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and
	Children
Federal Award No.:	7NM700NM7
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$2,500

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Imelda Benavente, WIC Financial Manager and Irene Sigrah, WIC Administrative Assistant

Corrective Action: The expenditure cited for lack of documentation to indicate compliance with the three price quotation requirement involves purchases made under an open purchase order for an office supply vendor. To comply with the three price quotation requirement, WIC will begin documenting that it has obtained three price quotations from other office supply vendors prior to making a purchase for office supplies under an open purchase order.

Attached is WIC's Desk Manual revised on June 2009 which includes a provision that requires three price quotations to be attached to every purchase request (\$10,000 and under), no exceptions.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-27
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and
e	Children
Federal Award No.:	7NM700NM7
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Reporting
Questioned Costs:	\$-Ô-

<u>Criteria:</u> In accordance with 7 CFR section 246.25(b), a State Agency is required to submit monthly financial and program performance (participation) data. Further, State Agencies prepare the FNS-798A annually to report: (1) Nutrition Services and Administration (NSA) expenditures by function for the fiscal year being closed out; (2) the method by which NSA expenditures were charged as indirect costs; and (3) the method by which the indirect cost amount was determined. Further, total outlays reported to the grantor should correspond to amounts recorded per the general ledger.

<u>Condition:</u> Based on our examination of the CNMI Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children's (WIC) FNS-798A, the following differences were noted:

	Per FNS-798A	Per General <u>Ledger</u>	Difference
Food costs NSA costs	\$ 3,191,428 <u>1,115,637</u>	\$ 3,236,191 <u>1,173,360</u>	\$ (44,763) _(57,723)
Total	\$ <u>4,307,065</u>	\$ <u>4,409,551</u>	\$ <u>(102,486</u>)

<u>Cause:</u> The cause of the above condition is that the amounts reflected on the FNS-798A for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 did not reflect actual expenditures due to possible cut-off errors.

<u>Effect:</u> The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with grant reporting requirements. No questioned costs result from the condition as funds drawn down correspond with recorded expenditures.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that WIC ensure that information reported to the grantor reconciles to financial records.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Noncompliance with grant reporting requirements was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Imelda Benavente, WIC Financial Manager and Irene Sigrah, WIC Administrative Assistant

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-27, Continued U.S. Department of Agriculture
0 3	
CFDA Program:	10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and
-	Children
Federal Award No.:	7NM700NM7
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Reporting
Questioned Costs:	\$- 0 -

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action: WIC will work closely with DOF - Federal Grants Section to ensure that information reported to the grantor agency agrees with DOF financial records. Any discrepancies between WIC and DOF records will be communicated and resolved with DOF on a monthly basis.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-28
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and
-	Children
Federal Award No.:	7NM700NM7
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with applicable special tests and provision requirements, the State agency shall establish an on-going management evaluation system which includes at least monitoring of local agency operations, review of local agency financial and participation reports, development of corrective action plans to resolve Program deficiencies, monitoring of the implementation of corrective action plans, and on-site visits. The results of such actions shall be documented.

<u>Condition</u>: The CNMI Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) has not established a management evaluation system during fiscal year 2009.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adherence to the State Plan.

<u>Effect:</u> The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with applicable special tests and provision requirements and the Program's State Plan.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that WIC establish its management evaluation system in accordance with the applicable special tests and provision requirements and the State Plan.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adherence to applicable special tests and provision requirements and the State Plan was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Erin Angela Camacho, RD, CLC, Program Administrator, CNMI WIC Program

Corrective Action: In October of 2008 the Program received approval from FNS for FY 2009 to hire a Quality Assurance Coordinator whose duties include the ongoing monitoring and management evaluation of the Program. The Program is awaiting the approval of the FTE from the CNMI Government. To date, the CNMI WIC Program has hired a Quality Assurance Coordinator who has been onboard as of February 2010. As recommended by the CNMI WIC's most recent USDA STAR onsite review that took place April 26th to the 30th, steps are being taken to ensure that the Quality Assurance Coordinator receives the proper training that would enable him to establish an ongoing management evaluation system which will include monitoring of state run clinic site, operations, review of state run clinic financial and participation reports, development of corrective action plans to resolve program deficiencies if identified, monitoring of the implementation of corrective action plans.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-29
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award No.:	CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Period:	01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$2,081

<u>Criteria</u>: Expenditures and disbursements should be supported by certified accounts payable vouchers, invoices and other relevant documents.

<u>Condition</u>: Of fifteen non-payroll expenditures tested aggregating \$128,522, we noted the following:

1. For one item, the expenditure did not agree to supporting invoices as follows:

Business Unit	<u>APV #</u>	GL Amount	Federal Share
1350	525985 PV	\$ 2,834	\$ 1,729

2. For one travel expenditure, the trip report was not provided as follows:

Business Unit	<u>APV #</u>	GL Amount	Federal Share
1335	533177 PV	\$ 2,937	\$ 352

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of expenditure verification.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is questioned costs of \$2,081 since the projected questioned costs exceeded \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI Department of Finance ensure that all expenditures and disbursements are supported by invoices, checks, contracts and other relevant documents.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Lack of systematic filing of certified accounts payable vouchers, invoices and other relevant documents was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2004 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Bernadita C. Palacios, Acting Director, Finance & Accounting

Corrective Action: We will review specific documents noted, however reimbursement of costs was done on a lump sum basis not for specific individual expenditures. For #1, this particular payment was noted as a partial payment so the full invoice was probably attached with partial payment noted. For #2 we will follow up on trip report.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-30
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
_	Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award No.:	CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Period:	01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$9,702

<u>Criteria</u>: Payroll charges should be supported by authorized personnel action forms and timesheets or equivalent.

<u>Condition</u>: Of thirty-one payroll expenditure aggregating \$168,015 that was reimbursed by the DOI Compact Impact Grant, we noted that an authorized timesheet or equivalent was not provided for one employee (#480993; PPE 01/17/09; federal share of gross pay \$9,702) and that the pay rate did not agree to the supporting personnel action form.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of systematic filing of timesheets or equivalent documents supporting time charges and failure to update pay rates in the payroll system on a timely basis.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is questioned costs of \$9,702 since the projected questioned costs exceeded \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that all recorded payroll expenditures and disbursements are supported by timesheets or equivalent documentation and that changes to pay rates be updated in the payroll system on a timely basis.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of systematic filing of personnel action forms and timesheets was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Bernadita C. Palacios, Acting Director, Finance & Accounting

Corrective Action: We will review specific document noted, however reimbursement was done on a lump sum basis not for specific individual expenditures. It appears this doctor was normally stationed on Tinian and was reimbursed for work done on Saipan outside his normal working hours.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-31
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
-	Capital Improvement Projects and Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act and CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Periods	: Available Until Expended and 01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Cash Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with OMB Circular A-133, Part III Compliance Requirements - Cash Management, when entities are funded on a reimbursement basis, program costs must be paid for by entity funds before reimbursement is requested from the federal government. The terms stipulated in the Cash Management Improvement Act Agreement (CMIAA) should be followed by the grantee for selected major programs. Additionally, the CMIAA states that the CNMI shall be liable for interest on federal funds from the date federal funds are credited to the CNMI's account until those funds are paid out for program purposes. The approved clearance pattern is three days.

<u>Condition</u>: Of seventy-one CIP samples tested, fifty-one items were paid after the allowable clearance pattern from the date federal funds were credited to the CNMI's Account. Details are as follows:

<u>APV #</u>	Amount	Business Unit	CR Date	Date Check Cleared	Elapsed
762162	\$ 82,623	5152	10/23/08	01/13/09	55
762896	\$ 42,000	5228	10/23/08	12/04/08	27
762902	\$ 175,432	5618	10/23/08	12/19/08	38
762902	\$ 132,546	5626	10/23/08	12/19/08	38
762903	\$ 52,987	5626	10/23/08	10/28/09	239
763613	\$ 35,153	5154	10/31/08	01/09/09	45
763898	\$ 233,579	5615	10/31/08	12/01/08	18
764492	\$ 30,013	5222	11/05/08	12/01/08	15
765291	\$ 356,324	5615	11/21/08	02/12/09	64
765744	\$ 81,420	5602	12/12/08	12/18/08	1
766778	\$ 74,718	5238	11/28/08	12/12/09	223
767047	\$ 16,574	5175	12/05/08	01/26/09	33
767048	\$ 176,750	5626	11/28/08	12/19/08	12
768484	\$ 25,517	5615	12/15/08	01/06/09	13
768587	\$ 42,466	5615	12/15/08	02/05/09	37
769459	\$ 62,760	5222	12/23/08	01/30/09	25
770361	\$ 37,788	5218	12/31/08	02/11/09	27
770364	\$ 43,975	5220	10/15/07	02/05/09	340
770365	\$ 89,954	5626	12/31/08	02/11/09	27
770367	\$ 81,422	5602	12/31/08	01/30/09	19
771217	\$ 25,133	5605	01/08/09	01/26/09	9
771868	\$ 40,000	5237	01/14/09	02/11/09	17
772001	\$ 152,010	5612	01/14/09	01/20/09	1
772433	\$ 69,218	5626	01/20/09	02/17/09	17
772755	\$ 16,200	5228	04/03/09	05/21/09	31
774166	\$ 5,226	5615	02/17/09	09/30/09	158
774373	\$ 29,043	5626	02/17/09	03/03/09	7
774874	\$ 38,133	5433	03/02/09	03/11/09	4
774880	\$ 54,398	5626	02/24/09	03/04/09	3
774884	\$ 102,218	5615	03/02/09	04/28/09	38
776151	\$ 779	5222	03/16/09	04/20/09	22
776904	\$ 24,803	5615	03/20/09	09/30/09	135

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-31, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
c	Capital Improvement Projects and Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act and CNMI-CI-2009-1
	: Available Until Expended and 01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Cash Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Condition, Continued:

<u>APV #</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Business Unit	CR Date	Date Check Cleared	Elapsed
776947	\$ 51,088	5222	03/16/09	03/27/09	6
776948	\$ 55,879	5239	03/23/09	04/10/09	11
776950	\$ 145,091	5626	03/16/09	04/22/09	24
776970	\$ 13,200	5615	03/20/09	04/20/09	18
777299	\$ 62,462	5626	03/24/09	04/22/09	18
779086	\$ 6,225	5626	04/16/09	06/29/09	49
779363	\$ 25,133	5605	05/19/09	06/02/09	7
780327	\$ 98,047	5626	05/04/09	05/15/09	6
781466	\$ 18,129	5210	05/11/09	09/30/09	99
782165	\$ 2,423	5617	05/19/09	07/02/09	29
782547	\$ 152,010	5612	06/30/09	07/06/09	1
784001	\$ 19,774	5222	06/09/09	06/17/09	3
784001	\$ 75,058	5605	06/09/09	06/17/09	3
790225	\$ 84,000	5228	06/18/09	07/03/09	8
795145	\$ 64,620	5626	06/30/09	07/13/09	6
795322	\$ 215,725	5626	06/30/09	08/25/09	37
803503	\$ 25,133	5175	07/20/09	08/31/09	27
804209	\$ 27,000	5626	07/21/09	08/17/09	16
810316	\$ 63,197	5626	09/11/09	10/01/09	9

Additionally, of the \$5,171,914 drawn related to the 2008 Compact Impact grant, the following items were paid after the allowable clearance pattern from the date federal funds were credited to the CNMI's account:

Document Ref. #	:	<u>Amount</u>	Bus	siness Unit	CR Date	Date Check/ Payment Cleared	Elapsed
PP #10/2009	\$	1,055,000		I09CIG	01/30/09	05/08/09	67
PP #10/2009	\$	43,024		I09CIG	04/08/09	05/08/09	19
JT09-4228 PSS	\$	215,451		I09CIG	04/08/09	05/21/09	28
JT09-4312 PSS	\$	269,025		I09CIG	04/08/09	08/04/09	81
JT09-4343 PSS	\$	15,995		I09CIG	07/28/09	08/28/09	20
PV 544772/PN 22099181	\$	89,675		I09CIG	07/28/09	09/16/09	33
Unpaid	\$	421,830		I09CIG	07/28/09	09/30/09	43
JT09-4123 NMC	\$	165,000		I09CIH	01/30/09	02/27/09	17
PV 540830/PN 22099167	\$	82,500		I09CIH	04/08/09	08/13/09	88
PV 540830	\$	82,500	-	I09CIH	07/28/09	08/13/09	9

Interest for APVs not paid or with checks outstanding was calculated to September 30, 2009. The number of days elapsed is in excess of the clearance pattern of 3 days stipulated in the CNMI Treasury State Agreement.

The results of cash management tests indicated that the terms stipulated in the Cash Management Improvement Act are not being followed.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-31, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
C	Capital Improvement Projects and Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act and CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Periods:	Available Until Expended and 01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Cash Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of procedures to ensure cash advanced from the grantor agency is disbursed within a timely manner and in accordance with the requirements of the CMIAA.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with federal cash management requirements. An interest liability of \$675 exists. However, no questioned costs result as the interest liability to the U.S. Department of the Interior is below \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Department of Finance ensure compliance with the clearance pattern specified in the CMIAA.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of compliance with grant requirements relating to cash management was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2003 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Antoinette Calvo, CNMI Treasurer

Corrective Action: The CNMI's general policy on Federal grant payments is to draw down funds on a reimbursement basis or as close to the actual disbursement as possible. This is evidenced by the fact that a substantial overall receivable is shown from Federal grantor agencies each year in the Single Audit. The financial statement section of this audit (FY2009) shows receivables from Federal agencies of \$7,291,112 for Federal grants as of September 30, 2009.

We will review our procedures with appropriate staff to minimize the timing differences; however, we feel the audit technique of selecting specific invoices is flawed in that overall program expenditures versus draw downs are not considered. The federal agency may not have made payments for other expenditures in the program

For this finding, the invoices selected all relate to large construction projects where substantial payments are due to the vendor. In several cases the payment was a final contract payment and was not released until the vendor obtained a tax clearance. We also noted that the long outstanding payments were issued shortly after the cash receipt date but did not clear the bank for lengthy periods. Many of the payments selected also include a local share that must be drawn down from our bond trustees. DOI has instructed us not to release the Federal portion of the payment until the local matching bond draw down is received. Advance payment in these cases would disrupt our cash flow for normal payments and payroll. We try to time our disbursement to receipt of the Federal and bond drawdown cash but do wait for the cash to be deposited in the CIP drawdown account before releasing the check

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-31, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects and Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act and CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Periods	: Available Until Expended and 01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Cash Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action, Continued:

The section on Compact Impact grant funding should be deleted as funds were received on a reimbursement basis and transferred to PSS and NMC during FY2009.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

<u>Auditor Response</u>: Compact impact funds received were transferred to PSS and NMC after the allowable clearance pattern from the date federal funds were credited to the CNMI's account. Interest liability relating to PSS and NMC transfers were calculated based on the number of days elapsed in excess of the clearance pattern of three days stipulated in the CMIAA.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-32
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects and Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act and CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Periods	: Available Until Expended and 01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-Ô-

<u>Criteria</u>: Federal regulations provide that procedures for managing equipment, whether acquired in whole or in part with grant funds, until disposition takes place will, as a minimum, meet the following requirements:

- (1) Property records must be maintained that include a description of the property, a serial number or other identification number, the source of property, who holds title, the acquisition date, and cost of the property, percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the property, the location, use and condition of the property, and any ultimate disposition data including the date of disposal and sale price of the property.
- (2) A physical inventory of the property must be taken and the results reconciled with the property records at least once every two years.
- (3) A control system must be developed to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage, or theft of the property. Any loss, damage, or theft shall be investigated.
- (4) Adequate maintenance procedures must be developed to keep the property in good condition.

In addition, the CNMI Property Management Policies and Procedures requires the Division of Procurement and Supply (P&S) to conduct an annual inventory of property held by a designated official who has administrative control over the use of personal property within his area of jurisdiction.

<u>Condition</u>: The Capital Improvements Project (CIP) Office does not maintain complete property listings of equipment and property acquired with DOI grant funds. Property records maintained by the P&S are by agencies/departments; however, P&S is unable to provide records of property and equipment acquired from DOI grant funds. Property and equipment acquisitions from DOI grant funds.

Account #	Equipment and Property Category	FY 2009	FY 2008	<u>FY 2007</u>
64080 64090 64541 64570	Machinery, tools and equipment Computer system and equipment Medical equipment Office furniture and fixtures	\$	\$ 73,480 	\$ 77,520 468
		\$ 334,925	\$ <u>217,964</u>	\$ <u>336,119</u>

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adherence to established policies and procedures regarding maintenance of property records.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-32, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
-	Capital Improvement Projects and Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act and CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Periods	: Available Until Expended and 01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-Ô-

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is that the CNMI is not in compliance with federal property standards. No questioned costs result from this finding due to our inability to assess the dollar amount of property and equipment acquired with DOI grant funds.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Program maintain records of property and equipment acquired with grant funds and ensure compliance with applicable federal and local rules and regulations.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Virginia Villagomez, CIP Administrator, Office of Capital Improvement, Office of the Governor

Corrective Action: The equipment and real property management is being maintained by the CIP Office specifically for those equipment purchased by the CIP Office for the program. The CIP Administrator will ensure that an annual physical inventory is conducted by the assigned personnel to ensure that property is intact for the program including an improvement in the areas of internal control affecting disposition of property purchased. The next physical inventory will be conducted on September 30, 2010.

Proposed Completion Date: September 30, 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-33
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award No.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
Questioned Costs:	\$120,397

<u>Criteria</u>: Grant Number CNMI-CIP -2001 (GR100011) requires that federal funds be matched by local funds at 50%.

<u>Condition</u>: In analyzing the CNMI's accounting records and related Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) financial reports for one project (business unit #5241), we noted improper cost allocations. The 2009 Federal share of expenditures is \$241,113 representing 99.9 % of total expenditures of \$241,432. Per recalculation, 50% of the total charged for this project is \$120,716 resulting in an excess of the federal share allowed by \$120,397. Reconciliation and justification of the differences in the matching ratio were not available.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is improper allocation of federal and local shares.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is a lack of compliance with grant matching requirements. This condition may also have cash management implications. As the federal share is higher than allowed, the excess of \$120,397 is questioned.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Department of Finance establish policies and procedures to ensure compliance with matching criteria.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Improper allocation of federal and local shares when preparing CIP financial reports was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Virginia Villagomez, CIP Administrator, Office of Capital Improvement, Office of the Governor

Corrective Action: The improper cost allocations were noted; however, the expenditures incurred were to liquidate the federal share first prior to the grant expiration on September 30, 2009, resulting in a greater cost allocation on the first payment made with the federal share. The grantor had no objections and the drawdown was approved. Subsequent payments were directly drawn from the local share. Final cost allocation for BU 5241 is 45/55 instead of 99.9%. The CIP Administrator will ensure that cost allocations are followed prior to making the final payment on the project.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

<u>Auditor Response</u>: At September 30, 2009, the matching requirements were not met. Further, relevant supporting documentation evidencing grantor's approval to liquidate federal share first was not provided.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-34
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award No.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Period of Availability
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Criteria: Projects should be completed within allowable time frames.

<u>Condition</u>: Of eight grant awards tested, expenditures for three projects were incurred after the grant expiration date for the following:

Business Unit	Grant Award Ref.	Grant Expiration	<u>Allowable Time Frame</u>
4980 5009 5426	CIP-CNMI-2005-2 (1994) CIP-CNMI-2005-2 (1994) INIT-2005-2	01/01/08 01/01/08 07/01/06	\$ 10,533 240 <u>12,008</u>
			\$ <u>22,781</u>

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established policies and procedures to ensure that expenditures incurred beyond the period of availability are not recorded under federal accounts.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the lack of compliance with period of availability requirements. The \$22,781 is not questioned as no drawdown of federal funds for the above occurred items.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI ensure compliance with period of availability requirements.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The failure to provide grantor approval for project extensions was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Virginia Villagomez, CIP Administrator, Office of Capital Improvement, Office of the Governor

Corrective Action: We agree with the finding and internal controls have been established to ensure no transactions are entered after the grant expiration. A journal entry is necessary to transfer expenses incurred to the local share under business units (BU) 4980 and 5009. For BU 5426, the Office of the Attorney General should initiate a journal entry to charge their local BU 1170 removing the expenses incurred after the grant expiration date. A letter will be sent out informing the Department of Finance and the affected agencies of the required journal entries that needs to be processed. The CIP Administrator has included the grant expiration date when a request to establish a business unit is submitted to the Department of Finance. The Department of Finance enters the expiration date of the grant to ensure that no expenditures will be incurred after the grant expires.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-35
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Periods	: 01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$42,253

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with applicable procurement regulations, procurement transactions shall provide for full and open competition that is best suited to the circumstances. Further, the CNMI procurement regulations state the following:

- All government procurement shall be awarded by competitive sealed bidding except under circumstances permitting other than full and open competition.
- When special circumstances require the expedited procurement of goods or services, the expedited procurement shall be as competitive as possible under the circumstances.
- Bidding is not required for procurement under \$2,500
- Bidding is not required but is encouraged for procurement over \$2,500 and under \$10,000. The official with expenditure authority must obtain price quotations from at least three vendors and base the selection on competitive price and quality for procurement valued at \$2,500 to \$10,000. Any price quotations obtained must be written, documented, and submitted to the P&S Director for approval.

<u>Condition</u>: Of fifteen nonpayroll expenditures tested, aggregating \$128,522, the following were noted:

1. Price quotations were not obtained for the following items which qualify under small purchase procedures:

Obligating Document #	Purchase Order <u>Amount</u>	<u>APV #</u>	<u>BU</u>	General <u>Ledger Date</u>	Expenditure <u>Tested</u>	Questioned <u>Costs</u>
498448 OP 495628 OP 500618 OP 498526 OP	\$ 2,500 \$ 2,500 \$ 2,500 \$ 10,000	524927 PV 520857 PV 540188 PV 525985 PV	1350 1350 1350 1350	02/04/09 12/15/08 08/11/09 02/17/09	\$ 77 \$ 2,495 \$ 80 \$ 2,834	\$ 47 1,522 49 <u>1,729</u>
						\$ <u>3,347</u>

2. There was no evidence that price quotations were obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources and supporting travel authorizations were not provided for the following:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-35, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
C	Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Periods:	01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$42,253

Condition, Continued:

Obligating Document #	<u>APV #</u>	<u>BU</u>	General <u>Ledger Date</u>	Expenditure <u>Tested</u>	Questioned <u>Costs</u>
275681 OT 278966 OT 279579 OT 281373 OT 282133 OT 279534 OT 279747 OT	772772 PV 781454 PV 790362 PV 808633 PV 814480 PV 781712 PV 533177 PV	1807 1807 1807 1807 1807 1807 1807 1335	01/16/09 04/29/09 06/15/09 08/12/09 09/29/09 05/01/09 05/11/09	\$ 2,742 \$ 7,600 \$ 5,650 \$ 9,992 \$ 9,948 \$ 2,621 \$ 2,937	\$ 2,742 7,600 5,650 9,992 9,948 2,621 <u>353</u> \$ 38,906
					ф <u>36,900</u>

3. One item (Document No. 496034 OP amounting to \$42,409) was procured using expedited procurement. Although justification was provided for the method utilized, the procurement could have been subjected to competitive bidding if proper planning was performed for the related activity/program. No questioned costs arise from this finding since competitive procurement was used despite the lack of formal sealed bidding procedures.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the failure to enforce adherence to established CNMI procurement regulations and the absence of competitive procurement for items less than \$2,500.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with procurement regulations and questioned costs of \$42,253.

Recommendation: We recommend that the CNMI comply with federal procurement regulations.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Herman Sablan, Director of Procurement & Supply

Corrective Action: For #1 and #2 we disagree with the findings and questioned costs regarding small purchases and travel. See response to 2009-54. For #3, we will review the procurement to see if general guidelines can be implemented.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-35, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
C	Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Periods:	01/07/09 through 09/30/13
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$42,253

<u>Auditor Response</u>: Grantees and subgrantees may use their own procurement procedures, which reflect applicable state and local laws and regulations, provided that the procurements conform to applicable Federal laws and regulations. We believe that the matter should be specifically addressed in writing with the funding agency and approval should be sought in writing authorizing the practice.

Federal regulations require that procurement transactions be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition. This does not exclude transactions relating to airfares. Since multiple airlines service the CNMI and since multiple travel agents do business within the CNMI, it is incumbent on the CNMI to ensure that travel is undertaken at the lowest reasonable fare. Even though 2 airlines service the CNMI, multiple airlines provide service after reaching Hawaii or other destinations. Procurement procedures relating to travel expenses should be addressed by the CNMI.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-36
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1993 and 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$288,306

<u>Criteria</u>: Recorded expenditures shall be subjected to CNMI Procurement Regulations and be properly supported with complete procurement and contract files.

Condition: Of twenty-eight contracts tested, we noted the following:

1. Relevant procurement documents (bid summary, bids received, bid evaluation, etc.) for two contracts were not provided. Details are as follows:

Contract <u>Reference #</u>	Business Unit	FY 2009 Federal Expenditures
384-OS 481-OS	5175 5175 5222 5605 5617	\$ 36,973 70,221 14,398 164,752 <u>1,962</u>
		\$ 288,306

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of proper and systematic filing of relevant documents supporting procurement.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is incomplete procurement files and questioned costs of \$288,306.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI's Procurement and Supply Division ensure that all relevant procurement documents are maintained and filed accordingly.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of proper and systematic filing of relevant documents supporting procurement was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Herman Sablan, Director of Procurement & Supply and Pedro Sasamoto, Water Task Force Program Manager

Corrective Action: Consolidated filing of contract documents has been a continuing problem due to the multiple locations contract information is filed. Procurement should have all pertinent documents in file. We are in the process of developing a checklist to be reviewed on contract completion to insure all related documents are included.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-37
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1993 and 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$18,129

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Section 3-106 (2) of the CNMI Procurement Regulations, a written justification for sole source procurement shall be prepared by the official with expenditure authority. The written sole source justification shall contain the following: a) the specific unique capabilities of the contractor selected; b) the specific reasons why such unique capabilities are required for the particular procurement; c) what specific efforts were made to obtain competition and d) what other specifically-named contractors and other sources, both on-island and off-island, have been considered and why they were not selected. Moreover, generalized statements are not adequate and documents to support the statements justifying the sole source procurement are mandatory.

<u>Condition</u>: Of twenty-eight contracts tested, Contract No. 440-OS was procured using sole source procurement. It was noted that this was originally supposed to be a change order for Contract No. 415 OS but since it exceeded the 25% limit for change orders, a new contract had to be issued. As a condition for approval of the emergency procurement, the Department of Health was supposed to use the "detailed scope of work" in their 04/09/07 memo to solicit quotes from three other vendors who originally competed for the work on Contract No. 415 OS. We were not provided the 04/09/07 memo for scope of work and the quotes from the three other vendors. The related fiscal year 2009 costs of \$18,129 (BU 5210) are questioned.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is failure to comply with Sec. 3-106 of the CNMI Procurement Regulations.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the lack of compliance with Section 3-106 of the CNMI Procurement Regulation and questioned costs of \$18,129.

Recommendation: We recommend that the CNMI adhere to established Procurement Regulations.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Failure to comply with Sec. 3-106 of the CNMI Procurement Regulations was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2006 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Mr. Joseph M. Inos, Jr., Director of TSD/DPW

Corrective Action: The sole source procurement used on this particular project was made in accordance with P&S regulations. We will work closely with P&S to guide us in every project issues; and on a case-by-case basis, we request for sole source depending on the situation and what is to the best interest of the CNMI government. Sole source justifications will require consideration of whether competition was available and other contractors existed who could do this job.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-38
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1993 and 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$865,713

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Section 3-103(5) of the CNMI Procurement Regulations, the request for proposals shall state the relative importance of price and other evaluation factors. Price or cost to the government shall be included as an evaluation factor in every solicitation of proposals. The P&S Director must ensure that the above requirements are complied with in any evaluation of proposals. The *Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments* (43 CFR Section 12.76(d)(3)(iv(v)) stipulates "that awards will be made to the responsible firm whose proposal is most advantageous to the program, with price and other factors considered; andthe method, where price is not used as a selection factor, can only be used in procurement of A/E professional services. It cannot be used to purchase other types of services though A/E firms are a potential source to perform the proposed effort".

<u>Condition</u>: Of twenty-eight contracts tested, three contracts did not include cost as an evaluating factor in evaluating proposals submitted as follows:

Contract <u>Reference #</u>	Business Unit	FY 2009 Federal Expenditures
465-OS	5222	\$ 11,429
	5617	18,449
436-OS	5618	175,432
	5626	614,974
372-OS	5218	45,429
		\$ <u>865,713</u>

Cause: The cause of the above condition is failure to include cost as an evaluating factor.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the lack of compliance with Section 3-103(5) of the CNMI Procurement Regulation and questioned costs of \$865,713.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI review evaluating factors to ensure inclusion of cost.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The failure to include cost as an evaluating factor was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-38, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1993 and 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$865,713

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Mr. Joseph M. Inos, Jr., Director of TSD/DPW

Corrective Action: Every evaluation committees' decision will be reviewed and will be required to demonstrate the effect of the cost factor in its decision. In Contract 372-OS (DPW04-RFP-015) while cost is stated as a factor in the RFP, there is no evidence of what effect it had on the evaluation committee's decision.

Proposed Completion Date: Completed

Name of Contact Person: Pedro Sasamoto, WTF Program Manager

Corrective Action: Contract 436-OS is for Leak Detection and Repairs of existing waterlines. In leak detection and repairs, the location and condition of the existing waterline can only be determined after a leak is pinpointed and excavated, only then can the repair method and cost be determined. Consequently, the RFP addressed cost in two parts: one for the provision of technical services after the initial selection, and the second to negotiate a Schedule of Values of materials. This approach was done in consultation with Procurement and Supply in accordance with Section 3-103(5)(d). Price will be included in the next Leak Detection and Repairs solicitation.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Name of Contact Person: Mr. Joseph M. Inos, Jr., Director of TSD/DPW

Corrective Action: The procurement used on this particular project was made in accordance with Section 4-102 and not Section 3-103 (5) of the CNMI Procurement Regulations. In professional services (like construction management), cost is not used as an evaluating factor. After evaluation is completed and top 3 firms selected, fee proposal is negotiated for a fair and reasonable price to the government.

Proposed Completion Date: Completed

<u>Auditor Response</u>: Relevant supporting documents evidencing that fee was negotiated with the top three firms selected were not provided.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-39
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1993 and 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$226,103

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Section 5-103 (2) of the CNMI Procurement Regulations, before adding significant new work to existing contracts, the agency shall thoroughly assess whether or not it would be more prudent to seek competition. Change orders on construction and A&E contracts, which exceed 25 percent of the cumulative contract price, shall automatically be procured through competitive procedures pursuant to Section 3-101, except when the procurement of the additional work is authorized without using full and open competition under Section 3-104.

<u>Condition</u>: Of twenty-eight contracts tested, we noted change orders (CO) related to one contract (Contract No. 311-OS, CO No. 2 for \$525,000) in which the amount of the change order exceeded 25 percent of the cumulative contract price. Although a justification was noted regarding the additional work to be performed, we did not note the justification for sole source procurement. The fiscal year 2009 expenditures tested relating to these change orders amounted to \$226,103 (BU 5219 for \$54,473, BU 5237 for \$80,000 and BU 5238 for \$91,630).

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the failure to justify sole source procurement.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the lack of compliance with Section 5-103 (2) of the CNMI Procurement Regulation and questioned costs of \$226,103.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Division of Procurement and Supply review contract change orders to ensure justifications are properly documented, filed and maintained.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of compliance with Section 5-103 (2) of the CNMI Procurement Regulation was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2004 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Mr. Joseph M. Inos, Jr., Director of TSD/DPW

Corrective Action: DPW complied with Procurement & Supply Regulations over changes exceeding the 25% change order contract amount.

Proposed Completion Date: Completed

<u>Auditor Response</u>: As stated in the condition, we did not note the justification for sole source procurement for contract no. 311-OS, change order no. 2.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-40
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award No.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Grantee and subgrantee contracts must include, among others, provisions for: 1) termination for cause and for convenience by the grantee or subgrantee including the manner by which it will be effected and the basis for settlement; 2) compliance with the Equal Employment Opportunity Act; 3) compliance with the Copeland "Anti-Kickback" Act; 4) compliance with Sections 103 and 107 of the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act; 5) compliance with all applicable standards, orders, or requirements issued under Section 306 of the Clean Air Act; and 6) federal access to contractor's records.

<u>Condition</u>: Of twenty-eight contracts relating to expenditures of Capital Improvement Projects, we noted the following:

- Seven (7) contracts (Nos. 311-OS, 372-OS, 375-OS, 433-OS, 436-OS, 460-OS and 461-OS) did not contain provisions of compliance under Section 306 of the Clean Air Act.
- Twenty-four (24) contracts (Nos. 311-OS, 372-OS, 375-OS, 416-OS, 420-OS, 431-OS, 433-OS, 436-OS, 440-OS, 460-OS, 461-OS, 464-OS, 468-OS, 472-OS, 474-OS, 477-OS, 478-OS, 479-OS, 480-OS, 482-OS, 484-OS, 487482-OC, 489-OS and 500-OS) did not contain provisions of federal access to contractor's records.
- Six (6) contracts (Nos. 372-OS, 416-OS, 433-OS, 436-OS, 460-OS and 461-OS) did not contain provisions for compliance with Section 103 and 107 of the Contract Hours and Safety Standards Act or its equivalent.
- One (1) contract (Nos. 372-OS) did not contain provisions indicating compliance with requirements of the Equal Employment Opportunity Act (Executive Order 11246).

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of procedures in place to ensure that contracts include required clauses.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with required federal contract provisions.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI ensure that contracts funded by federal awards specify compliance with all applicable federal laws.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of compliance with the federal regulations relating to contract provisions was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2000 through 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-40, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award No.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Mr. Joseph M. Inos, Jr., Director of TSD/DPW

Corrective Action: Recommendation accepted. We will ensure that all contracts we process which are funded in whole or in part by U.S. Capital Improvement Funds contain provisions of Section 305 of the Clean Air Act, provisions of federal access to the contractor's records, compliance with Sections 103 and 107 of the Contract Hours and Safety Standards Act and EEO/Executive Order 11246. It is recommended that the Office of the Attorney General review the types of contract formats and provisions used in the Government to ensure that the agencies use the proper contract forms when executing contracts. The CIP Program Office under the Office of the Governor is in the process of hiring a Contracting Officer to ensure performance of all necessary actions for effective contracting, ensuring compliance with the terms of the contract, and safeguarding the interests of the Government of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in its contractual relationships. A new contract template was issued by Mr. James Stump, AAG (AGO Office) to conform to the recommendations.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-41
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Periods	: Available Until Expended
Area:	Reporting
Questioned Costs:	\$- 0 -

<u>Criteria</u>: Total outlays reported to the grantor should correspond to amounts reported in the underlying books and records.

<u>Condition</u>: Of twenty-seven business units for the Capital Projects Fund tested, one business unit (BU 5426) with fiscal year 2009 expenditures incurred, was not reported to the grantor agency as projects related to the grant (Ref. No. INIT-2005-2) were closed in prior years. Fiscal year 2009 expenditures for the above business unit amounted to \$12,008.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of communication between the CIP office and the Department of Finance to ensure that closed projects are no longer charged.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is lack of compliance with reporting requirements. The \$12,008 is not questioned as no drawdown was made.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Department of Finance establish policies and procedures to ensure compliance with reporting criteria are met.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of updating federal financial reports submitted to the grantor was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2000 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Bernadita C. Palacios, Acting Director, Finance & Accounting

Corrective Action: We will review the one exception with appropriate staff. There are policies and procedures in place.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-42
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects and Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act and CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Periods	: Available Until Expended
Area:	Subrecipient Monitoring
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Pass-through entities must establish and implement subrecipient monitoring policies and procedures. Further, in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, Part III Compliance Requirements - Subrecipient Monitoring, a pass-through entity is responsible for:

- At the time of the award, identifying to the subrecipient the Federal award information (i.e., CFDA title and number; award name and number; if the award is research and development; and name of Federal awarding agency) and applicable compliance requirements.
- Monitoring the subrecipient's use of Federal awards through reporting, site visits, regular contact, or other means to provide reasonable assurance that the subrecipient administers Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements and that performance goals are achieved.
- Ensuring that subrecipients expending \$500,000 or more in Federal awards during the subrecipient's fiscal year, as provided in OMB Circular A-133, have met the audit requirements of OMB Circular A-133 and that the required audits are completed within 9 months of the end of the subrecipient's audit period; issuing a management decision on audit findings within 6 months after receipt of the subrecipient's audit report; and ensuring that the subrecipient takes timely and appropriate corrective action on all audit findings. In cases of continued inability or unwillingness of a subrecipient to have the required audits, the pass-through entity shall take appropriate action using sanctions.
- Evaluating the impact of subrecipient activities on the pass-through entity's ability to comply with applicable Federal regulations.

<u>Condition</u>: During tests of compliance with subrecipient monitoring requirements, the following were noted:

- 1. Of \$4,329,048 in nonpayroll expenditure tested under the Fiscal Years 1996-2009 Appropriations Act, \$1,031,506 related to subrecipient expenditures. A subrecipient agreement was not executed for the pass through grants. Further, for one subrecipient tested, the narrative reports evidencing that CNMI obtained and reviewed the project status for three projects (business unit #s 5220, 5228 and 5615) administered by the subrecipient were not provided.
- 2. Of \$5,171,914 fiscal year 2009 Compact Impact grants, \$2,440,000 were for subrecipients. However, the CNMI did not execute a subrecipient agreement for the pass through grants.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-42, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
-	Capital Improvement Projects and Fiscal Year 2009 Compact Impact
Federal Award Nos.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act and CNMI-CI-2009-1
Federal Award Periods	: Available Until Expended
Area:	Subrecipient Monitoring
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is failure to enforce strict compliance with subrecipient monitoring requirements.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the lack of compliance with subrecipient monitoring requirements. No questioned costs result from this finding as the related subrecipient costs were allowable under the grant award terms and conditions.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the CNMI ensure compliance with subrecipient monitoring requirements.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Virginia Villagomez, CIP Coordinator

Corrective Action: We will review current procedures regarding subrecipient monitoring and revise where needed. We will begin executing subrecipient agreements. Current subrecipients are component units of the CNMI and are required to have A-133 audits performed annually. Grant funds are not passed through to subrecipients but paid to them upon submission of contracts, invoices, receipts and other supporting documentation.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-43
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award No.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$1,085,494

<u>Criteria</u>: Grant terms and conditions specified under grant awards/agreements should be complied with. The grant award agreements provide for the following terms and conditions:

- a. The scope of work and project budget shall be certified by an architect or engineer.
- b. Grantee shall present evidence to the Office of Insular Affairs (OIA) that the CNMI has clear title, a leasehold agreement, or other legal authority for use of the land upon which new capital improvement projects are to be constructed.
- c. The appointment of personnel and or consultants under grant award, No. CNMI-Water/Wastewater 2006-1, is subject to prior written approval by OIA and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA; EPA is specified in the grant award).

Condition: Of twenty-seven CIP projects tested, we noted the following:

1. Evidence that the CNMI has clear title, a leasehold agreement, or other legal authority for use of the land for eleven projects constructed was not provided. Details are as follows:

<u>BU #</u>	FY 2009 Federal <u>Expenditures</u>	Costs Questioned in <u>Other Findings</u>	<u>Finding No.</u>	Remaining Costs <u>Questioned</u>
5178	\$ 67,493	\$ -	-	\$ 67,493
5210	69,723	18,129	2009-37	51,594
5218	294,537	45,429	2009-38	249,108
5227	26,631	_	-	26,631
5237	80,000	80,000	2009-39	
5238	91,630	91,630	2009-39	-
5612	304,020	_	-	304,020
5619	81,505		-	81,505
	\$ <u>1,015,539</u>	\$ <u>235,188</u>		\$ <u>780,351</u>

Total costs of \$780,351 are questioned in this condition.

2. The written approval from OIA for the appointment of personnel/consultant pursuant to two contracts (Contract Nos. 471-OS and 466-OS), funded under Grant Award No. CNMI-Water/Wastewater-2006-1, was not provided for examination. In addition, EPA's written approval for the appointment of personnel was not provided for one contract (Contract No. 466-OS). The related fiscal year 2009 expenditures incurred under these contracts amounted to \$305,143 (BU No. 5228) and are questioned.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-43, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award No.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$1,085,494

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of systematic filing of certified project budgets and scope of work, evidence that the CNMI has clear title, a leasehold agreement, or other legal authority for use of the land and written approval from the grantor.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is questioned costs of \$1,085,494.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Department of Finance ensure that projects are supported with certified project budgets and scope of work, evidence that the CNMI has clear title, a leasehold agreement, or other legal authority for use of the land, required grantor approvals, and other relevant documents.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of systematic filing of the Authorization to Proceed, certified project budgets and scope of work, and evidence that the CNMI has clear title, a leasehold agreement, or other legal authority for use of the land was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Mr. Joseph M. Inos, Jr., Director of TSD/DPW

Corrective Action:

Condition No. 1: DPW needs to research title documents of contracts related to DPW and copies to be provided to your office are forthcoming.

Proposed Completion Date: July 30, 2010

Name of Contact Person: Abe Utu Malae, Acting Executive Director, Commonwealth Utilities Corporation (CUC)

Corrective Action:

Condition No. 2: CUC disagrees with the finding. OIA provided the funding to hire a Deputy Director and a Chief Engineer. They did not involve themselves in the hiring process. We had provided job descriptions and statements of what qualifications an applicant would have to posses, but nothing on the individual applicants. EPA on the other hand was provided with the resumes of all the applicants and participated to a degree in the selection discussions for the hiring of the Deputy Director (466-OS). They did not have formal approval authority and did not make or formally approve the selection.

Proposed Completion Date: Completed

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-43, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
e	Capital Improvement Projects
Federal Award No.:	Fiscal Years 1996 - 2009 Appropriations Act
Federal Award Period:	Available Until Expended
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$1,085,494

<u>Auditor Response</u>: As specified in the grant award (award # CNMI-Water/Wastewater 2006-1), the appointment of personnel and/or consultants is subject to prior written approval by OIA and EPA. Written approvals by OIA and EPA were not provided.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-44
Federal Agency:	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
CFDA Program:	66.600 Environmental Protection Consolidated Grants for the Insular Areas
e	- Program Support
Federal Award No.:	M-00915609-0
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/13
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-0- ¹

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with 40 CFR Section 31.32, a State will use, manage, and dispose of equipment acquired under a grant by the State in accordance with State laws and procedures.

The CNMI Property Management Policies and Procedures requires the Division of Procurement and Supply to conduct an annual inventory of property held by a designated official who has administrative control over the use of personal property within his area of jurisdiction.

Further, property records must be maintained that include the following:

- Description of the property
- Manufacturer's serial and model numbers, federal stock number, national stock number, or other identification
- Acquisition source of the property, including grant or agreement number and method of procurement
- Whether title is vested with the CNMI or U.S. Government
- Percentage (at the end of the budget year) of U.S. participation in the project or program for which the property was acquired
- Location, use, condition, and the date the information was reported
- Unit Acquisition Cost
- Date of disposal and sale price method used to determine fair market value where the CNMI compensates the agency for its share

<u>Condition</u>: The following were noted during our examination of the Division of Environmental Quality (DEQ) and Division of Procurement and Supply (P&S) compliance with the above requirements:

- a. Property records maintained by DEQ have incomplete information as to acquisition cost and percentage of federal share and one item did not have a property number. Further, DEQ's listing did not include the acquisition date or location of the property.
- b. Property records maintained by P&S contain incomplete information as to acquisition cost and did not include the location of the property and the percentage of federal share.
- c. Although an annual inventory was performed, a reconciliation of property records maintained by DEQ and P&S has not been completed. The property records maintained by DEQ are \$166,317 and 264 items less than the property records maintained by P&S.
- d. The P&S property records also include 251 items that cannot be located.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-44, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
CFDA Program:	66.600 Environmental Protection Consolidated Grants for the Insular Areas
e	- Program Support
Federal Award No.:	M-00915609-0
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/13
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-Ô-

Condition, Continued:

We are unable to assess the overall cumulative monetary value of the deficiencies above. However, total capital outlays for fiscal years 2009, 2008 and 2007 were \$27,678, \$55,029 and \$72,218, respectively.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adherence to established policies and procedures regarding physical inventory of property and equipment and the lack of reconciliation of property records between DEQ and P&S.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is that the CNMI is not in compliance with applicable equipment and real property management requirements.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI ensure compliance with applicable property rules and regulations.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adherence to established policies and procedures regarding physical inventory of property and equipment and the lack of reconciliation of property records between DEQ and P&S was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Frank M. Rabauliman, Director, Division of Environmental Quality

Corrective Action: DEQ has tried to maintain complete records on all the property, but DEQ does not have records for many items that are more than ten (10) years old. DEQ's document retention policy is to retain program documents for eight years. DEQ has requested complete information on the property records from Procurement & Supply (P&S), but P&S has not provided the needed information. DEQ is working with P&S to reconcile inconsistencies in property records, and with this in mind, DEQ has requested P&S to determine a reasonable baseline for equipment required to have detailed ownership information. Reconciliation of property records maintained by DEQ and P&S should be completed by August 2010, as part of DEQ's annual inventory.

Proposed Completion Date: August 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-45
Federal Agency:	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
CFDA Program:	66.600 Environmental Protection Consolidated Grants for the Insular Areas
e	- Program Support
Federal Award No.:	M-00915609-0
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/13
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$9,550

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with the CNMI Procurement Regulations Section 3-105 the following requirements exist:

- Any procurement not exceeding the amount established herein may be made in accordance with small purchase procedures. However, procurement requirements shall not be artificially divided so as to constitute a small purchase.
- Bidding is not required for procurements under \$2,500.
- Bidding is also not required but is encouraged for procurement over \$2,500 and under \$10,000. The individual with the expenditure authority must obtain price quotations from at least three vendors and should base the selection on competitive price and quality for procurement valued at \$2,500 to \$10,000. Any price quotations must be written, documented and submitted to the Procurement and Supply Director for approval.

Moreover, administrative conditions of the grant award state that the grantee will follow their own procurement policies and procedures provided that the procedures conform to EPA regulations, namely that all procurement transactions will be conducted in a manner providing full and open completion.

Therefore, for procurement transactions in excess of \$2,500, we will test for compliance with local procurement regulations, and for transactions below \$2,500, we will test for compliance with full and open competition in accordance with EPA administrative conditions.

<u>Condition</u>: Of sixteen non-payroll expenditures tested aggregating \$37,690, there was no evidence that price quotations were obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources, as required under small purchase procedures, for the following:

Document Type	Document No.	General Ledger Date	General Ledger Amount
PV PV PV PV	763582 781438 782852 226132	10/20/08 04/29/09 05/18/09 06/11/09	\$ 3,023 1,913 2,937 1,458
PV	812788	09/16/09	219
			\$ <u>9,550</u>

<u>Cause</u>: DEQ management believes it can strictly follow existing applicable local procurement regulations, which do not require any bidding or quotation solicitation for procurements under \$2,500.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-45, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
CFDA Program:	66.600 Environmental Protection Consolidated Grants for the Insular Areas
e	- Program Support
Federal Award No.:	M-00915609-0
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/13
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$9,550

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with applicable procurement regulations and questioned costs of \$9,550, since the projected questioned costs exceeded \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Program comply with applicable procurement regulations related to small purchase procedures.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of policies and procedures in place within the requesting departments to ensure compliance with applicable procurement regulations was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Frank M. Rabauliman, Director, Division of Environmental Quality

Corrective Action:

Document Nos. 763582, 781438, 782852 and 812788

DEQ ordinarily tries to get multiple quotations for purchases of goods and services. In making the above-referenced purchases, however, DEQ followed the CNMI procurement regulations and policies in place, which do not require obtaining quotations for travel. Transportation is not specifically addressed in the CNMI Procurement Regulations. The CNMI Department of Finance uses established, written, Department of Finance policies when procuring government travel. In accordance with EPA's February 8, 2010 letter, EPA approved all the travel referenced, except # 812788, which was travel with the CNMI. Travel within the CNMI does not require EPA approval.

Document No. 226132

DEQ ordinarily tries to get multiple quotations for its purchases not subject to bid requirements, but in this case DEQ followed the CNMI Procurement Regulations on Small Purchases. The CNMI Procurement Regulations do not require multiple quotations for purchases under \$2,500. In this instance, DEQ needed a laptop immediately and therefore only contacted Saipan Computer Services. DEQ followed the CNMI Procurement Regulations, but did not include its justification for not obtaining multiple quotes in the file, as the purchase was made prior to the agreement between EPA and DEQ that requires a justification for not obtaining multiple quotes, as set forth in EPA's February 8, 2010 letter.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-46
Federal Agency:	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
CFDA Program:	66.600 Environmental Protection Consolidated Grants for the Insular Areas
e	- Program Support
Federal Award No.:	M-00915609-0
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/13
Area:	Reporting
Questioned Costs:	\$-Ô-

<u>Criteria</u>: 40 CFR Section 31.40 requires grantees to submit annual performance reports unless the awarding agency requires quarterly or semi-annual reports. Annual reports shall be due ninety days after the grant year, quarterly or semi-annual reports shall be due thirty days after the reporting period. In addition, a financial status report (SF-269) is required within ninety days of the end of the budget period and a semi-annual Federal Cash Transaction Report (SF-272) is required fifteen days after the end of the period. Further, total outlays reported to the grantor should correspond to amounts recorded per the general ledger.

Condition: Based on our examination of program reporting requirements, we noted the following:

- 1. The SF-269 for the year ended September 30, 2009 reported total accumulated expenditures that were \$64,124 more than the total accumulated expenditures recorded in the general ledger.
- 2. The SF-272 covering the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008 was not submitted to the grantor.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is that the amounts reflected on the SF-269 did not reflect actual accumulated expenditures. Further, the SF-272 was not submitted to the grantor due to turnover in CNMI personnel.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is the lack of compliance with grant reporting requirements.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI Department of Finance adhere to grant reporting requirements.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of compliance with reporting requirements was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2003 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Bernadita C. Palacios, Acting Director, Finance and Accounting

Corrective Action: Federal award no. M 00915609-0 has only three business units (P5600B/D/G) assigned. Our SF-425 filed on September 30, 2009 has expenditures totaling \$2,302,872 (base: \$1,876,646, IAG: \$170,000 and IPA: \$256,226) and the general ledger has expenditures totaling \$2,321,880 (base: \$1,895,654, IAG: \$170,000 and IPA: \$256,226). A difference of \$ 19,008 is underreported, which is due to PPE # 22/09 covering period 09/27/09 thru 10/10/09, entered on 10/23/09 and posted on 08/31/09 covering 09/27-30/09 (three days) for the end of the fiscal year 2009. Corrections were made on the federal financial report for the quarter ended 12/31/09.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-47
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
CFDA Program:	93.778 Medical Assistance Program
Federal Award Nos.:	05-0805CQ5028, 05-0805CQ5048 and 05-0805CQ1935
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$2,043

<u>Criteria</u>: Part 3 of the Revised Operation Plan, delineates types of services that are allowed under the Medicaid Program. Part 4 establishes the basis for determining propriety of rates by service providers.

In addition, recorded expenditures should be authorized, be supported by certified accounts payable vouchers, invoices and other relevant documents, and be approved prior to incurrence.

<u>Condition</u>: Of total program expenditures of \$6,184,138 for the year ended September 30, 2009, professional services of \$5,564,722 and other program expenses of \$521,030 were tested. Of seventy-five items tested, forty-five items totaling \$1,435,867 were not completely reviewed for propriety of rates charged and/or accuracy of billings prior to payment. Based on our tests, the eligibility of services was in accordance with Part 3 of the revised Operational Plan; therefore, no questioned costs result from this condition. Details follow:

General Ledger Date	General Ledger Account	Reference No.	General Ledger Amount	Federal Share
12/19/08	22706	770456	\$ 835	\$ 418
05/14/09	22706	782653	\$ 5,766	2,883
04/02/09	22706	778982	\$ 7,277	3,639
05/14/09	22706	782655	\$ 8,050	4,025
03/10/09	22706	777130	\$ 8,516	4,258
01/16/09	22706	772771	\$ 8,516 \$ 8,934	4,467
09/16/09	22706	812834	\$ 9,135	4,568
09/16/09	22706	812833	\$ 10,119	5,060
12/29/08	22706	771158	\$ 11,017	5,508
05/14/09	22706	782644	\$ 21,465	10,732
12/09/08	22706	769305	\$ 27,443	13,722
05/14/09	22706	782649	\$ 27,917	13,958
01/16/09	22706	772762	\$ 28,268	14,134
08/18/09	22706	809271	\$ 30,347	15,174
08/03/09	22706	807014	\$ 31,352	15,676
04/02/09	22706	778972	\$ 31,484	15,742
06/24/09	22706	796052	\$ 32,187	16,094
10/28/08	22706	764659	\$ 32,589	16,294
06/08/09	22706	784384	\$ 33,281	16,641
08/03/09	22706	807005	\$ 34,009	17,004
03/10/09	22706	777128	\$ 34,842	17,421
03/10/09	22706	777127	\$ 35,958	17,979
12/18/08	22706	770444	\$ 22,323	11,162
04/30/09	22706	781578	\$ 35,647	17,823
07/29/09	22706	806479	\$ 38,547	19,274
06/24/09	22706	796065	\$ 43,737	21,869
04/30/09	22706	781573	\$ 26,746	13,373
06/23/09	22706	795277	\$ 29,459	14,730
07/28/09	22706	806579	\$ 40,660	20,330
03/04/09	22706	776614	\$ 43,042	21,521
08/12/09	22706	808238	\$ 60,927	30,463

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-47, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
CFDA Program:	93.778 Medical Assistance Program
Federal Award Nos.:	05-0805CQ5028, 05-0805CQ5048 and 05-0805CQ1935
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$2,043

Condition, Continued:

General Ledger Date	General Ledger Account	Reference No.	General Ledger Amount	Federal Share
04/30/09 06/16/09 07/28/09 07/28/09 07/28/09 09/08/09 09/22/09 09/22/09	22706 22706 22706 22706 22706 22706 22706 22706 22706	781572 790466 806469 806461 806455 811701 813686 813684	\$ 66,107 \$ 3,205 \$ 3,537 \$ 4,794 \$ 6,205 \$ 10,441 \$ 14,600 \$ 20,932	33,053 1,603 1,769 2,397 3,103 5,221 7,300 10,466
02/24/09 02/24/09 09/21/09 09/30/09 09/30/09 09/30/09	22706 22706 22706 62060 62060 62060	775837 775838 813443 165434 165435 165580	\$ 13,579 \$ 5,195 \$ 32,916 \$ 578,575 \$ 140,338 \$ 220,259	$6,790 \\ 2,598 \\ 16,458 \\ 578,575 \\ 140,338 \\ 220,259 \\ \$ 1,435,872$

Additionally, for some items, the lack of complete rate verification and review of billing accuracy resulted in either double charges or incorrect rates paid. Details are as follows:

a. For two prescription and pharmacy claims totaling \$15,885, the rate charged and paid by Medicaid exceeded the Estimated Acquisition Cost (EAC) per the service provider agreement. Details are as follow:

General Ledger Date	General Ledger Account	Reference No.	Amo	unt Paid	<u>E</u>	AC	Ex	<u>cess</u>
05/14/09 09/16/09	22706 22706	782653 812833	\$ \$	218 230	\$ \$	208 220	\$	10 10
							\$	20
				Federa	al Share	(50%)	\$	10

b. For one expenditure, totaling \$18,133, the rate charged and paid by Medicaid was higher than the established Medicare rate for similar service as follows:

General Ledger Date	General Ledger Account	Reference No.	<u>Amou</u>	unt Paid		licare <u>ate</u>	<u>E</u> 2	<u>kcess</u>
08/28/09	22706	810353	\$	172	\$	57	\$	115
				Feder	al Share	(50%)	\$	58

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-47, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
CFDA Program:	93.778 Medical Assistance Program
Federal Award Nos.:	05-0805CQ5028, 05-0805CQ5048 and 05-0805CQ1935
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$2,043

Condition, Continued:

c. For eleven expenditures, totaling \$206,189, rates could not be verified as the services were not included in the established Medicare rates. We were informed that payment is based upon whatever rates are charged the program. Details are as follow:

General	General	Reference No.	General	Amount
Ledger Date	<u>Ledger Account</u>		Ledger Amount	<u>Tested</u>
04/30/09	$\begin{array}{c} 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\\ 22706\end{array}$	781578	\$ 35,647	\$ 139
04/30/09		781573	\$ 26,746	466
06/23/09		795277	\$ 29,459	302
09/16/09		812834	\$ 9,135	187
08/03/09		807005	\$ 34,009	857
02/24/09		775837	\$ 13,579	602
11/17/08		767074	\$ 6,925	250
06/18/09		792802	\$ 7,612	300
03/23/09		778090	\$ 9,748	205
02/13/09		774953	\$ 15,903	300
04/21/09		780302	\$ 17,426	248
				\$ <u>3,856</u>

Federal Share (50%) \$ <u>1,928</u>

d. For four CHC claims totaling \$42,285, the billing amount did not agree with the amount paid by Medicaid. We were informed that there was a misapplication of rates used for one service. Details are as follows:

General <u>Ledger Date</u>	General <u>Ledger Account</u>	Reference No.	Amount Paid	Amount <u>Per Bill</u>	Over (Under) <u>Payment</u>
09/30/09 09/30/09 09/30/09 09/30/09	62060 62060 62060 62060	165435 165434 165434 165434	\$ 15,315 \$ 10,817 \$ 8,309 \$ 7,844	\$ 15,567 \$ 10,800 \$ 8,301 \$ 7,852	\$ (252) 17 8 (8)
					\$ <u>(235</u>)
			Federal	Share (50%)	\$ <u>(118</u>)

e. A medical referral form for off-island care (Reference No. 761952), with a federal share of \$165 was not made available.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of clearly defined and communicated procedures for verification of billings prior to reimbursement. Further, while the program is required to perform a complete review of claims, only random reviews were performed.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-47, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
CFDA Program:	93.778 Medical Assistance Program
Federal Award Nos.:	05-0805CQ5028, 05-0805CQ5048 and 05-0805CQ1935
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$2,043

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is a control weakness over allowable activities and costs reimbursable and possible misuse of federal expenditures, the possible use of federal funds for unsupported, unauthorized, and unallowed expenditures and questioned costs of \$2,043.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that control procedures be clearly defined and communicated over verification of services charged the Program and review of accuracy of billings shall occur prior to payment and that the Medicaid office and Department of Finance obtain all necessary approvals/authorizations prior to payment of expenditures and ensure that supporting documents are on file.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of clearly defined and communicated procedures for verification of billings prior to reimbursement was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2002 through 2008 and failure to obtain appropriate approvals and authorizations prior to incurring expenditures and failure to have all supporting documents on file was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2005 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Helen C. Sablan, Medicaid Administrator

Corrective Action: We disagree with the finding. Due to a high volume of claims and limited resources, the staff randomly reviews the claims. I have instructed the staff to initial the claims once they have review rates and eligibility date. If the drugs were not included in the list of Average wholesale Price list that we maintained to compute EAC or any CPT codes for other services that are not available as per what our negotiated rate on our contract that we will only pay 50% of charges.

- a) The pharmacy have an online system where they follow the Estimated Acquisition Cost (EAC) rate and occasionally changes from time to time and what we are using is a print out Estimated Acquisition Cost (EAC) rate where any changes of the rate changes will occur and will have some discrepancies. Therefore, we should follow the online system rate that the Pharmacy uses because that is the most accurate rate that we should follow and once our Medicaid system Phase II is completed, we will be able to follow the online system where the Estimated Acquisition Cost (EAC) will be accurate.
- b) Please see attachment 2 to CNMI Medicaid Provider Agreement Supplemental Payment Agreement we have signed and agreed with the provider.
- c) We disagree with the finding. We have a listing of the Medicare rate that the staff use when verifying the claims. If rates could not be verified in the established Medicare rates that we maintained, we will only pay 50% of charges.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-47, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
CFDA Program:	93.778 Medical Assistance Program
Federal Award Nos.:	05-0805CQ5028, 05-0805CQ5048 and 05-0805CQ1935
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$2,043

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action, Continued:

d) We disagree with this finding. We met with CHC Billing Personnel, the auditor and myself and the CHC Billing Personnel explained what happened. Therefore, they have corrected the billing and so the amount we paid is the corrected amount. Please see attached corrected billing.

Proposed Completion Date: N/A

<u>Auditor Response</u>: Evidence of review of billings accuracy and completeness was not evident in the claims tested and noted in the finding. The Medicaid Revised Operational Plan requires that Medicaid reimbursements are claimed based on established CHC rates or that should not exceed combined payments the provider receives from beneficiaries and carriers or intermediaries for providing services under comparable circumstances under Medicare. Complete rate verifications should be performed on claims to ensure that rates paid are in accordance with CHC or Medicare rates.

The Medicaid Office was able to provide a list of pharmacy rates used to calculate EAC only upon requesting a copy from the service provider. Further, our EAC and Medicare rate calculations for pharmacy claims and optometrist services, respectively, based on the list of rates provided were lower than actual rates paid.

The corrected billings were determined and provided to us only after the discrepancies were noted between the original billings and the amount paid during the audit. The amount paid by Medicaid was based on the original CHC billings from which we determined the discrepancies and was already recorded as program expenditure and included in the amount requested for reimbursement as of September 30, 2009.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency: CFDA Program: Federal Award Nos.:	2009-48 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 93.778 Medical Assistance Program 05-0805CQ5028, 05-0805CQ5048 and 05-0805CQ1935
Federal Award Nos.:	
Area:	Eligibility
Questioned Costs:	\$9,433

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Part 2 of the Medicaid Revised Operational Plan, applicants must be eligible based on the criteria set forth in the Revised Operational Plan prior to receiving Medicaid assistance.

<u>Condition</u>: Tests of sixty-two eligibility files noted the following:

- a. Computation of income and resource determination for one recipient (Case File No. 11-10580) indicated no evidence of review by the Program Director. Additionally, the eligibility card of one recipient (Case File No. 15-23303) was not approved by the Program Director. Our tests indicated the recipients were eligible in accordance with Part 2 of the Medicaid Revised Operational Plan. Thus, no questioned costs result from this condition.
- b. Eligibility folders for the following two recipients with amounts paid to service providers were not available:

Case No.	Service/Visit Date	<u>Cost</u>
16-01633 15-19791	03/02/06 02/12/06	\$ 11,484 <u>7,381</u>
		\$ <u>18,865</u>
	Federal Share (50%)	\$ <u>9,433</u>

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adequate procedures to ensure that only eligible recipients receive Medicaid assistance.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with eligibility requirements pursuant to the Medicaid Operational Plan and the possible use of federal funds for ineligible individuals and questioned costs of \$9,433.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI Medicaid Office establish strict procedures to ensure that only eligible individuals receive Medicaid assistance and benefits.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate procedures to ensure that only eligible recipients receive Medicaid assistance was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-48, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
CFDA Program:	93.778 Medical Assistance Program
Federal Award Nos.:	05-0805CQ5028, 05-0805CQ5048 and 05-0805CQ1935
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Eligibility
Questioned Costs:	\$9,433

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Helen C. Sablan, Medicaid Administrator

Corrective Action: We disagree with the auditor's findings.

- a) Attached are copies of the computation sheets and eligibility card for Case File No. 11-10580 and Case File No. 15-23303. The Acting Secretary of Health, Mr. Pete Untalan signed the eligibility card since I was not available. However, I have reviewed and initial the eligibility card and computations sheets that Mr. Untalan signed.
- b) We did inform the auditor that the files were available for her review.

Proposed Completion Date: N/A

<u>Auditors' Response</u>: Evidence of review for the aforementioned case files was not evident. In addition, a document to support the delegation of authority was not provided.

Eligibility files for two recipients listed in condition b were not provided.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-49
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
CFDA Program:	93.778 Medical Assistance Program
Federal Award Nos.:	05-0805CQ5028, 05-0805CQ5048 and 05-0805CQ1935
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Period of Availability
Questioned Costs:	\$82,841

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to grant terms and conditions, program funds are available for obligation over the grant period and any unused funds may be used in the subsequent period.

<u>Condition</u>: At December 31, 2009, the fiscal year 2009 grant had remaining unused funds of \$132,998 but no subsequent expenditures have been incurred or charged. Our tests noted overdrawn amounts as follows:

Business Unit	Receipts	Expenditures	Excess
H9778A H9778B	\$ 172,799 \$ 4,762,792	\$ 170,039 \$4,682,711	\$ 2,760 <u>80,081</u>
			\$ <u>82,841</u>

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of control procedures to ensure that grant funds are monitored and used in a timely manner.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the failure to maximize available grant funds and questioned cost of \$82,841.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that CNMI Medicaid implement adequate control procedures to ensure that available funds are monitored and maximized for program operations.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Helen C. Sablan, Medicaid Administrator

Corrective Action: We disagree with the auditor's finding. We have adequate internal control procedures to ensure that available funds are constantly monitored and maximized for program operations. We even revised our FY09 4th quarter CMS-64 report to include additional MAP expenditures. Attached please find the revised FY09 4th quarter CMS-64 report and an email message from Grantor Agency - Ms. Linda Gee, CMS/San Francisco that she informed us that we have over claimed by \$1 for MAP expenditures and \$273 for ADM expenditures that was over the ceiling.

Proposed Completion Date: N/A

<u>Auditors' Response</u>: The receipts were obtained from the drawdown report provided by the CNMI Finance Office. Likewise, expenditures above were based on the expenditures recorded in the general ledger which was provided by the CNMI Finance Office. The CNMI Finance Office and the Medicaid Office should coordinate on a regular and timely basis to ensure that funds are constantly monitored.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-50
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
CFDA Program:	93.778 Medical Assistance Program
Federal Award Nos.:	05-0805CQ5028, 05-0805CQ5048 and 05-0805CQ1935
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Reporting
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Section 4.4 of the CNMI's Revised Medicaid Operational Plan requires the Medicaid Agency to submit required reports on an accrual basis, based on financial records maintained by the centralized accounting office (Department of Finance).

<u>Condition</u>: Based on total expenditures reported to the grantor for the period ended September 30, 2009 using the CMS 64 Certification, total federal expenditures reported amounted to \$6,587,836. The actual expenditures per the general ledger amounted to \$6,184,138 resulting in a variance of \$403,698.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is adjustments made subsequent to the submission of the CMS 64.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with Section 4.4 of the Medicaid Operational Plan. The funds drawn down, however, correspond with expenditures recorded in the general ledger. Therefore, no questioned costs result from this condition.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that steps be taken to ensure that information reported to the grantor is based on actual financial records.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Noncompliance with Section 4.4 of the Medicaid Operational Plan was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2002 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Helen C. Sablan, Medicaid Administrator

Corrective Action: We disagree with the finding. Every end of each quarter, we request the detail expenditures report from Department of Finance and therefore, the information reported to the Grantor is based on the CNMI's financial records. The auditor should look at that report instead when they are going to conduct the audit since it's based on an accrual basis. We have revised our FY09 4th quarter CMS-64 report to include additional MAP expenditures. Attached please find the revised FY09 4th quarter CMS-64 report and an email message from Grantor Agency - Ms. Linda Gee, CMS/San Francisco that she informed us that we have overclaimed by \$1 for MAP expenditures and \$273 for ADM expenditures that was over the ceiling.

Proposed Completion Date: N/A

<u>Auditors' Response</u>: Expenditures recorded in the general ledger provided by the CNMI Finance Office did not agree with expenditures reported in the CMS-64 submitted by the Medicaid Office to the federal agency. The CNMI Finance Office and the Medicaid Office should coordinate on a regular and timely basis to ensure the accuracy of expenditures recorded in the general ledger and reported to the federal agency.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-51
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
CFDA Program:	93.778 Medical Assistance Program
Federal Award Nos.:	05-0805CQ5028, 05-0805CQ5048 and 05-0805CQ1935
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: The Medicaid Program must establish and maintain a program for conducting periodic risk analyses to ensure that appropriate, cost effective safeguards are incorporated into new and existing systems.

<u>Condition</u>: The Medicaid Office performs weekly and quarterly backups of the ADP system; however, regular backups were not performed throughout the fiscal year. The backups were not stored in an off-site location. Further, back up files are stored on flash drives, which have limited capacity. Thus, some back up files were deleted when flash drive memory was no longer sufficient, resulting in incomplete back up files for the fiscal year. Additionally, periodic risk analyses are not performed.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of required policies and procedures.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is the potential loss of data.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that policies and procedures be established for system security, which would address and reduce risks involved with the ADP system. We further recommend that periodic risk analyses be performed.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Lack of required policies and procedures regarding ADP was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2003 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Helen C. Sablan, Medicaid Administrator

Corrective Action: We disagree with the auditor's findings. Attached are the policies and procedures regarding ADP System and the log sheet of the quarterly backups that proofs that we have stored backups in an off-site location. We do daily, weekly and quarterly backups of the ADP system. We have agreed that only the quarterly backups of the ADP system will be stored in an off-site location. Also, Phase 1 of the Medicaid System was installed on Thursday, June 17, 2010 for our Eligibility Section and therefore, the server (backups) will be stored in an off-site location the first week of July 2010.

Proposed Completion Date: N/A

<u>Auditors' Response</u>: Documentation evidencing that periodic risk analyses are performed on the EDP system is not evident. Further, the weekly and quarterly backups performed in a flash drive were not completely made through the fiscal year and were not stored in an off-site location. The Medicaid Office should consider other backup facilities to ensure that complete backups of program records are stored and maintained for at least three years.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:2009-52CFDA No.:All Major ProgramsArea:Allowable Costs/Cost PrinciplesQuestioned Costs:-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with applicable allowable costs/cost principles requirements, employee certifications are required from employees working for one federal program.

<u>Condition</u>: Our tests of employee activities noted that required certifications are not being obtained for employees working for one federal program. Although employees are signing off on timecards or timesheets evidencing hours worked on a Federal program, the sign off does not indicate if the employee actually performed activities solely for the one Federal program.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of awareness regarding required employee certifications.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with applicable allowable costs/cost principle requirements. No questioned cost results from the finding due to our inability to assess the time spent by employees, if any, on nonfederal related activities.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI require certifications from employees on a semiannual basis evidencing that employees' are certifying time charged for federal related activities only.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Bernadita C. Palacios, Acting Director, Finance & Accounting

Corrective Action: We will inform our departments and agencies that employee certifications are required for those employees paid by federal grants.

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:2009-53CFDA No.:All Major ProgramsArea:Allowable Costs/Cost PrinciplesQuestioned Costs:\$1,336,000

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with OMB Circular A-87, costs, to be allowable under federal awards, should be consistent with policies, regulations, and procedures that apply uniformly to both federal awards and other activities of the governmental unit.

<u>Condition</u>: On March 11, 2009, Public Law 16-32 was enacted which provided for employer retirement contributions of 11% for all government employees, including autonomous agencies and public corporations.

The CNMI accrued employer contributions at the actuarial rate of 36.7727% for employees under the defined benefit plan. However, salaries and wages funded from the general fund and locally generated revenue sources were remitted to the Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund at 11% pursuant to Public Law 16-32. The employer retirement contributions attributable to employees whose salaries and wages are federally funded were charged to the federal awards at 36.7727% except for CFDA 10.551 (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program) which was charged at 11%. During fiscal year 2009, the total employer retirement contributions charged federal awards amounted to \$1,970,246. The estimated difference in employer retirement contributions should 11% be charged to federal awards is \$1,336,000. Federal funding was drawn and was paid to the Retirement Fund at the higher rate.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the enactment of Public Law 16-32 and inadequate cash flow to meet current obligations.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with applicable allowable costs/cost principles requirements and questioned costs of \$1,336,000.

Recommendation: We recommend compliance with the criteria.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Charging federal awards amounts in excess of remittances and inadequate cash flows to meet current obligations was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Robert A. Schrack, Secretary of Finance

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:2009-53, ContinuedCFDA No.:All Major ProgramsArea:Allowable Costs/Cost PrinciplesQuestioned Costs:\$1,336,000

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action: We disagree that that the difference between the actuarial rate and the budgetary rate should be questioned costs. The issue is a prospective one as new grant agreements are negotiated. P.L. 16-32 and previous laws that budgeted less than the actuarial rate for locally funded employees have always specified that the difference remains a liability of the CNMI government. The retirement fund has not allowed employees to retire and receive an annuity unless their employer retirement contributions have been paid in full. For employees funded under federal grants, we have expensed, drawn down and paid the retirement fund the employer contributions based on the actuarial rate. We have discussed this issue with our grantor agencies and NAP has been the only agency that has included less than the actuarial rate in their new grant agreements. This means that under the retirement fund's current rules, NAP employees will not be allowed to retire and receive an annuity without the deficient employer contributions being paid. This would transfer the obligation from the Federal agency to local funding. We feel the issue of non uniformity in this case is NAP or other Federal agencies if they choose to go the same route.

Proposed Completion Date: None

<u>Auditor Response</u>: Federal regulations require that costs charged to federal awards should be consistent with policies, regulations and procedures that apply uniformly to both federal awards and other activities of the governmental unit. The difference in retirement contributions paid from federal grants and local funds represents inequality and thus, noncompliance with federal requirements exists.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:2009-54CFDA No.:All Major ProgramsArea:Procurement and Suspension and DebarmentQuestioned Costs:\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Federal regulations state that all procurement transactions will be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition. Grantees and subgrantees will use their own procurement procedures, which reflect applicable state and local laws and regulations provided that the procurements conform to applicable federal law. Small purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies or other property that do not cost more than the simplified acquisition threshold fixed at 41 U.S.C. 403(11) (currently set at \$100,000). If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations shall be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources.

<u>Condition</u>: The CNMI Procurement Regulations Section 3-105 states the following:

- Any procurement not exceeding the amount established herein may be made in accordance with small purchase procedures. However, procurement requirements shall not be artificially divided so as to constitute a small purchase.
- Bidding is not required for procurements under \$2,500.
- Bidding is not required but is encouraged for procurement over \$2,500 and under \$10,000. The individual with the expenditure authority must obtain price quotations from at least three vendors and should base the selection on competitive price and quality for procurement valued at \$2,500 to \$10,000. Any price quotations must be written, documented and submitted to the Procurement and Supply Director for approval.
- Purchase orders may be utilized for small purchases subparagraphs (2) and (3).

It appears that the absence of competitive procurement for items less than \$2,500 does not conform to the federal requirement. Additionally, it does not appear that funding agencies approved such a threshold. As a result, the above requirement does not appear to conform to federal requirements.

Further, the CNMI procurement regulations do not include procurement procedures relating to airfare. Currently, the CNMI's travel policies are based on directives and memorandums, which do not require competitive procurement when acquiring transportation services.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of awareness and incorporation of federal regulations within the CNMI's Procurement Regulations.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is lack of full and open competition on federal funded purchases. Expenditures tested that are less than \$2,500 and lack competitive procurement are questioned at Finding Nos. 2009-26 and 2009-45.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI review its current procurement regulations to ensure conformity with applicable federal laws.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:2009-54, ContinuedCFDA No.:All Major ProgramsArea:Procurement and Suspension and DebarmentQuestioned Costs:\$-0-

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of awareness and incorporation of federal regulations within the CNMI's Procurement Regulations was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 2004 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Herman Sablan, Director of Procurement & Supply

Corrective Action: We do not agree with the auditor's finding that CNMI provide for competitive procurements in procurements under \$2,500 in order to comply with Federal regulations. The Common Rule Section .36 "Procurement", Subsection (a) "States" says "When procuring property and services under a grant, States will follow the same policies and procedure it uses for procurement from its non-Federal funds." The Common Rule Section .3 "Definitions" defines "State" as "any of the several states of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, any territory or possession of the United States....." Clearly the CNMI is a "State" under the Common Rule and should follow its standard procurement policies for procurements under Federal grants.

Even in Federal procurement it is practice to avoid obtaining quotes on procurements under \$2,500 (2,000 where the Davis-Bacon Act applies). Such procurements are defined as "Micro-Purchases in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) Subpart 2.1 "Definitions". A micro-purchase is one that does not exceed these dollar limitations. The purchase guidelines for such purchases at FAR Subpart 13.2 state at Subpart 13.202(a)(2) that "Micro-purchases may be awarded without soliciting competitive quotations if the contracting officer appointed in accordance with 1.603-3(b) considers the price to be reasonable", or (a)(3) "The administrative cost of verifying the reasonableness of the price for purchases may more than offset potential savings from detecting instances of overpricing. Therefore action to verify price reasonableness need only be taken if-

- (i) The Contracting Officer or individual appointed in accordance with 1.603-3(b) suspects that the price may not be reasonable (e.g. comparison with the previous price paid or personal knowledge of the supply or service); or
- (ii) Purchasing a supply or service for which no comparable pricing information is readily available.

The CNMI procurement regulations conform to the FAR in regard to the treatment of procurements of \$2,500 or less.

It is also worth noting that the National Association of State Purchasing Officials (NASPO) in its 4th Edition of "State and Local Government Purchasing Handbook" reported that "The current survey indicates that in 42 of the states, only small purchases over a specified amount require obtaining competitive quotation." See the letter from DEQ's legal counsel in this regard also.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:2009-54, ContinuedCFDA No.:All Major ProgramsArea:Procurement and Suspension and DebarmentQuestioned Costs:\$-0-

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action, Continued:

We disagree with the auditors that separate travel procurement procedures should be included in the CNMI Procurement Regulations or that the CNMI follow Federal travel regulations. We are unaware of any set of procurement regulations which include provisions expressly dealing with expenditures for airline tickets or lodging. For example, the Federal Acquisition Regulations and Model Procurement Code (used in 23 states) do not. The purchase of airline tickets is a procurement which follows the general rules for methods/types of procurement and is therefore not specifically treated in the CNMI Procurement Regulations. Travel policy and per diem rates are typically addressed under separate policies, rules and regulations such as the Federal Travel Regulations (administered by the General Services Administration) or a State Travel Manual. As noted by the auditors, CNMI does have travel policies in place. It should also be noted that in regard to travel funded by Federal grants, most programs require approval of travel the Federal agency.

The auditors cite the travel policies in place for not requiring competitive procurement. CNMI travel policies are similar to other travel policies we are aware of. CNMI travel policies provide for limits on per diem rates that use Federal per diem rates for the most part and place limits on other types of travel expense (e.g. car rental, ground transportation, etc.). Airfare is limited to economy class tickets and travelers are required to select the lowest airfare that meets their travel requirements. Competition between airlines for ticket prices is very limited for the CNMI as only two U.S. based airlines (Continental and Northwest) provide air service. The CNMI government has negotiated a 15% discount with Northwest Airlines for government travel. U.S. cabotage laws prohibit non U.S. airlines from carrying passengers between two U.S. points. A large percentage of travel procurements are under \$2,500 and would fall under the small purchase requirements as discussed in the previous finding.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

<u>Auditor Response</u>: Grantees and subgrantees may use their own procurement procedures, which reflect applicable state and local laws and regulations, provided that the procurements conform to applicable Federal laws and regulations. We have not been able to locate a State that utilizes a \$2,500 threshold for determining when competition should be present in a procurement and believe that the CNMI should change its procurement rules to conform to the federal requirement.

Federal regulations require that procurement transactions be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition. This does not exclude transactions relating to airfares. Since multiple airlines service the CNMI and since multiple travel agents do business within the CNMI, it is incumbent on the CNMI to ensure that travel is undertaken at the lowest reasonable fare. Even though 2 airlines service the CNMI, multiple airlines provide service after reaching Hawaii or other destinations. Procurement procedures relating to travel expenses should be addressed by the CNMI.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-55
CFDA No.:	Nonmajor Program - 93.767 State Children's Health Insurance Program
Area:	Period of Availability
Questioned Costs:	\$560,880

<u>Criteria</u>: The State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) was established to provide funds to States to enable them to maintain and expand child health assistance to uninsured, low-income children, and, at a state option, low-income pregnant women and legal immigrants, primarily by three methods, one of which is to expand eligibility for children under the State's Medicaid Program.

The Medicaid Program's Operational Plan states that SCHIP funds are utilized to expand Medicaid coverage. As an extension to the Program, SCHIP funds can only be used when Medicaid funds have been exhausted.

<u>Condition</u>: Our examination of the schedule of expenditures of federal awards indicated SCHIP expenditures of \$1,400,009. However, our test of the Medicaid Program indicated that \$560,880 in program funds remain unspent as of September 30, 2009.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is lack of control procedures to ensure that grant funds are monitored.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with the Operational Plan and questioned costs of \$560,880 corresponding to the amount of unspent funds under the Medicaid Program.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that CNMI Medicaid implement adequate internal control procedures to ensure that available funds are monitored.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Helen C. Sablan, Medicaid Administrator

Corrective Action: We disagree with the auditor's finding. We have spent almost all the State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) funds. We only have a balance of \$121. Attached is a fund status report from Department of Finance for Federal Account No. H9767C that still indicates a balance of \$121 for the SCHIP funds.

Proposed Completion Date: N/A

<u>Auditors' Response</u>: As mentioned in the above criteria, SCHIP funds can only be used when Medicaid funds have been exhausted.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-56
CFDA No.:	Nonmajor Program - 15.615 Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation
	Fund
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$152,439

<u>Criteria</u>: Recorded expenditures shall be subjected to CNMI Procurement Regulations and be properly supported with complete procurement and contract files.

<u>Condition</u>: Of forty-three nonmajor program nonpayroll expenditures tested aggregating \$2,629,604, the relevant procurement documents (i.e., bid invitations, bid summary, bids received, evidence of public notice) supporting the procurement for the following contract procured through competitive sealed bid was not provided:

<u>CFDA #</u>	Business <u>Unit No.</u>	Contract No.	Document <u>Ref. No.</u>	General Ledger <u>Amount</u>
15.615	I8615D	438401 OC	781292	\$ 152,439

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of proper and systematic filing of relevant documents supporting procurement.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is incomplete procurement files and questioned costs of \$152,439.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the CNMI's Procurement and Supply Division ensure that all relevant procurement documents are maintained and filed accordingly.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of proper and systematic filing of relevant procurement documents was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Herman Sablan, Director of Procurement & Supply

Corrective Action: Consolidated filing of contract documents has been a continuing problem due to the multiple locations contract information is filed. Procurement should have all pertinent documents in file. We are in the process of developing a checklist to be reviewed on contract completion to insure all related documents are included

Proposed Completion Date: 2010

Commonwealth Development Authority

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009 Conducted By: Deloitte.

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent:5/23/11Date(s) of response letter(s) received:

See pages 162 to 166 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditors Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

COMMONWEALTH DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Schedule Findings and Responses Year Ended September 30, 2009

DCD Loans Receivable

Finding No. 2009-1

<u>Criteria</u>: An effective system of internal control includes procedures to ensure that loan payments are received on a timely basis. Further, all receivables should be assessed and monitored for collectability. Balances deemed not collectible should be adequately allowed for. Additionally, calculation of allowance for loan losses and other related receivables should be regularly reviewed for accuracy, completeness and reasonableness. Further, for restructured loans, the allowance should be determined in the same manner as prior to the restructuring until a sufficient time has passed to demonstrate that the borrower can meet the revised debt service requirements.

<u>Condition</u>: Our tests of DCD's loans receivable noted the following:

- 1. An analysis of DCD's past due loans was performed to determine the propriety of the allowance for doubtful loans as of September 30, 2009. This analysis revealed that loans which are six months or more in arrears amounted to \$28,279,955 or 77.1% of the total loans receivable outstanding of \$36,681,854 as of September 30, 2009. The related accrued interest on loans that are six months or more in arrears amounted to \$17,102,152 or 99.6% of the total accrued interest receivable outstanding of \$17,166,710 as of September 30, 2009.
- 2. For two restructured loans (#s CD 70347 and CD 70332) classified as less than six months in arrears in the receivables aging report as of September 30, 2009, an analysis of the loan ledger subsequent to September 30, 2009 indicated that borrowers did not meet revised debt service requirements. An audit adjustment was proposed to record an additional allowance of \$1,444,387.
- 3. For two restructured loans (#s RE 70325 and CD 70349), allowances were calculated in the same manner for loans that are over 12 months past due. However, an analysis of the loan ledger prior and subsequent to restructuring indicated that loans should be classified as less than six months.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the increase in past due loans and lack of adequate review of the reasonableness of the calculation of the allowance for loan losses and other related receivables.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is an increased possibility of loan losses due to non-payment by borrowers and potential misstatement of loans receivable and related accounts.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that CDA continue to follow-up on past due loans, continue to evaluate these loans and develop corrective action plans. Future results may be compared against action plans and other actions taken by management as deemed necessary. Legal action should be considered for loans considered unlikely to be serviceable by the borrower. We further recommend that CDA review the aging of restructured loans and revisit the allowance calculation for these loans. In addition, we recommend that CDA perform periodic review of the calculation of the allowance for loan losses and other related receivables for accuracy, completeness and reasonableness.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Past due loans was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 1994 through 2008.

COMMONWEALTH DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Schedule Findings and Responses, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-1, Continued

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Stuart Smith, Comptroller

Corrective Action: Ensuring collection of loans is a complex problem exacerbated by difficult financial times in the CNMI. Inadequate levels of staffing in the Loan Department also reduce the ability to monitor and work with clients closely. If loans are monitored more closely perhaps there would be fewer delinquent loans and the valuation of the loans would have less negative impact.

CDA has continued to make improvements in fiscal year 2010 to make sure loans are valued in a manner consistent with the guidelines set forth by CDA in conjunction with the auditors. Restructured loans that have insufficient time (one year) to establish payment histories based on the restructured status are valued in the same manner as their status dictated prior to restructuring. Miscellaneous charges are fully allowed for.

In the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, CDA adopted a commercial-bank-like policy of accruing interest in the general ledger only up to 120 days for delinquent loans. While this method gives CDA a financial picture that is similar to accrual of all interest regardless of length of delinquency but with a correspondingly high allowance for bad debts, it is better in that it treats each loan on its own merits versus portfolio totals only.

Management has taken various actions to work with clients; restructuring with set-aside or write-off of accrued interest and foreclosures when no other viable solutions are available. Most of the restructured loans are maintaining a current payment status. The Loan Department has had the services of an additional staff member since May 2010. His efforts in collections and working with clients have yielded positive results. Management is also continuing to explore different ways to assist clients in making their loans more manageable. These steps will likely involve concessions on the part of CDA regarding accrued interest, but management feels it is critical to recover loan principal and give borrowers every chance possible to avoid bankruptcy, foreclosure and other expensive or painful options.

Proposed Completion Date: Improving the delinquency rate of the loan portfolio is an ongoing process that will never be "completed" but progress has been noted since the second half of fiscal year 2010.

COMMONWEALTH DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Schedule Findings and Responses, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

DCD Loans Receivable

Finding No. 2009-2

<u>Criteria</u>: Loan reclassifications should be timely recorded in the general ledger.

Condition: Tests of loans receivable noted the following:

- 1. A summary judgment was ordered prior to the current fiscal year for one loan (ref. CD 70340); however, a reclassification as a judgment loan was only recorded during fiscal year 2009. The related loan, interest and miscellaneous charges receivable amounted to \$841,875, \$154,532 and \$3,025, respectively, as of September 30, 2009;
- 2. A summary judgment was ordered prior to the current fiscal year for one loan (ref. CD 70346); however, a reclassification as a judgment loan was only recorded during fiscal year 2009. The related interest and miscellaneous charges receivable amounted to \$934 and \$3,520, respectively, as of September 30, 2009. In addition, CDA acquired related foreclosed property in July 2008 for \$32,541 but only recorded the property in fiscal year 2009; and
- 3. Write-offs of three loans receivable (ref. JV 2121) were recorded in the general ledger ten months subsequent to Board approval.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of monitoring procedures to ensure that loan reclassifications are recorded in the general ledger.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is incorrect classification of receivables and a potential misstatement of interest income.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that CDA ensure that adjustments resulting from loan reclassifications are timely recorded.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of monitoring procedures to ensure that all loan reclassification adjustments are recorded in the general ledger was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Stuart Smith, Comptroller

Corrective Action: The legal machinations involved with judgments against borrowers are not a black-and-white process. While a summary judgment may be obtained against a borrower as a last resort to recovering a loan, CDA has a high rate of experience with the summary judgment NOT being the final judgment. Often, the judgment includes a period where actions by either party may render the judgment void. This is one reason the Loan Department may not ask the Accounting Department to immediately reclassify a judgment loan. The other reason for delays in the past is simply due to understaffing in both the Loan and Accounting Departments for much of the past two years.

COMMONWEALTH DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Schedule Findings and Responses, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-2, Continued

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action, Continued:

In fiscal year 2010, CDA is doing a better job of reviewing all loans to judge appropriateness of the valuation and classification. There continues to be gray areas for loans subject to summary judgment but staffs are doing their best to weigh the circumstances when making reclassification decisions.

Proposed Completion Date: Beginning in late fiscal year 2009, the Loan and Accounting Departments have been more diligent in booking judgment loans in a timely manner. Beginning in fiscal year 2010, CDA will record loans as judgment loans as soon as there is a court order and if there are any subsequent modifications to the judgment, they will be recorded as they occur.

COMMONWEALTH DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Schedule Findings and Responses, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Local Noncompliance

Finding No. 2009-3

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to the "Trust Fund Use and Management Agreement" dated May 31, 1994, the remaining trust funds and accumulated earnings earned by CDA from bond issues shall be made available for appropriation by the Commonwealth Legislature for capital improvement projects (CIP) and economic development activities consistent with Part II, 3(a) of the Special Representative Agreement.

<u>Condition</u>: As of September 30, 2009, DBD's investments in time certificates of deposit of \$7,684,205 were restricted for CIP activities and capital development purposes. These funds represent the proceeds of Covenant funding and liquidated revenue bonds derived from pledged Covenant funding. Of the total funds, CDA is unable to identify amounts previously appropriated and amounts available for future CIP appropriation. CDA is in the process of reconciling DBD funds to determine availability.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established policies and procedures to monitor appropriated and available funds.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is the potential for misappropriation of DBD funds.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend CDA reconcile DBD funds and identify amounts appropriated and available. Further, we recommend CDA monitor actual CIP drawdowns against appropriations on a per project basis.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Stuart Smith, Comptroller

Corrective Action: This has been a problem since fiscal year 2001 when the responsibility for CIP tracking and bond fund reconciliations was transferred from the assistant to the Executive Director to the Accounting Department. The Accounting Department was without a Comptroller for eight years and did not have the adequate manpower, skills, guidance or supervision to maintain the task.

Proposed Completion Date: In fiscal year 2010, the task of catching up the tracking was assigned to various staff members in CDA in addition to their regular duties. Catching up eight years of activity and follow actions by the Legislature is not a process that can occur in a short period of time. The staff is making progress on the task and the Executive Director has asked that it be made a priority.

Commonwealth Government Employees' Credit Union

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Year Ended December 31, 2007 and 2008 Conducted By: Burger & Comer, P.C.

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent:11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11Date(s) of response letter(s) received:

See pages 168 to 174 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditors Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Finding No. 2008-l

Reconciliation of subsidiary ledgers to general ledger

Criteria – In a depository and lending institution, with hundreds or thousands of customers, subsidiary ledgers are maintained for borrowers and depositors. In an accounting system, it is imperative that the details that are posted to the subsidiary ledgers are reconciled with the totals that are posted to the general ledger, usually monthly.

Condition – There were differences between the balances of loans and deposits per the subsidiary ledgers with the balances in the general ledger. Reconciliations of the subsidiaries to the general ledger are performed, but are not reviewed by management.

Cause - Lack of clearly defined procedures and responsibilities.

Effect – The general ledger had to be adjusted in both 2007 and 2008 for unreconciled differences between the subsidiary ledger and the general ledger.

Recommendation – The subsidiary ledgers should be reconciled to the general ledger on a monthly basis. These reconciliations should be reviewed by the General Manager. If the General Manager is not available (for example, off-island), the reconciliations should be reviewed by a Board member.

Auditee Response/Corrective Action Plan – The differences in the Credit Union subsidiary Ledgers and general ledger balances were due to a minor glitch in the new system in processing the monthly reports. As a corrective plan, Credit Union will implement a control procedure to ensure that reconciliation of the general and subsidiary ledger is done on a monthly basis. The reconciliation will be reviewed and approved by the General Manager or Acting General Manager.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Finding No. 2008-2

Review of bank reconciliations

Criteria – Bank accounts should be reconciled on a monthly basis. The reconciliation should be reviewed by a person other than by the preparer of the reconciliation.

Condition – Bank accounts are prepared on a monthly basis, but they are not reviewed.

Cause - Lack of clearly defined procedures and responsibilities.

Effect – We did not note any financial statement impacts for 2008 or 2007.

Recommendation – Bank accounts should be reconciled to the general ledger on a monthly basis. These reconciliations should be reviewed by the General Manager. If the General Manager is not available (for example, off-island), the reconciliations should be reviewed by a Board member.

Auditee Response/Corrective Action Plan – As a corrective plan, Credit Union will implement as a requirement in its accounting procedures the preparation of monthly bank reconciliations by the Accountant will be reviewed and approved by the General Manager or Acting General Manager.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Finding No. 2008-3

Processing Cash Receipts

Criteria – Individuals who collect receivables should not be able to post cash receipts to the receivables subsidiary ledger, review the receivables aging trial balance, or process customer service calls and complaints.

Individuals who post cash receipts to the receivables subsidiary ledger should not be able to review the receivables aging trial balance, maintain or authorize receivables adjustments, open mail or copy checks received, prepare deposits, deposit cash receipts or reconcile bank accounts.

Individuals who open mail or copy checks should not be able to prepare deposits, deposit cash receipts, reconcile bank accounts, maintain the cash receipts journal, or post journal entries to the general ledger.

Individual who deposit cash receipts should not be able to reconcile bank accounts, investigate discrepancies related to cash, maintain the cash receipts journal, or post journal entries to the general ledger.

Condition – We found that employees are able to perform incompatible duties as listed above.

Cause – Primarily due to the small number of employees which can sometimes be only two people on a given day.

Effect – The Credit Union is susceptible to misappropriation of cash.

Recommendation – To the greatest extent possible given the size of the Credit Union, incompatible duties should be assigned to different people. More detailed review by management or the Board will help to reduce exposure to risk.

Auditee Response/Corrective Action Plan – The new CGECU system has in place security features that restrict certain processing of transactions to the GM or the Office Manager only. In addition, a daily report of activities is printed and reviewed by the GM for any discrepancies or suspicious activities. Furthermore, the processing of adjustments, transfers and allotments to members accounts is restricted to only the GM and the Office Manager. The design of CGECU's system requires that a direct deposit transaction be posted before a receipt can be printed. (As a corrective plan, a CGECU staff who receives cash deposits will not be permitted to post the transaction to the ledger. It will be a requirement for another staff to post such deposit.)

Schedule of Findings and Responses Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Finding No. 2008-4

Review of Cashier Fund Reconciliations

Criteria – It is necessary to reconcile cashier funds at the end of each shift to promptly identify overages or shortages.

Condition – Although cashier funds are counted and reconciled, they are not reviewed by a supervisor or management.

Cause - Lack of clearly defined controls and responsibilities.

Effect – We did not note any financial statement impacts for 2008 or 2007.

Recommendation – At the end of each shift, cashier funds should be counted and reconciled. These reconciliations should be reviewed by a Supervisor.

Auditee Response/Corrective Action Plan – As a corrective plan, Credit Union will implement and enforce in its accounting procedure the need for daily counting and reconciliation of cashier funds. A teller form will be created which shall be reviewed and approved by the Supervisor.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Finding No. 2008-5

Adjustment of Cash Accounts

Criteria – Cash is highly susceptible to misappropriation without adequate oversight, such misappropriations can go undiscovered. Adjustments to general ledger cash balances must be reviewed and approved by an appropriate member of management.

Condition – Adjustments to cash accounts are prepared and entered into the general ledger, but the adjustments are not reviewed by management or another appropriate person.

Cause - Lack of clearly defined controls and responsibilities.

Effect – We did not note any financial statement impact for 2008 and 2007.

Recommendation – All adjustments to cash balances should be reviewed and approved by an appropriate member of management.

Auditee Response/Corrective Action Plan – The only adjustments thus far posted into the general ledger cash balances by the Credit Union's Accountant is the adjustment in entry of monthly bank charges for online cash management and bank monthly savings interest earned. As an internal control procedure the Credit Union's Accountant will have the General Manager or the Acting General Manager (in case the General Manager is off-island) review and approve all adjusting entries.

Schedule of Findings and Responses Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Finding No.2008-6

NMIRF Loan Conditions

Criteria – The Agreement among the Government of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands and the Commonwealth Government Employees' Credit Union and the Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund to provide Financing and Guarantee of Financing for the Commonwealth Government Employees states that the Credit Union shall charge no less than twelve percent (12%) interest per annum on all loans made to qualified loan applicants (Section 5.6), and that the Credit Union shall submit all proposed rules and regulations, policies, and amendments thereto to the Fund for its approval prior to publication (Section 5.17).

Condition – Our tests of the Credit Union's compliance with the Fund's loan conditions noted the following:

- The revised loan policy dated November 28, 2007 states that a fully secured loan can be made to a qualified loan applicant with an interest rate of 10%.
- The Fund's approval for changes to existing regulations, policies, and related amendments as not available.

Cause – The cause of the above condition is lack of procedures in place to monitor compliance with borrower obligations stipulated in the loan agreement.

Effect – The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with loan conditions.

Recommendation – We recommend that the Credit Union establish procedures to monitor compliance with loan conditions or obtain a waiver of those conditions that are no longer in effect or which are no longer enforced by the lender.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan- As a corrective plan, the General Manager will ask the board to recall the board resolution making the fully secured loan interest rate 10%, therefore bringing back the original rate of 12% as stipulated in the NMIRF Loan Agreement.

Since the creation of the Credit Union, there have been no amendments to the CGECU Regulations or Bylaws. Throughout the years, changes to internal policies were done and implemented through Board Resolutions. A review of CGECU's records dating back to the time of creation did not show that any of the internal policies such as Loan, Travel, Personnel, or Procurement Policies were ever reviewed and approved by the NMIRF. The CGECU will write to the NMIRF requesting if it is required for such internal policies to be reviewed and approved by them. If so, future changes will definitely be forwarded to the Fund for review and approval. If not, the CGECU will obtain a letter in writing.

Proposed Completion Date - Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Responses Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Finding No. 2008-7

Loan Policy

Criteria – Revised loan policy dated November 28, 2007 states that the Credit Union has established a generous debt to income ratio of 50% and at no time will credit be granted to members if the debt to income ratio exceeds 50%.

Condition – Loans were given to members without calculating the debt to income ratio when the loan amount is fully or partially secured.

Cause – The cause of the above condition is lack of procedures in place to monitor compliance with the loan policy.

Effect – The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with loan policy.

Recommendation – We recommend that compliance with loan policy be monitored.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan – The GM will ask the board to review and amend the Loan Policy to specify that any loans fully or partially secured will not be processed in the same manner as an unsecured loan, therefore, eliminating the need for credit checks and a financial statement indicating a debt to income ratio of no more than 50%.

Proposed Completion Date – Ongoing

Commonwealth Ports Authority

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009 Conducted By: Deloitte.

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent:10/14/10, 5/23/11Date(s) of response letter(s) received:

See pages 176 to 183 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditor's Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

B. FINDINGS RELATING TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, WHICH ARE REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

Internal Controls

Finding No. 2009-1

<u>Criteria</u>: Proper internal controls are established to mitigate risks associated with the processing and recording of information in the general ledger.

Condition: During the year ended September 30, 2009, the following were noted:

Cash

- The Airport general checking account (GL No. 1010-000) was not reconciled during the fiscal year. At September 30, 2009, the general ledger account balance was (\$16,095) and the bank balance was \$21,263.
- Reconciliations of the Airport (GL No. 1020-000) and Seaport (GL No. 1040-000) payroll accounts were not performed during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.
- Management reviews of bank reconciliations prepared are not evidenced.

Receivables from Grantor Agencies

The Airport and Seaport Divisions recorded receivables from grantor agencies of \$3,001,123 and \$58,103, respectively, as of September 30, 2009. Our tests determined that the account balances were not reconciled, monitored or maintained during the fiscal year. Management did not consider variances noted to be material enough to warrant adjustment.

Construction in Progress

Construction in progress accounts (CIP) for the Airport and Seaport Divisions were not properly maintained or reconciled during the year. As a result, numerous audit adjustments were proposed to reconcile the general ledger to the subledger. Although CIP schedules were provided, not all project totals agreed to the general ledger.

Contracts Payable

The Airport Division recorded preliminary contracts payable (GL #2021-000) amounting to \$3,377,311 as of September 30, 2009. The supporting detailed schedule amounted to \$2,594,503, a difference of \$782,808. Proposed audit adjustments resulting from our tests of grant expenses affected contract payable by \$658,364, reducing the variance to \$124,444. Management did not consider the remaining variance material enough to warrant adjustment.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-1, Continued

Condition, Continued:

Accrued Vacation

During the year ended September 30, 2009, accrued vacation payable for both the Airport and Seaport Divisions were not adjusted or reconciled. Upon our request, the accounts were subsequently reconciled and audit adjustments were proposed. The accrued annual leave schedule is maintained manually and requires an update each payperiod.

Payroll

• Of twenty-one payroll items tested, thirteen items had unreconciled variances between the payroll register and general ledger account as follows:

<u>PP #</u>	<u>PPE</u>	Location	Department	Per G/L (Date Posted)	G/L Account No.	Per <u>Register</u>	Per G/L (Amount)	Variance
14	03/28/09	Saipan	ARFF	04/07/09	6751-100	\$ 38,951	\$ 40,588	\$ (1,637)
3	10/25/08	Saipan	Administration	11/03/08	6851-101	\$ 7,655	\$ 7,128	527
8	01/03/09	Saipan	ARFF	01/14/09	6751-100	\$ 42,013	\$ 43,650	(1,637)
14	03/28/09	Saipan	ARFF	04/07/09	6751-100	\$ 38,951	\$ 40,588	(1,637)
14	03/28/09	Saipan	Custodial	04/07/09	6251-100	\$ 14,545	\$ 13,176	1,369
2	10/11/08	Saipan	ARFF	10/21/08	6751-100	\$ 38,734	\$ 40,371	(1,637)
15	04/11/09	Tinian	Ports Police	04/27/09	6951-200	\$ 5,511	\$ 6,671	(1,160)
19	06/06/09	Saipan	Administration	06/15/09	6851-101	\$ 11,734	\$ 9,811	1,923
12	02/28/09	Saipan	Operations	03/11/09	6152-103	\$ 15,379	\$ 15,589	(210)
18	05/23/09	Tinian	ÂRFF	06/02/09	6751-200	\$ 3,482	\$ 4,932	(1,450)
17	05/09/09	Tinian	Ports Police	05/20/09	6951-200	\$ 4,193	\$ 6,157	(1,964)
15	04/11/09	Saipan	Custodial	04/27/09	6251-100	\$ 14,495	\$ 13,126	1,369
5	11/22/08	Rota	Custodial	12/02/08	6251-300	\$ 2,052	\$ 3,583	<u>(1,531</u>)

\$ <u>(7,675</u>)

• CPA has been assessed a penalty of \$176,378 related to the non-filing of Form 941 Employer's Quarterly Federal Tax Return for fiscal year 2008 and the first and second quarters of fiscal year 2009. CPA received various notices from the Internal Revenue Service but did not act on these notices in a timely manner.

Journal Entries

Our tests of journal entries for the Airport and Seaport Divisions noted the following:

- Many journal entries relate to reclassifications and reversals of posting errors.
- Voided journal entries are not numerically accounted for, resulting in a break in numerical sequence.
- Journal entries are not posted in a timely manner.
- During fiscal year 2009, three individuals were authorized to post journal entries, each utilizing his/her own journal number sequence.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-1, Continued

Condition, Continued:

Grant Expenses

- Variances were noted between expenses reported on the preliminary schedule of expenditures of federal awards (SEFA) and supporting detailed schedules. Numerous audit adjustments were proposed to reconcile the supporting detailed schedules, general ledger and the SEFA.
- Expenses were incurred for one project (CPA-SA-001-08) that was not included in the approved project listing from the U.S. Department of the Interior.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adherence to established internal controls related to the processing and recording of information in the general ledger.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is the misstatement of account balances.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the following:

- All general ledger accounts be reconciled, reviewed and monitored on a timely and monthly basis.
- All accounts recorded in the general ledger are properly reconciled in a timely manner.
- Supporting journal vouchers, disbursement vouchers, invoices, and reconciliations be properly maintained.
- An analysis of the current system's capabilities to alleviate and/or minimize manual journal entries and the use of spreadsheets should be undertaken.
- Journal entry review should be evidenced.
- Journal entries should be utilized in numerical sequence.
- Policies and procedures be established to ensure all payroll related reporting requirements are addressed and penalties avoided.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Control weaknesses over cash, receivables from grantor agencies, construction in progress, contracts payable, accrued vacation, payroll, journal entries and grant expenses were reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CPA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Derek T. Sasamoto, Comptroller

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No. 2009-1, Continued

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action: CPA has been working towards reconciling general ledger accounts on a monthly basis in fiscal year 2010. In fact, CPA's financial consultant was tasked to assist CPA accounting staff with the proper methods and procedures for reconciling accounts. Progress is slowly coming forth. However, CPA is committed to continue to reach its goal of reconciling accounts on a monthly basis. CPA's financial consultant will continue to assist in this regard.

In terms of maintaining supporting documents, CPA has been addressing this issue in FY 2010 by examining its filing system and ensuring that it is maintained accurately (by proper fiscal year for example) and properly. During the FY 09 audit, efforts were made to correct and update files that were maintained erroneously. These actions prompted further review to determine whether more corrections and updating were needed. Efforts are being made to ensure that all document files are complete (e.g., all documents that require a signature and date, are signed and dated).

CPA is making efforts in FY 2010 to reduce the use of manual journal entries. Moreover, CPA will take steps to reduce the use of external spreadsheets. This will require training from an outside source as most CPA accounting staff are not familiar with every aspect/function of the current accounting system (MAS 90).

Currently, all journal entries must be stamped with evidence (signature/initials) as to who prepared, reviewed and approved the journal voucher. Further, the user who inputs the entry into MAS 90 must be indicated on the journal entry document.

To maintain the sequential issuance of journal vouchers CPA assigns the task to one individual. This individual maintains the numerical sequence used to avoid confusion and duplication, as might happen if multiple individuals had the responsibility. Moreover, only one individual has the responsibility to access and update journal entries in the system.

For payroll reporting requirements, CPA hired a professional firm to assist with the task of preparing required reports, ensuring accuracy, and proper submission. This firm is tasked to ensure that CPA is current in FY 2010. Moreover, CPA management has asked the firm for guidance and training of appropriate CPA staff in order to ensure that all reporting requirements are maintained and complied with in the future.

Proposed Completion Date: All the above-mentioned steps are to be implemented before this fiscal year is over (September 30, 2010).

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-2
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Transportation
CFDA Program:	20.106 Airport Improvement Program
Federal Award Nos.:	AIP Nos. 3-69-0002-57 and 3-69-0002-59
Federal Award Periods	
Ended:	06/12/10 and 09/01/13
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Construction projects funded by federal grants are required to include the Buy American clause within the contract.

<u>Condition</u>: Our tests of project specifications and notice to bidders for the Emergency Generator project did not note the inclusion of the Buy American clause.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of controls to ensure compliance with federal contract requirements.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is lack of compliance with grant requirements. No questioned costs result as the contractor adhered to requirements of the Buy American clause.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend management ensure all provisions required by federal grant awards are included in contracts and/or project specifications.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Derek T. Sasamoto, Comptroller

Corrective Action: CPA will ensure that all announcements/solicitations and contracts funded by federal funds contain the Buy American clause, as required.

Corrective action will be taken immediately, and completion will be achieved before the end of FY 2010. CPA will ensure this clause is applied consistently as required.

Proposed Completion Date: Before the end of FY 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-3
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Transportation
CFDA Program:	20.106 Airport Improvement Program
Federal Award Nos.:	All AIP Grants
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$- 0 -

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with 49 CFR, Section 18.31(4)(d)(1)(2)(3)(4), equipment procedures (including replacement equipment), whether acquired in whole or in part with grant funds, are required to meet the following criteria:

- (1) Property records must include a description of the property, a serial number or other identification number, the source of property, who holds title, the acquisition date, cost of the property, percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the property, the location, use and condition of the property, and any ultimate disposition data including the date of disposal and sale price of the property;
- (2) A physical inventory of the property must be taken and the results reconciled with the property records at least once every two years;
- (3) A control system must be developed to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage, or theft of the property. Any loss, damage, or theft shall be investigated;
- (4) Adequate maintenance procedures must be developed to keep the property in good condition; and
- (5) If the grantee or subgrantee is authorized or required to sell the property, proper sales procedures must be established to ensure the highest possible return.

<u>Condition</u>: CPA has not conducted a physical inventory of its fixed assets in the last three years. In addition, a log indicating the location of equipment is not being maintained. Total fixed asset additions related to CPA's major program amounted to \$11,051,139, \$9,826,975 and \$22,986,600 during fiscal years 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Of the total additions, \$10,734,776, \$9,778,502 and \$22,022,560 represent additions to construction in progress during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of controls to ensure compliance with equipment requirements.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with 49 CFR, Section 18.31(4)(d)(1)(2)(3)(4).

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that management ensure a physical inventory of equipment is conducted at least once every two years. We also recommend that documentation procedures be established to ensure fixed asset records are maintained.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of compliance with 49, CFR Section 18.31(4)(d)(1)(2)(3)(4) was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CPA for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-3, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Transportation
CFDA Program:	20.106 Airport Improvement Program
Federal Award Nos.:	All AIP Grants
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Derek T. Sasamoto, Comptroller

Corrective Action: In FY 2010, CPA made efforts to conduct physical inventories of its department property. A significant effort is needed as inventories have not been performed for some time. CPA's goal is to eventually account for all equipment and property. An inventory log has been created tracking purchases, existing equipment, location, funding source, disposition, condition, purchasing source (PO number for example), date acquired, etc. CPA will increase its effort and ensure that all property (purchases through federal funds and local funds) is accounted for. CPA will follow the recommendation and conduct a physical inventory at least once every two years.

CPA intends to complete the inventory by the end of FY 2010. CPA will then maintain inventory records in the future.

Proposed Completion Date: By the end of FY 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

<u>Criteria</u>: The expenses reported on the Outlay Report and Request for Reimbursement (SF-271) should be based on the general ledger.

<u>Condition</u>: Based on our examination of the general ledger, we noted that grant expenses for the year were not recorded on a regular and monthly basis.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is that spreadsheets are being used to keep track of expenses and for reporting purposes.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is that the SF-271 does not reflect the expenses recorded in the general ledger at the time of submission.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend management ensure all expenses are properly recorded in a monthly and timely basis.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Derek T. Sasamoto, Comptroller

Corrective Action: Currently, CPA is recording all general ledger entries as transactions occur. CPA is ensuring that submitted progress billings are entered into the general ledger as they are received. Moreover, all outlays and corresponding reimbursement requests are being recorded in the general ledger as they occur. CPA is reducing the use of manual journal vouchers for recording these transactions (Accounting is no longer processing journal vouchers for recording these transactions). Finally, general ledger entries are reconciled to actual expenditures claimed on the request for reimbursement.

CPA considers this corrective action to be completed. However, CPA will ensure that it is enforced and continues throughout this fiscal year and all future fiscal years.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

Report on Compliance & Internal Control over Compliance with Requirements Applicable to the Passenger Facility Charge Program Year Ended September 30, 2006 Conducted By: Deloitte.

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent	:	8/12/09, 9/3/09 (Deloitte re: CPA response), 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 10/14/10, 5/23/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) received	:	8/31/09

See pages 185 to 189 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditor's Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

Schedule of Findings Year Ended September 30, 2006

Passenger Facility Charge Expenditures/Reimbursements

Finding No. 2006-1

<u>Criteria</u>: Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) reimbursements should be applied to qualifying expenditures and approved projects. In addition, the Airport Improvement Program grant funded projects are not eligible for PFC reimbursements.

<u>Condition</u>: Of total PFC's collected of \$2,315,891 during the year ended September 30, 2006, disbursements/reimbursements of \$2,304,540 were tested and the following exceptions were noted:

- A PFC reimbursement of \$93,682 was applied to two projects, Radio Communication Upgrade and Flight Information Display, for which funding was subsequently reprogrammed to fund other projects. At September 30, 2006, cumulative PFC reimbursements on the two projects amounted to \$187,364.
- The Rota Runway/Taxiway/Apron project, totaling \$3,158,632 at September 30, 2006 was initially budgeted to be financed with the proceeds of the 1998 Senior Series A Bonds. The project subsequently received 100% funding from two grantors and the local government. The related interest cost and amount of PFC reimbursement applied during the year amounted to \$184,747 and \$129,575, respectively. The amount of PFC applied during the year exceeded the eligible amount by \$74,403. The total PFC approved for reimbursement totaled \$2,591,500.

Cause: The causes of the above conditions are as follows:

- Projects originally budgeted and planned have been cancelled and the related funding reprogrammed to other projects.
- The inclusion of a grantor funded project in the initial PFC application.
- Project costs are not closely monitored.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above conditions is the application of PFC reimbursements to cancelled projects and the misstatement of project expenditures. In addition, a PFC reimbursement was applied to a project in excess of the eligible amount.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend CPA review the approved PFC projects to ensure all PFC reimbursements are applied to valid qualifying project expenditures. We also recommend CPA review its PFC approved projects to ensure the exclusion of projects that are 100% funded by a grantor and amend its PFC application in the event such projects are included.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The application of PFC reimbursement to cancelled projects and the misstatement of expenditures was reported as a finding in the PFC audit for fiscal year 2005.

Schedule of Findings, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2006

Finding No. 2006-1, Continued

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Frances C. Mafnas, Acting Comptroller

Corrective Action: CPA agrees with the recommendation. Due to the financial condition of CPA, the Radio Communication and Flight Information Display has been deferred to future projects.

Schedule of Findings, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2006

Reporting

Finding No. 2006-2

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Section 158.65 of 14 CFR Part 158, each carrier collecting Passenger Facility Charges (PFC's) for a public agency shall file quarterly reports to the public agency providing an accounting of funds collected and funds remitted. The report shall be filed on or before the last day of the calendar month following the calendar quarter or other period agreed by the collecting carrier and public agency for which funds were collected.

<u>Condition</u>: During the year ended September 30, 2006, the following number of carriers either submitted an incomplete quarterly report or did not submit a report:

<u>Airport</u>	No. of Carriers	Incomplete	No Report
Saipan Rota Tinian	23 6 	11 1	9 5 2
	_31	12	_16

Cause: The cause of the above condition is the lack of follow-up by CPA.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with Section 158.65 of 14 CFR Part 158.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend CPA follow-up with air carriers to ensure quarterly reports are received in accordance with Section 158.65 of 14 CFR Part 158.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Noncompliance with Section 158.65 of 14 CFR Part 158 was reported as a finding in the PFC audit for fiscal year 2005.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Frances C. Mafnas, Acting Comptroller

Corrective Action: CPA agrees with the recommendation. CPA will ensure that all air carriers submit a quarterly report as per section 158.65 of 14 CFR Part 158. CPA will also include this section in CPA's quarterly (PFC) report to the airlines.

Schedule of Findings, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2006

Reporting

Finding No. 2006-3

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Section 158.51 of 14 CFR Part 158, PFC collected by the carrier shall be remitted to the public agency no later than the last day of the calendar month following the month in which the PFC was collected.

<u>Condition</u>: During the year ended September 30, 2006, twenty-one air carriers remitted collections to CPA one or more months following the month in which the PFC collections were collected. In addition, PFC remitted by ten carriers did not include a description/information as to what period the collections relate to. A summary is as follows:

<u>Airport</u>	No. of Carriers	Time Lag in Collections	Information Not Available
Saipan Rota Tinian	23 6 _2	19 1 1	4 5 <u>1</u>
			_10

Cause: The cause of the above condition is the lack of follow-up by CPA.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with Section 158.51 of 14 CFR Part 158.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend CPA establish follow-up procedures with air carriers to ensure PFC collections are remitted on a timely basis and ensure compliance with Section 158.51 of 14 CFR Part 158.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Frances C. Mafnas, Acting Comptroller

Corrective Action: CPA agrees with the recommendation. CPA will ensure that all air carrier submit a full description on their payment to include collection period as per section 158.51 of 14 CFR Part 158.

Schedule of Findings, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2006

Reporting

Finding No. 2006-4

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Section 158.63 of 14 CFR Part 158, the public agency shall provide quarterly reports to carriers collecting PFC's for the public agency with a copy to the appropriate FAA Airports office. The quarterly report shall include PFC's received from collecting carriers, interest earned, and expenditures for the quarter; cumulative PFC's received, interest earned, expenditures, and the amount committed for use on currently approved projects, including the quarter; the PFC level for each project; and the current project schedule.

<u>Condition</u>: The expenditures reported on the quarterly reports submitted by CPA represent the allocation of PFC's received and applied to approved projects.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is a lack of understanding of PFC reporting requirements.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with the requirements of Section 158.63 of 14 CFR Part 158.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend CPA ensure that the reporting requirements of Section 158.63 of 14 CFR Part 158 are adhered to.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Noncompliance with Section 158.63 of 14 CFR Part 158 was reported as a finding in the PFC audit for fiscal year 2005.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Frances C. Mafnas, Acting Comptroller

Corrective Action: CPA agrees with the recommendation. CPA will revise the expenditure report to comply with PFC Section 158.63 of 14 CFR Part 158.

Commonwealth Utilities Corporation

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010 Conducted By: J. Scott Magliari & Company

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent : 5/23/11

Date(s) of response letter(s) received

See pages 191 to 218 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditors Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

:

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Cash and Cash Equivalents - Uninsured and Uncollateralized Bank Balances

Finding No. 2010-1

Criteria:

Pursuant to Title 4 Division 8 of the Commonwealth Code §8155, all funds received by CUC must be deposited into insured or fully collateralized accounts.

Condition:

Bank deposits in the amount of \$10,212,255 and \$9,538,178 were in excess of FDIC insurable limits insured as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. CUC also has deposits of \$3,847 in 2010 and \$18,293 in 2009 maintained in an uninsured financial institution.

Cause:

CUC maintains an account with this non-insured financial institution since this financial institution also acts as one of the collecting agents of CUC. Furthermore, CUC does not have an existing collateralization policy for its deposits in excess of insured limits.

Effect:

CUC is in violation of the Title 4 Division 8 of the Commonwealth Code §8155. Furthermore, the amount of cash in this institution has high custodial risk.

Recommendation:

CUC should obtain collateralization from the financial institution to comply with the requirements of 4 CMC §8155.

Auditee response:

Beginning February 14, 2011, CUC's account with Bank of Guam are insured or collateralized by the bank as appropriate.

Prior Year Status:

The same condition was noted as Finding Nos. 2009-01, 2008-3 and 2007-5 in prior year audits.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Bank Reconciliation

Finding No. 2010-2

Criteria:

Generally accepted accounting principles requires that the general ledger balances of cash accounts be reconciled with the bank balances.

Conditions:

- a. The general fund bank account has unaccounted difference of \$1,877.
- b. The bank reconciliations do not have signatures of reviewing and approving officer.
- c. Bank reconciliation was not prepared for the Bank of Guam Visa Checking Account.

Cause:

- a. The bank reconciliations were not prepared timely, thus the identification of certain reconciling items becomes difficult.
- b. There are no formal procedures in place for the review and approval of the bank reconciliations.
- c. The Bank of Guam Visa Checking Account was opened only in fiscal 2010 and there were minimal transactions during the year.

Effect:

Errors and misstatement could occur and not be detected time if monthly bank reconciliations are not prepared.

Auditee response:

Additional accountant is hired to focus on reconciliation of bank accounts, detect errors and apply appropriate corrections timely. The FY 2010 bank reconciliation were amended to include signatures of reviewing and approving officers.

Recommendation:

CUC should reconcile bank accounts timely. CUC should also require responsible official to review and approve the bank reconciliation and prepared by the accountant.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Customer Deposits - Liability

Finding No. 2010-3

Criteria:

Pursuant to Public Law 16-02, deposits shall be placed in an interest-earning trust fund to be established by the Executive Director of the Corporation. Such funds shall not be used for any other purpose; except that CUC may use up to fifty percent (50%) of the security deposit funds to pay for its fuel expenses during fiscal year 2010 with the condition that CUC return the said funds within a three-year period. Residential security deposit refunds shall be paid within 30 days after disconnection of such utilities and with earned interest.

Condition:

The following were noted during the audit of customer deposits:

- a. Although annual interest owed on deposits received from customers are calculated annually, CUC did not recognize the liability for such interest in its financial statements.
- b. Of the customer deposits received, \$7,500,000 placed in various time certificates of deposit are held as security pursuant to a letter of credit obtained from a financial institution to secure a \$7,500,000 credit limit for fuel purchases.
- c. A portion of the customer deposits received was co-mingled in CUC's general fund and may have already been expended for purposes not allowed by law.

-	2010	2009
Restricted cash Total customer deposits (including	\$ 7,709,149	\$ 8,148,586
accumulated accrued interest)	(10,511,904)	(10,634,511)
Restricted net asset deficiency	<u>\$(2,802,755</u>)	<u>\$(2,485,925</u>)

d. Upon disconnection of customer accounts, customer deposits are refunded or applied to outstanding balance without regard to accrued interest earned.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Customer Deposits - Liability, Continued

Finding No. 2010-3, Continued

Cause:

CUC has not established and implemented procedures to allocate interest earned to individual customer deposits. Additionally, the above condition may be due to confusion caused by several amendments made to the statutes affecting CUC regulations.

Effect:

CUC is in violation of its enabling legislation and Public Laws regarding its customer deposits.

Recommendation:

CUC should comply with statutes pertaining to customer deposits.

CUC should ensure that all customer deposits are placed in a restricted interest earning fund and that the related interest earned on the fund be rolled-over to the fund instead of the current practice of transferring interest to the general fund.

Auditee response:

CUC concurs with this finding, however, CUC have not established a corrective action plan to restore the restricted cash balance because of the financial condition of CUC.

Prior Year Status:

The same condition was noted as Finding Nos. 2009-03, 2008-5, 2007-7 and 2006-15 in prior year audits.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Revenue/Receipts

Finding No. 2010-4

Criteria:

All recorded revenues and receivables should reflect collectible balances.

Condition:

There were twenty (20) long outstanding disputed accounts totaling \$2,540,906 that were not disconnected. Management asserts that these delinquent customers represent landowners which CUC placed water wells, utility poles, pumps, etc., on their property. In-lieu of CUC making rental payments for use of the property, customers are not paying for utility usage; however, no formal contractual agreement exists between these customers and CUC. Correspondingly, no cost analysis study has been performed to determine the fair value of the property rental versus the property owner's utility consumption.

Cause:

CUC has not implemented its formal policy for its use of private property inlieu of payment to CUC for utility usage. A formal policy was drafted in fiscal year 2007 and approved by management; however, implementation has not yet materialized.

Effect:

The above delinquent accounts may be uncollectible. Additionally, there could be inequalities in the amount paid for certain parcels of land depending on the value of utilities consumed.

Recommendation:

CUC should consider conducting cost analysis to determine the fair value of the property rental versus the property owner's utility consumption for which CUC has placed its water wells, utility poles, pumps and related equipment.

CUC should execute formal agreements with all landowners for the use of land and ensure compliance with established policies and procedures. Furthermore, depending on the agreed monthly rate to recognize as rental expense, it may be necessary for CUC to make prior period adjustments to record rent expense and reduce the related outstanding receivable.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Revenue/Receipts

Finding No. 2010-4, Continued

Auditee response:

All disputed customer accounts involving easements have been referred to outside counsel and will proceed through an administrative hearing process, with litigation to follow if necessary. All legal attempts will be made to collect the amounts due. All affected customers have been notified to begin paying current charges of they will be subject to disconnection.

Prior Year Status:

Lack of formal agreements with landowners for the use of land was reported as a finding in the audits of CUC for fiscal years 1996 through 2009. During 2007, CUC adopted a policy requiring all agreements to be prepared prior to using private properties. CUC also established procedures for easement claims.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Accounts Receivable/Disconnection

Finding No. 2010-5

Criteria:

Pursuant to CUC Electric Service Regulation, Part 17, CUC may disconnect past due accounts 14 calendar days after of second disconnection notice, which is sent 45 days after the first disconnection notice. The customer may seek remedy against disconnection by filing a formal dispute or execute a promissory note subject to CUC approval.

Condition:

The active accounts with overdue amounts from the aging of receivables were summarized. The listing of active accounts with overdue amounts was compared with the listing of accounts with dispute and accounts with promissory notes. There were 513 customer accounts whose aggregate overdue balance was \$963,850, and none of which had disputes or promissory notes and were not disconnected.

Cause:

There is a lack of monitoring to ensure that all accounts 90-days past due are disconnected pursuant to Part 17 of CUC's Electric Service Regulation.

Effect:

The collectibility of the above-mentioned past due customer accounts are doubtful and may represent bad debts. Furthermore fraud could be committed on these accounts and not be detected in a timely manner.

Also, since CUC may not disconnect customers who are disputing their account balances, as of September 30, 2009, total customers with disputed accounts totaled 765 with an aggregate balance of \$9,572,983.

Recommendation:

The above-mentioned past due customer accounts should be investigated pursuant to Part 17 of CUC's Electric Service Regulation and evaluated for collectability. CUC should adhere to its established policies and procedures for termination/disconnection of service. Furthermore, internal control monitoring should be performed to ensure that all accounts 90-days past due are timely disconnected, unless supported by promissory notes or formal customer dispute.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Accounts Receivable/Disconnection

Finding No. 2010-5, Continued

Auditee response:

All legal attempts will be made to collect the amounts due. All affected customers have been notified to begin paying current charges of they will be subject to disconnection.

Prior Year Status:

Similar conditions were noted as Findings 2009-04, 2008-07, 2007-9 and 2006-5 in prior year audits.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Negative Balances in the Aging of Accounts Receivable

Finding No. 2010-6

Criteria:

Collections received should be applied to specific billings.

Condition:

The aged listing of accounts receivable as of September 30, 2010 included negative balances totaling \$1,299,624 as of September 30, 2010.

Cause:

The negative balances pertain to advance payments of customers.

Effect:

The accounts receivable balance is understated by the amount of overpayment.

Recommendation:

Accounts receivable with credit balance should be reviewed periodically. Management should ensure that a separate listing of such credit balances be generated, reviewed and adjusted on monthly basis. For month-end and year-end financial statement reporting, these negative balances should be reclassified and reported as deferred revenue.

Auditee response:

CUC is in the process of upgrading its accounting software. This concern, among others will be addressed by the new accounting software.

Prior Year Status:

Similar condition was noted as Finding No. 2009-14 in prior year audit.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Prepayments

Finding No. 2010-7

Criteria:

Recorded prepayments should represent valid assets of an entity. Additionally, a good internal control provides for timely identification and reversal of prepayments upon receipt of goods and or when services are performed.

Condition:

An aggregate amount of \$705,850 or 90% of the prepayment balance was from prior periods.

Cause:

There is the lack of established policies and procedures on monitoring prepayments.

Effect:

The potential misstatement exists for prepayments and expenses. Goods or services could have been paid for but not received. Due to lack internal control, fraud could exist and not be prevented or detected in a timely manner.

Recommendation:

CUC should investigate its prepaid expense accounts and determine whether the goods were received or services were performed, then adjust the prepaid asset and related expense accounts accordingly. Internal control policies and procedures should be strengthened to properly monitor all prepayments.

Auditee response:

The Accounts Payable Supervisor is investigating the prepayment account. Receiving reports, completion of service and other necessary documentations will be required from Division involves to close prior years prepayments. The prepayment accounts reconciliation is now prepared monthly.

Prior Year Status:

Similar conditions were cited as Finding Nos. 2009-14 and 2008-19 in prior year audits.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Inventory

Finding No. 2010-8

Criteria:

Perpetual inventory records should, at all times reflect the total inventory quantity on-hand.

Condition:

The inventory valuation report as of September 30, 2010 included several inventory items with negative quantities on-hand. Although the ending inventory was adjusted to the actual physical count, the adjustment may be overstated by these items with credit balances (See Finding No. 2010-9).

Cause:

CUC's computer system records inventory when Accounts Payable personnel post vendor invoices into the system. Receiving reports prepared and posted by CUC's warehouse personnel are matched by the system with the corresponding vendor invoices posted. Consequently, if corresponding invoices have not been posted by the Accounting Department or cannot be identified, inventory subsidiary ledger is not adjusted for warehouse receipts. As a result, the inventory subsidiary ledger account may be zero even though there may be actual inventory on-hand. Since the computer system allows for issuance even when there is insufficient inventory quantities on-hand per the subsidiary ledger, inventory issuances by warehouse personnel may result in negative inventory quantities per book.

Effect:

There is a potential for material misstatement of inventory balances and if such is not adjusted, could result in an opinion qualification on the account balance and related expenses. There is also the potential for theft and misappropriation of assets due to a lack of internal control and inventory variances may simply be written without adequate investigation and resolution. Furthermore, inaccurate inventory valuation report is not useful to management in making inventory purchase decisions.

Recommendation:

CUC should ensure that all inventory transactions are properly posted and in a timely manner. A properly functioning perpetual inventory system will help generate more accurate interim financial statements and minimize the likelihood of making large physical inventory adjustments.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Inventory

Finding No. 2010-8, Continued

Auditee response:

Un-invoiced receipts reports, un-received invoices reports and negative quantity reports are now being generated regularly to clear all pending transactions that results to inventory negative balances. Coordination between the Warehouse Manager, Inventory Accountant and Accounts Payable Accountant is maintained to resolve negative quantities timely. Staking sheet or an authorization to withdraw materials is required to properly monitor withdrawal of materials.

Prior Year Status:

Similar condition was noted as Finding Nos. 2009-5, 2008-8, 2007-10 and 2006-6 in prior year audits.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Inventory Reconciliation

Finding No. 2010-9

Criteria:

A reconciliation of inventory balances between the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers should be performed regularly and discrepancies, if any, should be investigated.

Condition:

For fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, CUC adjusted \$168,381 as additional production fuel expenses, for the net overstatement of the inventory general ledger balance control account against adjusted inventory valuation report. The variance was not investigated and there no analysis of the loss pertaining to normal operations (such as flushing loss), costing or pricing variance and extraordinary loss which may be due to theft.

Cause:

Internal controls are ineffective for safeguarding CUC's inventories to prevent and detect discrepancies and potential waste, fraud and abuse. CUC has not implemented a plan of corrective action for the above condition as this control deficiency has existed since fiscal year 2002. There is a lack of responsible personnel assigned to perform the established inventory controls and there are no monitoring procedures in place to ensure that established policies and procedures are adhered.

Effect:

There is a potential for material misstatement of inventory balances and thus resulting in an opinion qualification on the account balance and related expenses. There is also the potential for theft and misappropriation of assets due to a lack of internal control and inventory variances may simply be written without adequate investigation and resolution.

Recommendation:

The adjustment should only be made by a responsible person after a thorough investigation and a reasonable explanation for the discrepancies have been obtained. Thus, before making the adjustment, someone with no access to the inventory should investigate the discrepancies between the inventory valuation report (subsidiary ledger) and physical count and attempt to determine whether such variances are due to clerical or counting errors, improper purchase and issuance cutoff procedures during the physical count, theft, intentional misstatement of the records or count, etc. Steps should then be taken to address the causes so that they do not recur.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Inventory Reconciliation, Continued

Finding No. 2010-9, Continued

Recommendation, Continued:

To ensure that the purchase and issuance cutoffs are proper, a responsible person should control purchase and sales invoices issued/received shortly before and after the physical count. This person should determine that the subsidiary ledger as of the physical inventory date include purchase invoices for all goods received before, but not after, the physical count and should exclude issuances for all goods used/transferred before, but not after, the count.

Monthly inventory reconciliations should be prepared in a timely manner to identify reconciling items between the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers in order to test the accuracy of both systems and, therefore, provide more accurate financial information.

CUC should also consider performing periodic cycle counts of the fast moving inventory items and compare the results with the perpetual records. This will enable CUC to identify inventory shortages and errors on a timely basis.

Auditee response:

Monthly inventory reconciliation is now prepared by the Inventory Accountant and reviewed by the Chief Accountant to identify discrepancies between the general ledger and the subsidiary ledgers. Any variance is reviewed thoroughly before adjustments are posted.

Prior Year Status:

Lack of established policies and procedures over inventory reconciliations was reported as a finding in the audits of CUC for fiscal years 2002 through 2009.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Inventory Valuation

Finding No. 2010-10

Criteria:

When the moving average unit cost method of inventory costing is utilized, unit cost is updated for new purchases and issuances are valued based on the most recent average unit cost.

Condition:

Certain inventory items have negative unit costs and related issuances have negative values as of September 30, 2010. Furthermore, costs of inventory adjustments were not based on the most recent average unit costs.

Cause:

CUC has not implemented a plan of corrective action for the above condition as this control deficiency has existed since fiscal year 2002. The cause of the above condition is attributable to the following:

- Untimely posting of inventory purchases, receipts and issuances;
- Lack of coordination between accounts payable and warehouse personnel for input of purchases and issuances; and
- Lack of monitoring and review of inventory adjustments.

Effect:

The effect of the above condition is a possible misstatement of inventory resulting in an opinion qualification on the account balance and related expenses.

Recommendation:

CUC should establish policies and procedures to ensure timely posting of inventory purchases, receipts and issuances; establish a formal review process and monitoring procedures for inventory adjustments; ensure coordination between responsible personnel; and ensure that these policies and procedures are strictly adhered. Even with CUC's planned implementation of a bar-coding, coordination between Warehouse and Accounting personnel would still be necessary. Although the bar-coding system may facilitate the warehouse receiving and issuance functions, if the Accounts Payable section does not timely record the purchases, issuances will still result in credit balances in the inventory valuation report.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Inventory Valuation

Finding No. 2010-10, Continued

Auditee response:

The Inventory Accountant has been assigned to monitor inventory balances by analyzing negative quantity reports and un-invoiced receipts reports. These reports are downloaded weekly and or as often as necessary. Any discrepancies are resolved with the coordination of the Inventory Accountant, Accounts Payable Supervisor and Warehouse Manager.

Prior Year Status:

Similar findings were cited in the audit of CUC for fiscal years 2002 through 2009.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Utility Plant

Finding No. 2010-11

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-133 requires that capital assets be physically accounted for at bi-annually. Adequate presentation and control of fixed assets are essential in preparing accurate financial statements. Unless all fixed assets are recorded, depreciation used in determining utility rates could be misstated.

Condition:

CUC has not conducted physical count of capital assets in over 5 years and has no basis to determine if the carrying value of its capital assets is accurate. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, long-lived assets should be evaluated for impairment. Since a physical count has not been conducted, the asset listing may still include assets that have been retired, broken, destroyed or stolen.

Cause:

There is a lack of management oversight on the significance of accounting for and conducting physical count of capital assets and monitoring controls to ensure that asset listing is updated not only for additions but also for deletions. CUC has not implemented a plan of corrective action for the above condition as this control deficiency has existed since fiscal year 1988.

Effect:

CUC is not in compliance with OMB Circular A-133. The effect of the above condition is a possible misstatement of capital assets. Additionally, a control deficiency exists over the safeguarding of capital assets. Capital assets may be misappropriated and not be detected in a timely manner.

Recommendation:

CUC should perform a physical inventory of all fixed assets on-hand, agree the count with its records and make necessary adjustments. CUC should also consider evaluating capital assets for impairments and recoverability of carrying values. An asset should be tested for recoverability if events or changes in circumstances, such as the following among others, indicate that its carrying amount may not be fully recoverable:

- a. Significant adverse change in the asset's use or in its physical condition;
- b. Significant adverse changes in legal factors or business climate, including an adverse action or assessment by a regulator; and

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Utility Plant, Continued

Finding No. 2010-11, Continued

Recommendation, Continued:

c. Costs to acquire or construct an asset that significantly exceed original expectations.

When long-lived assets are tested for recoverability, a review of depreciation or amortization estimates may be required. Any revision to the remaining useful life should then be considered in developing estimates of future cash flows used to test the asset's recoverability.

Auditee response:

CUC will review its Utility Plant policies and procedures and develop a cycle physical inventory counting procedures.

Prior Year Status:

The lack of control over capital assets was reported as a finding in the audits of CUC for fiscal years 1988 through 2009.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Travel

Finding No. 2010-12

Criteria:

Pursuant to CUC's Travel policy:

- a. Liquidation of travel advances with supporting documents should be filed within ten days from completion of travel.
- b. Travel advance is limited to 80% of the total estimated per diem and other expenses as shown on the travel authorization.

Condition:

a. For 8 or 32% of 25 travel authorizations selected for testing, the travel vouchers were not provided during the audit.

For 1 or 4% of 25 travel authorizations selected for testing, the liquidation was received more than 10 days after the end of travel as follows:

End of Trip	August	29,	2010
Due date of liquidation	September	8,	2010
Date liquidated	September	14,	2010

b. For 4 or 16% of 25 travel authorization selected for testing, the amount advanced were more than 80% of the estimated per diem and other expenses.

TA No.	Amount
10-094	\$ 225
10-111	750
10-113	240
10-119	240
	<u>\$1,455</u>

Cause:

- a. The turnover in and shortage of accounting personnel did not allow for CUC's Accounting Department to make timely follow up and properly monitor outstanding travel advances.
- b. The authorization for the travel advance in excess of 80% of estimated per diem and other expenses was approved by the management due to increasing lodging expenses in the travel destination.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS, CONTINUED

Travel, Continued

Finding No. 2010-12, Continues

Effect:

CUC is not in compliance with its travel policies and procedures.

Recommendation:

CUC should ensure that policies and procedures are complied with. CUC may also review its travel policy to address the changes in the current travel conditions.

Auditee response:

CUC will review its travel policies and procedures and ensure that all travel will be in compliance with the policies and procedures.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Reporting - Interim Financial Status Report

Finding No. 2010-13

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

66.418 and

66.468

Criteria:

Pursuant to the Grant Condition, an interim Financial Status Report is to be submitted to the appropriate U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Grants Management Office 90 days after the anniversary of the project period start date.

Condition:

The interim Financial Status Reports of the following grants were submitted late:

		Date	No. Of
Grant Award No.	Due Date	Submitted	Days Late
2C-69926301-0	11/12/2010	12/15/2010	33
2C-69926201-0	11/18/2010	12/15/2010	27
2F-00T26101-0	11/12/2010	12/15/2010	33

Effect:

CUC is not in compliance with the Grant Award Condition regarding reporting.

Cause:

With the turnover of personnel handling the federal programs certain documentation were not properly endorsed.

Recommendation:

CUC should establish and implement internal controls and monitoring procedures to ensure that federal award reporting requirements are strictly adhered.

Auditee response:

CUC established a formal Federal Compliance Section in FY 2010. The Section Head will establish monitoring controls and procedures to ensure CUC's compliance with the requirements of federal grants received. Questioned Costs

\$ -

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS, CONTINUED

Reporting

Finding No. 2010-14

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

Questioned Costs

15.875

\$ -

Criteria:

Pursuant to the Grant Terms and Condition, Financial Status Reports will be submitted semi-annually for periods beginning January 1 and ending June 30, and beginning July 1 and ending December 31.

Reports are due within 30 days of the end of the period.

Final reports are due 90 days after the expiration or term of award.

Condition:

a. Financial Status Reports for 5 or 46% of 11 grant awards with semi-annual reports due on January 30, 2010 were submitted late.

	Date	No. Of
Grant Award No.	Submitted	Days Late
CNMI-CIP-2005/GR 570134	5/1/2010	91
CNMI-CIP-2005/GR 570136	5/1/2010	91
CNMI-CIP-2007-1/GR 770070	5/1/2010	91
CNMI-CIP-2007-2/GR 770098	5/1/2010	91
CNMI-CIP-2008-3/GR 879104	5/1/2010	91

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS, CONTINUED

Reporting

Finding No. 2010-14, continued

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

Questioned Costs

_

\$

15.875

Condition, continued:

b. Financial Status Reports for 7 or 73% of 11 grant awards with semi-annual reports due on July 30, 2010 were not provided during the audit.

Grant Award No.

CNMI-CIP-2008-5/GR 870176 CNMI-CIP-2009-1/GR 970092 CNMI-CIP-2010-3/GRX0115 CNMI-CIP-2009-4/BU#5648 CNMI Water/ Wastewater 2009-1/ GR 970179 CNMI Water/ Wastewater 2010-1/ GR X0109 CNMI-CIP-2010-2/ GR X0082

c. The Final Report for 6 or 100% of awards that ended in fiscal year 2010 were submitted late.

	End of		Date	No. Of
Grant Award No.	Term	Due Date	Submitted	Days Late
CNMI-CIP-2001-1/GR 100011	09/30/09	12/29/09	05/01/10	123
CNMI-CIP-2002-1/GR 270027	09/30/09	12/29/09	05/01/10	123
CNMI-CIP-2003/GR 470058	09/30/09	12/29/09	05/01/10	123
CNMI-CIP-2005/GR 570134	02/01/10	05/02/10	05/20/10	18
CNMI-CIP-2005/GR 570136	02/01/10	05/02/10	05/20/10	18
CNMI-CIP-2008-3/GR 870067	09/30/09	12/29/09	05/01/10	123

Effect:

CUC is in non-compliance with grant reporting condition and certain expenditure reported on these forms may be un-allowed by the grantor due to non-compliance with the grant condition.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS, CONTINUED

Reporting

Finding No. 2010-14, continued

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

Questioned Costs

Ŝ

15.875

Cause:

Lack of monitoring of compliance with grant requirements.

Since the majority of CUC awards prior to fiscal year 2010 were passed-through the CNMI Government and CUC's management did not have spending authority, CUC is unable to timely obtain information and has not formally established monitoring controls over federal award.

Recommendation:

CUC should establish and implement internal controls and monitoring procedures to ensure that federal award reporting requirements are strictly adhered.

Auditee response:

CUC established a formal Federal Compliance Section in FY 2010. The Section Head will establish monitoring controls and procedures to ensure CUC's compliance with the requirements of federal grants received. For grants received prior to FY 2010, were passed through from the CNMI Central government and the reporting requirement lies with the CNMI Central government.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS, CONTINUED

Reporting

Finding No. 2010-15

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

Questioned Costs

15.875

\$ -

Criteria:

Pursuant to the Grant Terms and Condition, Narrative Status Reports will be submitted semi-annually for periods beginning January 1 and ending June 30, and beginning July 1 and ending December 31.

Reports are due within 30 days of the end of the period.

Final reports are due 90 days after the expiration or term of award.

Condition:

a. The following Narrative Reports for 9 or 64% of 14 grant awards with semi-annual reports due on January 30, 2010 were submitted late.

	Date	No. Of
Grant Award No.	Submitted	Days Late
CNMI-CIP-2001-1/GR 100011	04/30/10	90
CNMI-CIP-2002-1/GR 270027	04/30/10	90
CNMI-CIP-2003/GR 470058	04/30/10	90
CNMI-CIP-2005/GR 570134	04/30/10	90
CNMI-CIP-2005/GR 570136	04/30/10	90
CNMI-CIP-2007-1/GR 770070	04/30/10	90
CNMI-CIP-2007-2/GR 770098	04/30/10	90
CNMI-CIP-2008-3/GR 870067	04/30/10	90
CNMI-CIP-2008-3/GR 879104	04/30/10	90

b. The following Narrative Reports for 2 or 14% of 14 grant awards with semi-annual reports due on January 30, 2010 were not provided for audit.

Grant Award No.

CNMI Water/ Wastewater 2009-1/ GR 970179 CNMI-CIP-2010-2/ GR X0082

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS, CONTINUED

Reporting

Finding No. 2010-15, Condition

	CFDA	No.	Findings/Noncompliance	
--	------	-----	------------------------	--

Questioned Costs

_

15.875

\$

Condition:

c. The Final Report for 2 or 33% of the 6 awards that ended in fiscal year 2010 were submitted late as follows:

	End of		Date	No. Of
Grant Award No.	Term	Due Date	Submitted	Days Late
CNMI-CIP-2005/GR 570134	02/01/10	05/02/10	05/26/10	24
CNMI-CIP-2005/GR 570136	02/01/10	05/02/10	05/26/10	24

d. The Final Report for 4 or 67% of the 6 awards that ended in fiscal year 2010 were not provided for audit as flows:

Grant Award No.

CNMI-CIP-2001-1/GR 100011 CNMI-CIP-2002-1/GR 270027 CNMI-CIP-2003/GR 470058 CNMI-CIP-2008-3/GR 870067

Effect:

CUC is in non-compliance with grant reporting requirements and certain expenditure reported on these forms may be disallowed by the grantor due to non-compliance with the grant condition.

Cause:

There is lack of monitoring of compliance with grant requirements.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS, CONTINUED

Reporting

Finding No. 2010-15, Continued

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

Questioned Costs

Recommendation:

CUC should establish and implement internal controls and monitoring procedures to ensure that federal award reporting requirements are strictly adhered.

Auditee response:

CUC established a formal Federal Compliance Section in FY 2010. The Section Head will establish monitoring controls and procedures to ensure CUC's compliance with the requirements of federal grants received. For grants received prior to FY 2010, were passed through from the CNMI Central government and the reporting requirement lies with the CNMI Central government.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS, CONTINUED

Equipment and Real Property Management

Finding No. 2010-16

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

Questioned Costs

all

Criteria:

Pursuant to 40 CFR Part 31.32 (2), a physical inventory of the property must be taken and results reconciled with the property records at least once every two years.

Condition:

CUC has not conducted physical count of capital assets in over 5 years and has no basis to determine if the carrying value of its capital assets is accurate. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, long-lived assets should be evaluated for impairment. Since a physical count has not been conducted, the asset listing may still include assets that have been retired, broken, destroyed or stolen.

Effect:

CUC is in non-compliance with grant equipment and real property internal control requirements.

Cause:

There is a lack of management oversight on the significance of accounting for and conducting physical count of capital assets and monitoring controls to ensure that asset listing is updated not only for additions but also for deletions.

Recommendation:

CUC should conduct physical inventory of its capital assets and update its asset listing in accordance with 40 CFR Part 31.

Auditee response:

CUC will review its Utility Plant policies and procedures and develop a cycle physical inventory counting procedures.

Total Questioned Costs

<u>\$</u>__

Department of Public Lands

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2002 Conducted By: Deloitte.

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent	:	9/21/04, 2/15/05, 8/9/05, 3/13/06, 9/29/06, 2/6/07, 8/28/07, 3/17/08, 8/25/08, 8/12/09, 11/25/09, 5/12/10, 5/17/10, 10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) received	:	5/19/11

See pages 220 to 222 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditors Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

MARIANAS PUBLIC LANDS AUTHORITY (A Private-Purpose Trust Fund)

Schedule of Findings Year Ended September 30, 2002

Fund Balance

Finding No. 2002-1

Criteria: Expenditures should be recorded in the period the fund liability is incurred.

<u>Condition</u>: Specific reviews of nine contracts (nos. HSTDENG 2002-06T, HSTDENG 2002-01S, HSTDENG 2002-04S, HSTDENG 2002-02, HSTDENG L002-5R, 91-OS, 157-OS, 163-OS and 174-OS) disclosed the following matters:

• Billings are not reviewed to ensure that expenditures are recorded in the proper period. An audit adjustment was proposed to record \$93,600 in expenditures related to fiscal year 2002 for a program billing received and paid subsequent to September 30, 2002.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is unknown.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the misstatement of liabilities, fund balance and expenditures.

Recommendation: We recommend that transactions be recorded in the proper period.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Improper recording of transactions and encumbrances was reported as a finding in the audit of MPLA for fiscal year 2001.

<u>Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan</u>: We agreed with this finding. Controls and procedures have been implemented in the accounting system to detect and prevent any unrecorded liability at the end of the fiscal year.

MARIANAS PUBLIC LANDS AUTHORITY (A Private-Purpose Trust Fund)

Schedule of Findings, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2002

Receivables

Finding No. 2002-2

Criteria: Receivables should be monitored on a regular basis to determine collectibility.

Condition: As of September 30, 2002, recorded receivables were as follows:

Leases	\$	3,406,106
Late fees		7,223,174
Royalties		3,002,241
Commercial permits		251,520
Temporary		268,926
Other	_	553,353

\$ <u>14,705,320</u>

Our audit included an analysis of MPLA's past due receivables to determine the propriety of the allowance for doubtful accounts. MPLA's allowance account amounted to \$12,979,209 or 88% of total receivables. Based on our tests of collectibility, an audit adjustment was proposed to increase the allowance account by \$931,641. The significant increase in the allowance relates to late fees assessed for unpaid balances from terminated leases and slow to non-moving accounts.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of policies and procedures requiring the review and monitoring of overdue accounts on a monthly basis and the preparation of an aged accounts receivable report.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the possibility of a misstatement of receivable balances.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the Marianas Public Lands Authority review receivable balances and forward overdue accounts to legal counsel for collection in a timely manner, and consider a policy of not accruing late fees on terminated or non-moving account balances for external reporting purposes while continue doing so, if deemed necessary, for internal reporting purposes.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Lack of monitoring procedures requiring review and monitoring of overdue accounts was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the CNMI for fiscal years 1998 through 2000 and in the audit of MPLA for fiscal year 2001.

<u>Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan</u>: We agreed with this finding. Controls and procedures have been implemented in the accounting system to minimize the level of outstanding accounts receivable.

MARIANAS PUBLIC LANDS AUTHORITY (A Private-Purpose Trust Fund)

Schedule of Findings, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2002

Local Noncompliance

Finding No. 2002-3

<u>Criteria</u>: Public Law No. 11-64 imposes a landing fee on passengers who disembark on Managaha Island. In accordance with the enabling legislation, these funds are to be made available for appropriation by the Saipan and Northern Islands legislative delegation without limitation.

<u>Condition</u>: As of September 30, 2002, MPLA has recorded a liability relating to landing fees collected, totaling \$1,162,892, which have not yet been remitted to the Secretary of Finance for deposit into a special subaccount.

Cause: The cause of the above condition is unknown.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with Public Law No. 11-64.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that MPLA obtain a legal counsel opinion on the disposition of such fees.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Noncompliance as set forth in the condition was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the CNMI for fiscal year 2000 and in the audit of MPLA for fiscal year 2001.

<u>Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan</u>: We agreed with this finding. As soon as we determine the nature of the disposition of funds, the issue of ownership to the funds would immediately clear this audit finding.

Northern Marianas College

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010 Conducted By: Deloitte.

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent

Date(s) of response letter(s) received

See pages 224 to 236 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditors Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

:

:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

Finding No.:	2010-1
Federal Agency:	U.S Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.203 Payments to Agricultural Experiment Stations Under the Hatch Act
Federal Award Nos.:	2010-3110006095 and 2009-3110006095
Federal Award Periods:	: 10/01/09-09/30/10 and 10/01/08-09/30/09
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Cost:	\$- 0 -

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with applicable equipment management requirements, procedures for managing equipment, whether acquired in whole or in part with grant funds, until disposition takes place will, at a minimum, meet the following requirement:

• Equipment records must be maintained that include a description of the property, a serial number or other identification number, the source of property, who holds title, the acquisition date and cost of the property, percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the property, the location, use and condition of the property, and any ultimate disposition data including the date of disposal and sale price of the property.

<u>Condition</u>: The program's property records lack information as to acquisition cost, acquisition date, source of the property, whether title vests to the recipient or the Federal government, information from which percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the property can be calculated and condition of the property. Further, missing or inadequate information regarding tag numbers, description and other reference numbers was noted.

We were not able to assess the overall cumulative monetary value of the deficiencies noted above. However, total capital outlays for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$30,136, \$95,377 and \$210,047, respectively.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is lack of information required by federal regulations and the lack of adherence of established policies and procedures regarding property and equipment management.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is lack of compliance with equipment and real property management compliance requirements.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the program take necessary actions to comply with applicable federal property management standards.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Lack of policies and procedures to comply with federal property management standards was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the College for the fiscal years 2005 through 2009.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.:	2010-1, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.203 Payments to Agricultural Experiment Stations Under the Hatch Act
Federal Award Nos.:	2010-3110006095 and 2009-3110006095
Federal Award Periods:	10/01/09-09/30/10 and 10/01/08-09/30/09
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Cost:	\$-Ô-

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Mr. Ross Manglona, CREES Director/Expenditure Authority and Mr. Rogelio Madriaga, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer

Corrective Action: The College partially agrees with this finding. The program conducts an annual inventory with the assistance of the Procurement and Property Management Office (PPMO). The annual inventory records were transmitted to the auditor. We agree that the inventory records lack information required by federal regulations. PPMO's inventory of the program's equipment that contains all the required information was transmitted to the program for reconciliation. PPMO will continue working with the program to ensure that all required information is contained in the inventory records. The program has been informed that it is responsible for maintaining and updating the records to ensure compliance with applicable federal property management standards.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.:	2010-2			
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture			
CFDA Program:	10.500 Cooperative Extension Service			
Federal Award Nos.:	2010-41100-05700, 2010-41510-05700,	2010-48024-05700	and	2008-
	41520-04315			
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 - 09/30/09			
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles			
Questioned Costs:	\$3,683			

<u>Criteria</u>: Expenses should be authorized, supported, approved prior to incurrence and recorded in the proper period.

<u>Condition</u>: Of \$469,003 of nonpayroll expenses, twenty-eight items totaling \$83,242 were tested and of \$555,051 of payroll expenses, thirty items totaling \$25,123 were tested, and the following was noted:

1. Airfare for one cancelled trip was charged to the program:

Document No.	General Ledger Date	<u>Amount</u>
TA-104818	07/21/10	\$ 2,693

2. For one employee, timesheet hours did not substantiate program charges:

					% charged			
	Payment				per	% Worked		
Payroll	Reference	Payment			General	per	-	estioned
Period No.	<u>No.</u>	<u>Date</u>	Gr	<u>oss Pay</u>	<u>Ledger</u>	<u>Timesheet</u>		Cost
PP#20/10	v033507	09/24/10	\$	990	100%	0%	\$	990

<u>Cause:</u> The cause of the above condition is weak control procedures over program costs.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the use of federal funds for unauthorized and unsupported expenses and questioned costs of \$3,683. This matter is reportable as projected questioned costs exceed \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the College strengthen control procedures over program costs.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Lack of controls over program costs was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the College for fiscal years 2007 through 2009.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Mr. Ross Manglona, CREES Director/Expenditure Authority and Mr. Rogelio Madriaga, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.: Federal Agency: CFDA Program:	2010-2, Continued U.S. Department of Agriculture 10.500 Cooperative Extension Service			
Federal Award Nos.:	2010-41100-05700, 2010-41510-05700, 41520-04315	2010-48024-05700	and	2008-
Federal Award Period: Area: Questioned Costs:				

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action: The College partially agrees with this finding.

Condition 1: The College concurs with the auditor and has submitted a proposed adjustment to move the expense to the general operations fund. The grantor agency will be credited for the questioned amount. The program will monitor the close out of travel more closely.

Condition 2: The College concurs with the auditor. The program and payroll department will ensure that documents supporting program expenses are properly maintained and filed. The College will strengthen control procedures over program costs.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.:	2010-3
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.500 Cooperative Extension Service
Federal Award Nos.:	2010-41100-05700, 2010-41510-05700, 2010-48024-05700 and 2008-
	41520-04315
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 - 09/30/09
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$-Ô-

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with applicable equipment management requirements, procedures for managing equipment, whether acquired in whole or in part with grant funds, until disposition takes place will, at a minimum, meet the following requirement:

• Equipment records must be maintained that include a description of the property, a serial number or other identification number, the source of property, who holds title, the acquisition date and cost of the property, percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the property, the location, use and condition of the property, and any ultimate disposition data including the date of disposal and sale price of the property.

<u>Condition</u>: The program's property records lack information as to acquisition cost, acquisition date, source of the property, whether title vests to the recipient or the Federal government, information from which percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the property can be calculated and condition of the property. Further, missing or inadequate information regarding tag numbers, description and other reference numbers was noted.

We were not able to assess the overall cumulative monetary value of the deficiencies noted above. However, total capital outlays for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$11,919, \$12,065 and \$10,707, respectively.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is lack of information required by federal regulations and the lack of adherence of established policies and procedures regarding maintenance of property and equipment.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is lack of compliance with equipment and real property management compliance requirements.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the program take necessary actions to comply with applicable federal property management standards.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: Lack of policies and procedures to comply with federal property management standards was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of the College for fiscal years 2005 through 2009.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Mr. Ross Manglona, CREES Director/Expenditure Authority and Mr. Rogelio Madriaga, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.:	2010-3, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Agriculture
CFDA Program:	10.500 Cooperative Extension Service
Federal Award Nos.:	2010-41100-05700, 2010-41510-05700, 2010-48024-05700 and 2008-
	41520-04315
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 - 09/30/09
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management
Questioned Costs:	\$- 0 -1

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action: The College partially agrees with this finding. The program conducts an annual inventory with the assistance of the Procurement and Property Management Office (PPMO). The annual inventory records were transmitted to the auditor. We agree that the inventory records lack information required by federal regulations. PPMO's inventory of the program's equipment that contains all the required information was transmitted to the program for reconciliation. PPMO will continue working with the program to ensure that all required information is contained in the inventory records. The program has been informed that it is responsible for maintaining and updating the records to ensure compliance with applicable federal property management standards.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.:	2010-4
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of the Interior
CFDA Program:	15.875 Economic, Social, and Political Development of the Territories -
C	Accreditation Reaffirmation Plan
Federal Award No.:	OMIP-CNMI-NMC-2008-3
Federal Award Period:	04/04/08 - 03/04/10
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Procurement regulations require procurements of \$10,000 and above to be procured using competitive sealed bidding and public notice should be publicized at least once a week over a period of thirty (30) calendar days.

<u>Condition</u>: Of \$225,000 of nonpayroll expenses tested (contract no. 3055), we noted there was no evidence of adequate publication of an amended request for proposal (RFP, RFP no. 09-002) which was advertised only once in one newspaper.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is weak controls over ensuring that procurement policies and procedures are implemented.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with applicable procurement requirements. No questioned costs result as procurement procedures were performed and the selection process was documented.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the College comply with applicable procurement requirements.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Ms. Anita Camacho, Procurement and Property Manager and Mr. Rogelio Madriaga, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer

Corrective Action: The College disagrees with this finding. The College's Procurement Policies and Procedures do not state a frequency of publication for amended RFPs. The auditor is questioning compliance with a non-existent policy or procedure.

Proposed Completion Date: September 30, 2011

<u>Auditor Response</u>: The College should adopt a policy specifying the required duration of public notice and advertising for amended RFPs. With the absence of policy governing amended RFPs, we tested the selection using the criteria for regular RFPs.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.:	2010-5
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Education
CFDA Programs:	84.042 TRIO - Student Support Services, 84.044 TRIO - Talent Search and
C	84.047 TRIO - Upward Bound
Federal Award Nos.:	P042A051370-09, P044A060919-09 and P047A071200-09
Federal Award Periods	: 09/01/09-08/31/10 and 09/01/10-08/31/11
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$13,199

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with Section 7-B(2) of the College's procurement regulations, when special circumstances require the expedited purchasing of goods or services, the College official with expenditure authority may request the Procurement and Property Management Officer to approve expedited procurement without the solicitation of bids or proposals.

<u>Condition</u>: Of \$559,669 nonpayroll expenses, thirty items totaling \$33,929 were tested. Software, procured through expedited procurement, was purchased to formulate the Annual Performance Report (APR) due on August 31, 2010. The justification for the procurement method was to meet the reporting deadline. The APR is an annual reporting requirement that has been met in the past and the circumstances did not warrant expedited procurement.

Check No.	Document No.	General Ledger Date	<u>Amount</u>
39977	PO-114031	07/19/10	\$ <u>13,199</u>

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is noncompliance with the College's procurement policies and procedures.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is questioned costs of \$13,199.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the College comply with its procurement policies and procedures.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Ms. Anita Camacho, Procurement and Property Manager and Mr. Rogelio Madriaga, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer

Corrective Action: The College partially agrees with the auditor. Although the APR is an annual reporting requirement that has been met in the past, the identification of reporting software that would facilitate producing the report was considered a critical purchase that justified an expedited purchase. However, the College will continue to strengthen its compliance with procurement policies and procedures.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.:	2010-6
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Education
CFDA Program:	84.378 College Access Challenge Grant Program
Federal Award Nos.:	P378A090051 and P378A100051
Federal Award Period:	08/14/09 - 08/13/10 and 08/14/10 - 08/13/11
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: A grantee may not use more than 6 percent of the total amount of the sum of the "total federal share provided per legislative authority and program description" (nonfederal share) for administrative purposes.

<u>Condition</u>: There is no separate account code to identify expenses for administrative purposes, hence we could not verify if the administrative costs do not exceed the 6% ceiling.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of a separate account code to monitor charges to administrative costs.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is potential noncompliance with the grant requirement. No questioned costs is raised as actual expenses subsequently identified as administrative costs were below the maximum allowed; however, we could not verify if additional expenses were for administrative purposes as there was no separate account code to identify the costs.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the College establish a separate account code to monitor charges to administrative costs.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of an account code to monitor charges to administrative costs was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of the College for fiscal year 2009.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Mr. Leo Pangelinan, Dean of Student Services and Mr. Rogelio Madriaga, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer

Corrective Action: The College concurs with this finding. The College will establish a separate account code to monitor charges to administrative costs.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

2010-7 U.S. Department of Education 84.378 College Access Challenge Grant Program P378A090051 and P378A100051 08/14/09 - 08/13/10 and 08/14/10 - 08/13/11 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles \$18,927

<u>Criteria</u>: Employees that charge to more than one federal program are required to maintain records documenting the allocation of time to the different programs, unless an alternative method has been authorized by the funding agency.

<u>Condition</u>: Of \$64,307 in payroll expenses, eleven items totaling \$13,205 were tested. We noted one employee who, as Outreach Counselor, was 100% chargeable to the program through December 2009. In January 2010, the employee was promoted to Director for Counseling Programs and Services. The employee held this position until June of 2010. As Director for Counseling Programs and Services, the employee's time and effort towards fulfilling her role as Director should have been charged to separate funding sources; however, the employee remained 100% chargeable to the program. The employee's salary from January to June 2010 was \$18,927 which is questioned as it represents unsupported payroll costs and there is no documentation to substantiate the actual use of the employee's time.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of documentation to allocate time charges to various programs.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is potential noncompliance with applicable cost allocation principles and questioned costs of \$18,927.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the College establish procedures to allocate payroll costs being charged to programs.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Mr. Leo Pangelinan, Dean of Student Services and Mr. Rogelio Madriaga, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer

Corrective Action: The College partially concurs with this finding. The employee's time and effort towards fulfilling her role as Acting Director of Counseling Programs and Services (CPS) should have been documented and charged to a separate funding source. The College disagrees that the employee's entire salary for the period January to June 2010 be considered a questioned cost. During this period, the employee still fulfilled her primary duties as an Outreach Counselor in addition to the duties of the Acting Director. To question her entire salary for the period implies she did not fulfill her duties as an Outreach Counselor and that all of her time was devoted to the Directorship. An additional consideration is that the current Director of CPS's salary is allocated 50% federal and 50% local appropriation. The College is requesting that the questioned costs be reduced.

The College will strengthen procedures to allocate payroll costs being charged to programs.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.:	2010-7, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Education
CFDA Program:	84.378 College Access Challenge Grant Program
Federal Award Nos.:	P378A090051 and P378A100051
Federal Award Period:	08/14/09 - 08/13/10 and 08/14/10 - 08/13/11
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$18,927

<u>Auditor Response</u>: We agree that the Director partially worked for the program; however, without documentation evidencing the allocation of time and effort we cannot determine costs applicable to the Program and thus consider total costs reportable.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.:	2010-8
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Education
CFDA Program:	84.394 State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) - Education State Grants,
	Recovery Act
Federal Award No.:	S394A090054A
Federal Award Period:	10/15/09 - 09/30/10
Area:	Subrecipient Monitoring
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: When a pass-through entity makes an award to a sub recipient, a sub award agreement should be entered into. The sub award agreement must contain certain information including:

- *Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance* name and number;
- Award amount, name and number;
- Award year and duration of the award;
- Whether funds were awarded for research and development activities;
- Description of the scope of work and any special conditions; and
- The name of the federal awarding agency.

The sub award agreement should describe the requirements imposed on the sub recipient by program laws, regulations and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements, as well as any supplemental requirements imposed by the pass-through entity.

The lack of a sub award agreement raises uncertainties as to the party responsible for noncompliance.

<u>Condition</u>: The College received U.S. Department of Education State Fiscal Stabilization Funds (SFSF) passed through the CNMI government without entering into a sub grant agreement with the CNMI. Certain aspects of program compliance cannot be determined without a sub grant agreement that defines the rules and responsibilities of each party to the agreement.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is that a subrecipient agreement between the primary recipient and the College has not been executed that defines the roles and responsibilities of each party.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is possible noncompliance. No questioned costs result from this finding as without a sub award agreement, the liability for potential noncompliance cannot be determined.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the College execute a subrecipient agreement with the CNMI Government. The College should ensure that the subrecipient agreement addresses compliance requirements including period of availability of funds and allowable costs/cost principles.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Mr. Rogelio Madriaga, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2010

Finding No.:	2010-8, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Education
CFDA Program:	84.394 State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) - Education State Grants,
	Recovery Act
Federal Award No.:	S394A090054A
Federal Award Period:	10/15/09 - 09/30/10
Area:	Subrecipient Monitoring
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action: The College concurs with this finding. Although, the College and the Office of the CNMI ARRA State Lead began developing a subrecipient agreement, the process was not completed. The College will immediately contact the CNMI ARRA State Lead to complete the subrecipient agreement with the CNMI Government.

Northern Marianas Housing Corporation

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009 Conducted By: Deloitte.

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent	:	5/23/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) received	:	6/13/11

See pages 238 to 327 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditors Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

B. FINDINGS RELATING TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, WHICH ARE REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

Loans Receivable

Finding No. 2009-1

<u>Criteria</u>: An effective system of internal con trol includes procedures to ensure that loan payments are received on a timely basis and that actions are taken to address delinquencies.

<u>Condition</u>: An analysis of NMHC's past due loans was performed to determine the propriety of the allowance for loan losses as of Septem ber 30, 2009. This analysis revealed that loans, including interest, which are over one hundred twenty days past due amounted to \$5,470,625 or 54.92% of total loans and interest receivable outstanding of \$9,961,865 as of September 30, 2009.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the increase in past due loans.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the increased possibility of loan losses due to borrower nonpayment.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that follow-up procedures be adhered to. We recommend that evaluations be performed for these loans and a corrective action plan be developed and documented. Future results m ay be com pared against this p lan and actions taken by management as deemed necessary. Legal action should be considered for those loans considered unlikely to be serviceable by the borrower.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> N MHC's significant past due loans was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2006 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Roger Dris, Chief Accountant and Jesse Palacios, Manager, Mortgage & Credit Division

Corrective Action: NMHC will perf orm a detailed rev iew of the ad equacy of its res erve for seriously defaulted loans and guaranteed loans for these financial institutions and assess the need to provide additional reserves for defaulted loans based on the appraisal value of the property and establish a policy that supports reasonable allowance calculations.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Land

Finding No. 2009-2

<u>Criteria</u>: L ong lived assets shou ld be reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value. A review of valuations of real property should be periodical ly perform ed by managem ent and adjustments should be made to reflect the lower of the carrying amount or fair market value.

<u>Condition</u>: As of September 30, 2009, NMHC has el even parcels of land w ith recorded values aggregating \$9,747,313. The last appraisal of the elev en parcels occurred during fiscal year 2003. However, a November 2008 appraisal of two lots which a carrying value of \$256,000 resulted in an \$84,000 decrease in recorded values. Managem ent did not perform an evalua tion of the carrying values of the rem aining lots. This m atter was corrected through a proposed audit adjustm ent in fiscal year 2008.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established policies and procedures to periodically review the record ed values of real property and the high cost of obtaining appraisal services.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is a potential misstatement of land.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recommend that NMHC ensu re that long-lived assets are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value. Additionally, we recommend that NMHC establish policies and procedures to periodically evaluate the carrying values of long-lived assets.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of es tablished policies and procedures of periodic review of recorded values of real property and the high cost of obtaining appraisal services was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2006 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Roger Dris, Chief Accountant and Je sse Palacios, Manager, Mortgage & Credit Division

Corrective Action: NMHC will estab lish policies and procedur es f or the perio dic review of recorded values of real property and obtain an appraisal once every two years.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Loan Guarantee Agreements

Finding No. 2009-3

<u>Criteria</u>: A n effective system of internal control includ es procedures to ensure that con tingent liabilities are assessed and reviewed for probable losses.

<u>Condition</u>: NMHC has existing lo an guarantee and purchase agreem ents with certain f inancial institutions. As of September 30, 2009, NMHC's related loan guarantees amounted to \$18,345,312. Of the total, approxim ately \$5,893,782 is delinquent and past due. NMHC has received dem and notices for and has recorded a related rese rve of \$1,260,205. However, NMHC is unable to determine if an additional reserve should be established due to limited information provided by the financial in stitutions. NMHC is curren tly w orking with the institutions to ob tain sufficient information to determine a better estimate of the reserve for loan guarantees.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established policies and procedures in assessing the adequacy of the reserve for guaranteed loans and the lack of relevant and sufficient information from financial institutions to estimate probable losses.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the possible m isstatement of the reserve for loan guaranty and related accounts.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recommend that NMH C ensure that the reserve for guaranteed loan s is assessed and reviewed for adequa cy. Further, we recommend that NMHC establish policies and procedures to assess the adequacy of the reserve for guaranteed loans, bot h past due and current. Additionally, we recommend that NMHC continue to obtain sufficient information from financial institutions about the status of defaulted loans and determine which of the defaulted loans will likely result in payment or settlement.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> The lack of e stablished p olicies and proce dures to assess and review the adequacy of the reserve for guaranteed loans and the lack of relevant and sufficient inform ation from financial institutions to estimate probable losses was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2006 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Roger Dris, Chief Accountant and Je sse Palacios, Manager, Mortgage & Credit Division

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure that the reserve for guaran teed loan s is assessed and reviewed for adequacy. NMHC will a loo establish internal policies and procedures to a ssess the value of its properties using either com parables of properties sold or leased, or obtaining information from the CNMI Recorder's Office. These assessments and concluse ions shall be documented and made readily available. The Accounting Division will work closely with the Loan Division in obtaining appraisals once every two years per management's recommendation.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-4 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation				
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004				
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09				
	through 07/31/10				
Area: Eligib	ility				
Questioned Costs:	\$8,130				

<u>Criteria</u>: T he am ount of federal assistance paid on behalf of a fa mily is calculated using the family's annual income less allowable deductions. Owners must verify all deductions claimed by the tenant/applicant to determine the level of federal assistance.

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of the files of fifty-seven tenants receiving housing assistance, the following were noted:

1. Deductions claimed include allowances for dependents that are not the legal children of the household head/co-head. Either the household head and/or co-head are in a guardian/caretaker capacity based on a Genera l/Special Power of Attorney (GPA/SPA) executed by the legal/biological parents of the dependent or the relationship of the household head/co-head to the dependent is not eviden t. We were unable to determ ine if NMHC performed further verification in addition to ob valid dependents. Details are as follows:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period <u>Tested</u>	Certification <u>Effective</u>	Dependents Claimed that are not Legal Children (Household Member Ref. #)	Allowance <u>Claimed</u>	Housing Assistance Payment <u>(HAP) Amount</u>
M01	12/01/08	10/01/08	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	\$ 2,880	\$ 1,108
M24	09/01/09	06/01/09	4	\$ 480	991
M34	11/01/08	09/01/08	3	\$ 480	1,014
M47	02/01/09	11/01/08	8	\$ 480	1,108
K06	11/01/08	08/01/08	4	\$ 480	847
K16	10/01/08	08/01/08	5, 6,7,8	\$ 1,920	700
K20	06/01/09	11/01/08	5	\$ 480	914
K22A	07/01/09	04/01/09	4	\$ 480	775
K23	12/01/08	08/01/08	5, 6	\$ 960	673
					\$ <u>8,130</u>

2. Deductions claimed include an allowance for a full-time student. There was no third party verification in file evidencing the dependent is a full time student. Details are as follows:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-4, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$8,130

Condition, Continued:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Certification Effective	Dependents Claimed that are not Legal Children (Household Member Ref. #)	Allowance <u>Claimed</u>	HAP Amount
M01 K06	12/01/08 11/01/08	10/01/08 08/01/08	3 4	\$ 480 \$ 480	\$ 1, 108 847
		Less	amount already questioned in pre	Total vious condition	1,955 <u>(1,955</u>)
			Questioned cos	ts this condition	\$ <u> </u>

3. Deductions claim ed include a m edical allowan ce. W e were unable to agree the m edical allowance claimed to support in the tenant file. Details are as follows:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period <u>Tested</u>	Certification Effective	Dependents Claimed that are not Legal Children (Household Member Ref. #)	Allowance <u>Claimed</u>	HAP Amount
K06	11/01/08	08/01/08 Less	4 amount already questioned in pre	\$ 480 evious condition	\$ 847 (847)
			Questioned cos	ts this condition	\$ -

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adequate policies and proced ures to ensure that deductions claimed by the tenant/applicant are verified.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with eligibility req uirements and questioned costs of \$8,130.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recommend that NMHC ensu re that deductions claim ed are verified and agreed to relevant supporting documentation.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate policie s and procedures to ensu re deductions claim ed by tenants are verified and agreed to relevant supporting documentation was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-4, Continued
Federal Agency: CFDA Program:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09 through 07/31/10
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$8,130

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will e nsure that o nly general Powers of Attorney f rom the Superior Court are a ccepted. W e will no lo nger accept signe d/notarized v erifications from the bio logical parent or h ousehold m ember. In addition, NMHC will ensure that all d eductions claim ed are verified by a third party.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-5
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$8,879

<u>Criteria</u>: Owners m ust use verif ication m ethods that are acceptable to HUD. The owner is responsible for determining if the verification documentation is adequate and credible. HUD accepts three methods of verification. These are, in order of acceptability, third party verification, review of documents, and fa mily certification. When third party verification is not available, owners m ust document, in the file, efforts m ade to obtain the required verification and the reason if the verification was not obtained. The owner must include the following documents in the applica nt's or tenant's file:

- 1. A written note to file explaining why third party verification is not possible; or
- 2. A copy of the date-stamped original request that was sent to the third party;
- 3. Written notes or do cumentation indicating follow-up efforts to reach the third party to obtain verification; and
- 4. A written note to the file indicating that the request has been outstanding without a response from the third party.

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of fifty-seven tenant files, the following were noted:

1. The following tenant/applicant reported other incom e. No third party verification documentation was in file. However, we di d note the presence of affidavit/notarized statements signed by the tenant/a pplicant. Further, docum entation as to why a third party verification is not possible or documentation indicating that efforts were made to obtain third party verifications was not evident in file. Details are as follows:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Certification <u>Effective</u>	Household <u>Member #</u>	Other I Repo (Mor <u>Inco</u>	orted nthly	HAP Amount
M01 12/	01/08	10/01/08	Head	\$	50	\$ 1,108
M22 07/	01/09	05/01/09	Head	\$	20	1,108
M36 12/	01/08	09/01/08	Head	\$	50	1,014
M40 04/	01/09	09/01/08	Head	\$	20	1,014
K08 06/	01/09	06/01/09	Head	\$	50	914
T06 03/	01/09	08/01/08	Head	\$	50	875
T10 04/	01/09	08/01/08	Head	\$	60	1,069
T16 07/	01/09	04/01/09	Head	\$	50	984
					Total	8,086
		Less amount alrea	dy questioned in p	previous co	ondition	<u>(1,108</u>)

Questioned cost this condition \$ 6,978

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-5, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$8,879

Condition, Continued:

2. For the following income reported by the applicant/tenant, income included on HUD 50059 did not agree to the related third party verification obtained:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period <u>Tested</u>	Certification <u>Effective</u>	Income Included in Form HUD <u>50059</u>	Income Per Third Party Verification	Variance	HAP Amount per <u>HUD 50059</u>	HAP Amount based on Income per <u>Verification</u>	<u>Overpayment</u>
M20 M42	04/01/09 07/01/09	11/01/08 07/01/09	\$ 2,600 \$ 7,410	\$ 1,200 \$ 12,645	\$ 1,400 \$ (5,235)	\$ 1,108 \$ 601	\$ 1,108 \$ 475	\$ <u>126</u>
							Total	\$ <u>126</u>

3. For the following, assets were reported by applicant/tenant; however, a third party verification could not be located in file:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Certification Effective	Household <u>Member #</u>	Asset Type	HAP Amount
K01 T14	07/01/09 05/01/09	11/01/08 08/01/08	Head Head	Land Land	\$ 791 984
					\$ <u>1,775</u>

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is failure to obtain adequate third party verification and failure to document instances when third party verification cannot be obtained.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is n questioned costs of \$8,879.

noncompliance with eligibility req uirements and

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that adequate third party verification is obtained and, when third party verification cannot be obtained, NMHC should ensure that e forts to obtain the required documentation and the reason the verification was not obtained are documented in the tenant file or equivalent.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> Failure to obtain adequate third party verification and failure to docum ent obtained was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-5, Continued		
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation		
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004		
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09		
	through 07/31/10		
Area: Eligib	ility		
Questioned Costs:	\$8,879		
-			

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure adherence to its eligibility documentation requirements.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-6		
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation		
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004		
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09		
	through 07/31/10		
Area: Eligib	ility		
Questioned Costs:	\$12,869		

<u>Criteria</u>: Owners m ust include verification docum entation in the tenant file. Further, NMHC accepts the following notarized statements or signed affidavits from the tenant/applicant as support of income and assets for all adult members of the household:

- 1. Affidavit as to assets
- 2. Statement of unemployment
- 3. Affidavit of zero income of adult member
- 4. Affidavit of child support

Condition: During examination of fifty-seven tenant files, the following were noted:

Unit <u>No.</u>	Month Tested	Re-certification Effective	Household Member #	Documents Not Found in Tenant File	HAP <u>Amount</u>
M04 1 M08 0 M18 M38 0 M44 0 K16 1 K19A 0	1/01/08 3/01/09 12/01/08 4/01/09 8/01/09 0/01/08 3/01/09	09/01/08 09/01/08 09/01/08 02/01/08 02/01/09 08/01/08 08/01/08	4 Head Head, 2 3 Head No 3 Head	Statement of unemployment, Affidavit of zero income, Affidavit as to assets Affidavit of zero income Statement of unemployment Statement of unemployment, Affidavit of zero income, Affidavit as to assets tice of disposition from NAP for food stamp benefits of \$206/mo. Statement of unemployment, Affidavit of zero income, Affidavit as to assets Statement of unemployment, Affidavit of zero income, Affidavit as to assets Statement of unemployment, Affidavit of zero income, Affidavit as to assets.	\$ 1,108 934 683 888 980 700 775
K22A 0 R02 0 R16 0 T02 0 T06 0 T12 0 T16 0 T17 1 T20 0	7/01/09 4/01/09 9/01/09 3/01/09 3/01/09 6/01/09 7/01/09 1/01/08 8/01/09	04/01/09 09/03/08 11/01/08 08/01/08 08/01/08 12/01/08 04/01/09 09/01/08 08/01/09	2 Head Ori 2 Head Head Head Head Head	of asset disposition Statement of unemployment, Affidavit of zero income, Affidavit of child support 77 ginal copy of Affidavit of zero income Original copy of Affidavit as to assets Affidavit of zero income Affidavit of zero income Original copy of employment verification, Notice of disposition from NAP for food stamp benefits of \$44/mo. Affidavit of zero income Affidavit of zero income Affidavit of zero income	5 953 690 1,007 875 984 984 1,024 984
				Total Less amount already questioned in previous finding	14,344 <u>(1,475</u>)

Questioned costs this finding \$ 12.869

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adequate monitoring procedures to ensure that required documentation is obtained and filed.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above conditio n is inadeq uate docum entation of the eligib ility determination and questioned costs of \$12,869.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recomm end that all determinations are obtained and included in file.

relevant docum entation supporting eligibility

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate m onitoring procedu res to ensu re th at req uired documentation is obtained and filed was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fis cal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-6, Continued		
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation		
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004		
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09		
	through 07/31/10		
Area: Eligib	ility		
Questioned Costs:	\$12,869		

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure th household members are obtained and filed.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-7		
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation		
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004		
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09		
	through 07/31/10		
Area: Eligib	ility		
Questioned Costs:	\$904		

<u>Criteria</u>: Adult members of a family must sign consent forms as required verification documents, so the owner can verify sources of fa mily income and family size. The owner m ust consider a family ineligible if adult members refuse to sign applicable consent and verification forms. Consent forms must be signed by:

- 1. The head of household (regardless of age);
- 2. The spouse or co-head of household (regardless of age); and
- 3. Any other family member who is 18 years old or older.

NMHC uses the "Document Package for Applicant's/Tenant's Consent to the Release of Information" (the Document Package) form to document compliance with this requirement.

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of fifty-seven tenant files, the following were noted:

1. The "Docum ent Package" was not signed by one or m ore adult m embers of the applicant/tenant. Details are as follows:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Certification <u>Effective</u>	Not signed by Household <u>Member #</u>	HAP Amount
M04	11/01/08	09/01/08	4	\$ 1,108
K11	01/01/09	01/01/09	2	904
K16	10/01/08	08/01/08	3	700
K19A	03/01/09	08/01/08	Head	<u>775</u>
Total				3,487
Less amount already questioned in previous finding				(2,583)
Questioned cost this finding				\$904

2. The "Document Package" was not signed by the head of household prior to the effective date of certification. Details as follows:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Certification Effective	Signature Date	HAP Amount
M44	08/01/09 Less amo	02/01/09 ount already question	09/09/09 ed in previous finding	\$ 980 _(980)
		Quest	ioned cost this finding	\$

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-7, Continued		
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation		
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004		
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09		
	through 07/31/10		
Area: Eligib	ility		
Questioned Costs:	\$904		

Criteria, Continued:

3. The "Document Package" could not be located:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Certification Effective	HAP Amount
M47	02/01/09 Less amount already qu	11/01/08 uestioned in previous finding	\$ 1,108 (1,108)
		Questioned cost this finding	\$

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adequate monitoring procedures to ensure that required documentation is obtained and filed.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is inadequate docum entation supporting eligibility determination and requirements and questioned costs of \$904.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that relevant documentation supporting eligibility determination is obtained and included in file.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate m onitoring procedu res to ensu re th at req uired documentation is obtained and filed was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fis cal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: N MHC will ensure that docum ents re garding e ligibility req uirements a re maintained.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-8		
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation		
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004		
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09		
	through 07/31/10		
Area: Eligib	ility		
Questioned Costs:	\$6,228		

<u>Criteria</u>: Owners must conduct a certification/re-certification of family income and composition (a) prior to move-in; (b) at least annually; and (c) if there are changes in income, allowances or family characteristics reported between annual re-certification. Owners m ust then recom pute the tenant rents and assistance payments, if applicable, based on information gathered.

NMHC uses a "Cer tification/Re-certification Interview Sheet" to docum ent certifications and recertifications performed. NMHC also uses a "Tenant Obligation to Report Interim Change" form to be signed by tenants. A Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) contract and lease agreement is signed by the tenant and NMHC.

Condition: During examination of fifty-seven tenant files, the following were noted:

1. The following annual re-certifications were not performed in a timely manner:

		Previous Annu	al Certification	Current Annua	al Certification
<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Effective Date	Date Performed	Effective Date	Date Performed
2 604		10/01/07		10/01/00	0.0.11.0.10.0
M01	12/01/08	10/01/07	07/17/07	10/01/08	08/12/08
M22	07/01/09	05/01/08	01/15/08	05/01/09	04/14/09
M38	04/01/09	09/01/07	07/06/07	09/01/08	08/07/08
K01	07/01/09	11/01/07	08/06/07	11/01/08	09/22/08
K08	06/01/09	06/01/08	02/29/08	06/01/09	04/13/09
K22A	07/01/09	04/01/08	12/27/07	04/01/09	02/23/09

2. The following certification/re-certification interview sheets were either (a) not completed; (b) incomplete; or (c) not properly filled out:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period <u>Tested</u>	Effective Date of Certification Per Certification/Re-certification <u>Interview Sheet</u>	Sections of the Interview Sheet that are Incomplete/Not Completed
T04	11/01/08	$\begin{array}{c} 08/01/08\\ 08/01/08\\ 12/01/08\\ 08/01/08\end{array}$	3, 4, 6
T06	03/01/09		2, 3
T12	06/01/09		2, 6
T14	05/01/09		2, 3

3. The certification/re-certification in terview sheet for one tenant was n ot signed prior to the effective date:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Effective Date of Certification Per Certification/Re-certification Interview Sheet	Signature Date
M44	08/01/09	02/01/09	09/09/09

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-8, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$6,228

Condition, Continued:

4. For the following, certification/re-certification interview sheets could not be located in file:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period <u>Tested</u>	Effective Date of Certification Per Form HUD 50059	HAP Amount
K25 K27 R30	01/01/09 08/01/09 12/01/08	01/01/09 07/01/09 08/01/08	\$ 902 820 953
			\$ <u>2.675</u>

5. The HUD 50059, c ertification/re-certification interview sh eet, verification docum ents supporting income, assets and allow ances and other docum ents supporting eligibility for the following could not be located in file:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	HAP Amount
R22 R27	06/01/09 05/01/09	\$ 626
		\$ <u>1,579</u>

6. The tenant obligation to report interim change form was either not in file, not sign ed by the head of household or spouse, or signed after the effective date of certification, for the following:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Certification Effective	Findings Noted	HAP Amount
M25 M44 K16 R02 R16 T12	01/01/09 08/01/09 10/01/08 04/01/09 09/01/09 06/01/09	09/12/08 02/01/09 08/01/08 09/03/08 11/01/08 12/01/09	None in tenant file Signed by Head on 09/09/09 Not signed by Head None in tenant file Not signed by Head Not signed by Head	\$ 1,014 980 700 953 690 <u>984</u>
		Less amo	Total ount already questioned in previous finding Questioned cost this finding	5,321 (4,307) \$ <u>1,014</u>

7. The HUD 50059 and HAP contract and lease am endment effective for the applicable certification/re-certification could not be located in file, for the following:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-8, Continued U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09 through 07/31/10
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$6,228

Condition, Continued:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Certification Effective	HAP Amount
R02 R16	04/01/09 09/01/09	09/03/08 11/01/08	\$ 953 <u>690</u>
	Less amount already q	Total uestioned in previous finding	1,643 (1,643)
		Questioned cost this finding	\$

8. The HAP contract and lease agre ement was not signed by either NMHC or the tenant for the following:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Certification Effective	HAP Amount
M01 M13 M44 K19A	12/01/08 12/01/08 08/01/09 03/01/09	10/01/08 09/01/08 02/01/09 08/01/08	\$ 1108 960 980 <u>775</u>
	Less amount already qu	Total uestioned in previous finding	3,823 (2,863)

Questioned cost this finding \$ 960

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adequate monitoring procedures to ensure that require d certification/re-certification and r elated forms are performed and filed in a timely manner.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with program eligibility requirements and questioned costs of \$6,228.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend NMHC establish adequate monitoring procedures to ensure that required certification/re-certification and related forms are performed and filed in a timely manner.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate m onitoring procedu res to ensu re th at req uired certification/re-certification and related forms are perform ed and filed in a tim ely manner was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-8, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$6,228

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action:

Condition 1 - NMHC will ensure that annual re-certifications are performed in a timely manner and within twelve months of the last certification/re-certification.

Condition 2 - NMHC will ensure that certification/re-certification interview sheets are completed and filed.

Condition 3 - NMHC will ensure that the certification/re-certification interview sheet is signed prior to the effective date and filed.

Condition 4 - NMHC will ensure that certification/re-certification interview sheets are filed.

Condition 5 - NMHC will ensure that the HUD 50059, certification/re-certification interview sheet, verification documents supporting incom e, assets and allowances and other docum ents supporting eligibility are completed and filed.

Condition 6 - NMHC will ensu re that the tenant obligation to report in terim change form is completed, signed prior to the effective date of certification and filed.

Condition 7 - NMHC will ensure that the HUD 50059, the HAP contract and the lease agreem ent are completed and filed.

Condition 8 - NMHC will en sure that the HAP contract and lease agreement are completed, signed and filed.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-9
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$1,710

<u>Criteria</u>: Owners apply their occupancy standard s before assigning the fa mily to a unit. Owne rs should review fa mily size and occupancy standards prior to com pleting required verifications so that if the property cannot accommodate the family, the own er may immediately inform the family of its ineligibility. Add itionally, owners also compare family composition to occupancy standards when there is a change in fa mily size. Owner s should use the occupancy standards established for the property to determine whether the unit is still the appropriate size for the tenant.

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of fifty-seven tenant files, the a ssigned units for the following did not appear reasonable based on the size of the household/family:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	HUD 50059 Effective Date	<u>Unit Size</u>	No. of <u>Household</u>	HAP Amount
M45 R12 T14 T20	10/01/08 07/01/09 05/01/09 08/01/09	09/01/08 07/01/09 08/01/08 08/01/09	4 4 3 3	2 2 1 1	\$ 949 761 984
		Less amount a	already questioned	Total in previous finding	3,678 (1,968)
			Questione	ed costs this finding	\$ <u>1, 710</u>

Justification for the larger unit size assigned was not noted in file.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of ad equate monitoring procedures to ensure that units assigned are in accordance with established occupancy standards.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is nonc ompliance with program eligibility requirements and questioned costs of \$1,710.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recomm end NMHC ensure strict adherence to established program occupancy standards.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate m onitoring procedures to ensure that units assigned are in accordance with established occupancy standards was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-9, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$1,710

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure that strict adherence to the established program occupancy standards are followed and applied during new admissions and unit transfers.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-10			
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation			
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004			
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09			
	through 07/31/10			
Area: Eligib	ility			
Questioned Costs:	\$1,108			

<u>Criteria</u>: To be eligible for Section 8 occupa ncy and housing assistance, applicants and tenants must meet the following requirements, among others:

- 1. Applicants must provide documentation of social security numbers (SSN) for family members at least 6 y ears of ag e and olde r. Adequate d ocumentation includes a social s ecurity card issued by the Social Security Administration or other acceptable evidence of the SSN.
- 2. Only U.S. citizens or eligible noncitizen s may receive assistance under Section 8 program s. All family members, regardless of age, m ust declare their citizensh ip or imm igration status. Owners must obtain proof of citizenship. NMHC's policy is to require all family members to complete and sign a "Declaration of 214 Status" form and obtain copies of birth certificates or other acceptable evidence of citizenship and include such in file.

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of fifty-seven tenant files, the following were noted:

1. The HUD 50059 did not include inf ormation (name, birth date, SSN, etc.) for one household member, thus birth date and SSN could not be verified for the following:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Form HUD 50059 Effective Date	Household Member #	HAP Amount
K01 K08 M22	07/01/09 06/01/09 07/01/09	5 7 6	\$791 914 <u>1,108</u>
	Less amount already qu	Total nestioned in previous finding	2,813 (2,813)
		Questioned cost this finding	\$

2. The birth certificate for one household member could not be located for the following:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Form HUD 50059 Effective Date	Household Member #	HAP Amount	
M27	04/01/09	2	\$ <u>1,108</u>	

3. A copy of the social security card (or other acceptable evidence of the SSN) for the following household member(s) could not be located:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-10, Continued			
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation			
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004			
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09			
	through 07/31/10			
Area: Eligib	ility			
Questioned Costs:	\$1,108			
	·			

Condition, Continued:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Form HUD 50059 Effective Date	Household Member #	HAP Amount
R16 T14	09/01/09 05/01/09	2 Head	\$ 690 <u>984</u>
	Less amount already qu	Total sestioned in previous finding	1,674 (1,674)
		Questioned cost this finding	\$

4. The com pleted and signed "Declaration of Section 214 Status" form for the following household m embers either could not be located or was signed after the effective date of certification:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Form HUD 50059 Effective Date	Household Member #	HAP Amount
M27	04/01/09	8 - Signed 09/09/09	\$ 1,108
M44	08/01/09	6, 7	980
M47	02/01/09	8	1,108
K16	10/01/08	3, 5, 6, 7, 8	700
T14	05/01/09	Head	984
T16	07/01/09	5	984
		Total	5,864
	Less amount already qu	estioned in previous finding	<u>(5,864</u>)
		Questioned cost this finding	\$ <u> </u>

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of systematic filing of relevant documentation supporting social security numbers and citizenship.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with eligibility req uirements and questioned costs of \$1,108.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recommend NMHC ensure that relevant docum entation supporting social security numbers and citizenship are included in file.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> The lack of system atic fi ling of relevant documentation supporting social security numbers and citizenship was reported as a finding in the Sing le Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

2009-10, Continued U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation			
TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004			
nd 08/01/09			
)			

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: Copies of supporting documents were provided and placed in file.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

<u>Auditor Response</u>: Copies of m issing documents which were subsequently provided by the tenants to NMHC were not m ade available. Furth er, it appears that such documents were only requ ested from tenants upon our request and not during the eligibility determination.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

2009-11			
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation			
TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004			
09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09			
through 07/31/10			
ility			
\$775			

<u>Criteria</u>: Owners must develop a method to maintain documentation of the waiting list composition, application status, and actions taken. Further, owners should periodically analyze their waiting list policies and docum entation procedures to determ ine whether an independent party reviewing the list and its supporting docum entation could follow the actions taken, applicable preferences, and reasons why certain individuals m ay have been selected ahead of ot hers on the waiting list. If not, the owner must make the waiting list format and associated practices more transparent.

<u>Condition</u>: During exam ination of fifty-seven tenant files, record s of the waiting lists from which these tenants were selected were not provided for the following six new admissions:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	Form HUD 50059 Effective Date	Admission Date	HAP Amount
M25	01/01/09	09/12/08	09/12/08	\$ 1,014
K09B	06/01/09	03/09/09	03/09/09	775
K11	01/01/09	01/01/09	08/28/08	904
R02	04/01/09	09/03/08	09/03/08	953
T06	03/01/09	08/01/08	06/03/08	875
T10	04/01/09	08/01/08	06/18/08	<u>1,069</u>
	Total ned in previous finding	5,590 <u>(4,815</u>)		
		Ques	tioned cost this finding	\$ <u>775</u>

We were unable to d etermine if selec tion of these tenants was in a ccordance with NMHC's admission policies in its administrative plan.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established policies and procedures in maintaining documentation of the waiting list.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncom pliance with waiting lis t m anagement compliance requirements and questioned costs of \$775.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recomm end NMHC m aintain records of w aiting lists and file this information in the tenant file and/or in a central waiting list selection file.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of established policies and procedures in maintaining documentation of the waiting list was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-11, Continued U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation			
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004			
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09 through 07/31/10			
Area: Eligib	ility			
Questioned Costs:	\$775			

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure supporting evidence of the selection of applicants on the waiting list is based on the following:

- 1. The applicant is selected on a first-come first-serve basis depending on the unit size available.
- 2. The applicant is selected at NMHC's discreti on such as a r eferral victim's f amily with documentation.
- 3. The applicant is selected with notations as to why the family was skipped on the w aiting list; i.e. unable to contact or no security deposit available within the time frame given.
- 4. Upon selection of an applicant, the Housing Specialist will print a list of applicants for the unit size selected and place the document in the tenant's file for prospective move-in.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency: CFDA Program: Federal Award Nos.: Federal Award Periods:	2009-12 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004 09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09 through 07/31/10
Area: Reporting Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: A paper copy of form HUD-52670, Housing Owner's Cert ification and Application for Housing Assistance Paym ents, generated by the ow ner's TRACS software, bearing an original signature and consistent with the corresponding electronic transmission, must be kept on file by the owner for each monthly subsidy period that the owner receives assistance payments. The file must also include a signed copy of for m HUD-52670-A part 1, Schedule of Tenant Assistance Paym ents Due and forms HUD-52671-A through D, Special Clai ms Worksheets (if applic able), generated by the owner's TRACS software, as supporting documentation.

Additionally, housing assistance payments requested per form HUD 52670 must be consistent with housing assistance payments per form HUD 50059 that's submitted electronically.

<u>Condition</u>: During exam ination of form HUD 52670 for assistance paym ents requested during fiscal year 2009, the HAP requested per HUD- 52670 did not agree to the HAP per HUD-50059 for one unit:

Unit No. Period Tested		HAP perHUD 50059HUD 50059Effective Date		HAP per <u>HUD 52670</u>		Variance	
T12	June 2009	\$ 907	12/01/08	\$ 984		\$ (77)	
Cause: The cau	use of the above co	ondition is th	e lack of awar	eness	of the al	bove program	

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of awareness of the a requirements.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with program reporting requirements.

Recommendation: We recommend that program reporting requirements be followed.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> The lack of awareness of the above program requirements was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC adjusted and returned the amount effective December 2008.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

<u>Auditor Response:</u> Docum entation evidencing that adjustments have been m ade from the recertification effective date was not provided.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-13
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area: Reporting Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: The for m HUD-50059 must be subm itted electronically to HUD each tim e the PHA completes an admission, annual reexamination, interim reexamination, move-in, or other change of unit for a f amily, am ong others. The signed HUD-50059 should be filed in the tenant file. Additionally, information reported in form HUD-50059 must be consistent with underlying records or supporting documentation.

The owner must sign and obtain the signature of the head, spouse, co-head, and all adult fam ily members on the copy of the HUD-50059 certifying to the information that is transmitted to HUD or the Contract Adm inistrator, whether the HUD- 50059 was produced on site or received from а service provider. The signed HUD-50059 should be f iled in the tenant file . In all cases, the computer generated HUD-50059 must include the required tenant signatures and owner signatures prior to subm itting the data to the Contract Administrator or HUD. The owner m av consider extenuating circumstances when an adult fam ily member is not available to sign the HUD-500 59; for example, an adult serving in the military, students away at college, a dults who are hospita lized for an extended period of time, or a family member who is permanently confined to a nursing home or hospital. The owner must document in the file why the signature(s) was not obtained and, if applicable, when the signature(s) will be obtained.

<u>Condition</u>: During exam ination of for m HUD 50059 for fifty-seven tenant s, the following were noted:

1. The HUD-50059 for the following was not signed by one or more adult household members:

		Not signed by Tenant
Unit No.	Form HUD 50059 Effective Date	(Household Member #)
M01	10/01/08	2
M13	09/01/08	2
K06	08/01/08	3, 4
K16	08/01/08	3
K19A	08/01/08	1
K20	11/01/08	2, 3, 4
K22A	04/01/09	2
T04	08/01/08	2

2. Copies of HUD-50059 effective for the selected period were not signed by NMHC for the following:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-13, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area: Reporting	-

Area: Reporting Questioned Costs:

\$-0-

Condition, Continued:

	Form HUD 50059		Form HUD 50059
<u>Unit No.</u>	Effective Date	Unit No.	Effective Date
M01	10/01/08	K02B	10/01/08
M03	11/01/08	K04	09/01/08
M04	09/01/08	K06	08/01/08
M06	01/01/09	K08	06/01/09
M08	09/01/08	K09B	03/09/09
M10	11/01/08	K11	01/01/09
M13	09/01/08	K13	08/01/08
M16	11/01/08	K16	08/01/08
M18	09/01/08	K19A	08/01/08
M20	11/01/08	K20	11/01/08
M22	05/01/09	K22A	04/01/09
M24	06/01/09	K25	01/01/09
M25	09/12/08	K27	07/01/09
M27	10/01/08	R30	08/01/08
M29	06/01/09	T02	08/01/08
M30	09/01/08	T04	08/01/08
M32	09/01/08	T06	08/01/08
M34	09/01/08	T08	08/01/08
M36	09/01/08	T10	08/01/08
M40	09/01/08	T12	12/01/08
M42	07/01/09	T14	08/01/08
M44	02/01/09	T16	04/01/09
M45	09/01/08	T17	09/01/08
M47	11/01/08	T20	08/01/09
K01	11/01/08		

3. The HUD-50059 for the following was not signed by the tenant prior to the effective date of certification/re-certification:

Unit No.	Form HUD 50059 Effective Date	Date Signed by Tenant
M44	02/01/09	07/16/09
K01	11/01/08	12/09/09
K23	08/01/08	09/18/09

4. The original signed copy of the HUD-50059 could not be located in file.

<u>Unit No.</u>	Form HUD 50059 Effective Date	HAP Amount
R30	08/01/08	\$ 953

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-13, Continued U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09 through 07/31/10
Area: Reporting Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Condition, Continued:

5. The form HUD-50059 for the following could not be located in file:

		HAP Requested Per
Unit No.	Period Tested	<u>Form HUD 52670</u>
R02	04/01/09	\$ 953
R16	09/01/09	\$ 690
R22	06/01/09	\$ 626
R27	05/01/09	\$ 953

IIAD Dagwagtad Dag

6. For "Section C. House hold Information" of form HUD-50059, there was one instance noted where a household m ember's birth date did not agree to the date reflected in the b irth certificate. Details are as follows:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Form HUD-50059 Effective Date	Household #	HAP Amount
M10	07/01/09	3	\$ 915

7. For "Section C. Hous ehold Information" of for m HUD- 50059, the following instance was noted wherein the household member's name did not agree to the name reflected on the social security card:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Form HUD-50059 Effective Date	Household #	Inconsistent Information
K16	10/01/08	4	Last Name

8. The HAP paym ent per form HUD 52670 did not agree to form HUD-50059 and a reconciliation of the v ariance was not provided. No question ed cost results as the total HAP for this unit was already questioned in a previous finding.

<u>Unit No.</u>	Period Tested	HAP Requested Per Form HUD 52670	HAP Per Form HUD 50059	Variance
T12	06/01/09	\$ 984	\$ 907	\$ 77

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is a lack of oversight by NMHC personnel when preparing form HUD-50059.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with program reporting requirements.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-13, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
Area: Reporting	through 07/31/10
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that program reporting requirements are a dhered to. Further, we recommend that a signed final copy of the form HUD-50059 is on file.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> The lack of ove rsight by NMHC personnel when preparing form HUD-50059 was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure that program reporting requirements are adhered to. The HS will obtain a sign ed HUD 50059 with the appropriate signature and place the document in the tenant's file. Continuing efforts will be made to provide copies when found. However, a new 50059A (applies to Gross Rent Change and Move-Out Tenants) does not require the signature for other adult household members.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately, FY 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency: CFDA Program: Federal Award Nos.: Federal Award Periods:	2009-14 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004 09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
Area: Reporting	through 07/31/10
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Criteria: All applicable federal reporting requirements must be adhered to.

<u>Condition</u>: The March 2009 com pliance supplement for CFDA 14.182 provide d for the following reporting requirements for the program:

- 1. HUD-52663, Requisition for Partial Payment of Annual Contributions (OMB No. 2577-0169);
- 2. HUD-52681, Voucher for Payment of Annual Contributions and Operating Statement (OMB No. 2577-0169); and
- 3. HUD-50058, Family Report (OMB No. 2577-0083)

The above reports were not prep ared by NMHC during fiscal year 2009. NMHC be lieves that the above reports do not apply to the program. However, relevant documentation or correspondence supporting non-applicability of the above reporting requirements was not provided.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of awareness b y NMHC of all reporting requirements of the program.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with federal reporting requirements.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend NMHC identify all applicable federal reporting requirements and ensure that these are adhered to. Further, we recommend that relevant documentation supporting non-applicability of certain reporting requirements is obtained and maintained.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of awareness by N MHC of all reporting requirem ents of the program was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Roger A. Dris, Chief Accountant and Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will com ply with all applic able federal reporting re quirements and ensure that relevant docum entation supporting non-a pplicability of certain reporting requirem ents are obtained and maintained accordingly.

- 1. HUD-52663 (Requisition for Partial Payment of Annual Contributions)
- 2. HUD-52681 (Voucher for Payment of Annual Contributions and Operating Statement)
- 3. HUD-50058 (Family Report)

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately, FY 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-15
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$131,450

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with fe deral regulations, the initial renewal rent applicable to NMHC projects is determined at the lesser of (a) the annual adjusted rent potential of expiring contracts and (b) the section 8 contract rent potential from the budget-based rent determination.

<u>Condition</u>: The budge ts reported by NMHC in the budget worksheet attached to the contract renewal request forms for the period below are higher when compared to historical results of actual expenses from prior fiscal years and appear inconsistent with established budgets for the related fiscal year.

				Average		Excess of	Estimated	
		Budget	Per NMHC's	Actual		Approved	Project	
		Reported in	Budget	Expenditures		Rent over	Occupancy	
	NMHC's	the Contract	Schedule for	(from Fiscal	Total Rent	Average	Rate during	Estimated
	Certification	Renewal	Fiscal Year	Years 2006	Approved	Actual	Fiscal Year	Questioned
Project No.	Date	Request Form	2008	thru 2008)	by Grantor	Expenses	2009	Costs
TQ100011005	03/26/09	\$ 575,927	\$ 478,512	\$ 531,647	\$ 575,160	\$ 43,513	86.83%	\$ 37,780
TQ100016004	03/26/09	\$ 338,215	\$ 265,032	\$ 279,077	\$ 323,820	\$ 44,743	87.04%	38,940
TQ100006004	05/16/08	\$ 315,229	\$ 279,720	\$ 172,287	\$ 313,080	\$ 140,793	32.92%	46,350
TQ100011001	05/16/08	\$ 218,684	\$ 191,184	\$ 207,613	\$ 216,816	\$ 9,203	91.03%	8,380
								\$ <u>131,450</u>

The estimated project occupancy rate during fiscal year 2009 represents the percentage of actual housing assistance payments (excluding utility reim bursements) in excess of total contract rent approved by the grantor.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established policies and procedures in determining the reasonableness of reported budgets.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the inco rrect determ ination of contract ren ts and questioned costs of \$131,450.

Recommendation: We recommend that reported budgets are reviewed for reasonableness.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of established policies and procedures in determining reasonableness of budgets reported was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Roger Dris, Chief Accountant a nd Zerlyn A. Tai manao, Program and Housing Manager

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency: CFDA Program:	2009-15, Continued U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.: Federal Award Periods:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Area: Questioned Costs:	through 07/31/10 Special Tests and Provisions \$131,450

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure that reported budg ets are approved by the grantor on time by submitting the Operating Cost Adjustm ent Factor (OCAF) FORM 9624 and 9625, the Rent Schedule 92458, the Budget W orksheet 92547-A and the OCAF posted on Federal Register for the particular year. The auditor should follow the timing principle by using the same fiscal year for total rent approved by grantor and actual HAP claims received for the same fiscal year.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

<u>Auditor Response</u>: The issue is the need for NMHC to ensure that reported budgets are reasonable. As indicated in the criteria, rent is determ ined at the lesser of (a) the annual adjustm ent rent potential of expiring contract and (b) the Secti on 8 contract rent potential from the budget-based rent determ ination. The budget reported by N MHC supporting rent determ ination appears to be overstated as compared to budgets provided for examination and to actual program expense in prior years.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-16
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: The PHA or owner must provide housing that is decent, safe and sanitary. To achieve this end, the PHA must perform housing quality inspections at the time of initial occupancy and at least annually thereafter to assure that the units are d ecent, safe and sanitary. Owners must document these inspections, as well as actions taken to address deficiencies, if any, noted during inspections.

<u>Condition</u>: During tests of NMHC's compliance with housing quality s tandards requirement, the following were noted:

1. The com pleted unit inspection form, if any, evidencing unit inspections perform ed during fiscal year 2009 could not be located for the following thirty-three units.

Unit No.	Period Tested	Unit No.	Period Tested
M01	12/01/08	M47	02/01/09
M03	06/01/09	K01	07/01/09
M04	11/01/08	K02B	03/01/09
M10	07/01/09	K04	03/01/09
M13	12/01/08	K08	06/01/09
M16	04/01/09	K11	01/01/09
M18	12/01/08	K13	11/01/08
M20	04/01/09	K16	10/01/08
M24	09/01/09	K20	06/01/09
M25	01/01/09	K22A	07/01/09
M27	04/01/09	R02	04/01/09
M29	08/01/09	R12	07/01/09
M32	10/01/08	R16	09/01/09
M34	11/01/08	R22	06/01/09
M38	04/01/09	R27	05/01/09
M40	04/01/09	R30	12/01/08
M44	08/01/09	R30	12/01/08

We are unable to determine if the required housing quality inspections were performed.

2. The housing quality inspections performed during fiscal year 2009 were not conducted within twelve months from the previous housing quality inspections for the following:

	HQS Inspections Performed	
Unit No.	During FY 2009	Prior HQS Inspection Date
M06	12/08	11/07
M30	05/09	04/08
M36	03/09	08/06
M42	05/09	04/08
M45	02/09	01/08
K25	12/08	11/07

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-16, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Condition, Continued:

3. The NMHC inspector r eports for the f ollowing indicated deficiencies. However, relevant documentation indicating whether the deficienci es were ad dressed an d/or whether needed repairs were timely completed could not be located in file:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Date of HQS Inspection	Overall Inspection Results
M06	12/08	Not indicated
M08	05/09	Inconclusive
M12	07/09	Inconclusive
M22	02/09	Inconclusive
M36	03/09	Pass
M45	02/09	Inconclusive
K25	12/08	Inconclusive
T02	02/09	Pass
T06	03/09	Pass
T08	02/09	Pass
T10	04/09	Pass
T12	10/08	Pass
T14	04/09	Pass
T16	01/09	Pass

4. The NMHC inspector report in file was not completely filled out or som e sections were not completed, for the following:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Date of HQS Inspection	Overall Inspection Results
T04	04/09	Pass
T06	03/09	Pass
T10	04/09	Pass
T12	10/08	Pass
T17	06/09	Pass
T20	03/09	Pass

5. The NMHC inspector did not complete the ove section of the report for the following tenant:

rall insp ection results/summ ary decision

<u>Unit No.</u>	Date of HQS Inspection
M06 12/	08

6. The NMHC inspector did not sign the report for the following tenant:

<u>Unit No.</u>	Date of HQS Inspection
M06 12/	08

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-16, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09
	through 07/31/10
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adhere nce to estab lished policies and procedures relating to unit inspections.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncom pliance with housing quality stand ards requirements.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend adherence to existing policies and procedures relating to unit inspections. Additionally, we recommend that HQS procedures performed, as well as actions taken to address deficiencies noted during inspections, be documented in file.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> The lack of adherence to es tablished policies and procedures relating to unit inspections was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Zerlyn A. Taim anao, Program and Housing Manager and Roger A. Dris, Chief Accountant

Corrective Action:

Condition 1 - NMHC will ensure that all forms pertaining to unit in spections are completed and filed.

Condition 2 - NMHC will ensure that in spections are performed within twelve months of the previous inspection.

Condition 3 - NMHC will ensure that inspections with deficiencies are addressed and completed in a timely manner.

Conditions 4, 5 and 6 - NMHC will ensure th at reports per taining to in spections are completed, signed and filed.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-17	
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	
CFDA Program:	14.182 Section 8 New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation	
Federal Award Nos.:	TQ100011005, TQ100016004, TQ100011001 and TQ100006004	
Federal Award Periods:	09/01/06 through 08/31/11, 08/01/08 through 07/31/09 and 08/01/09 through 07/31/10	
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions	
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-	

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with fe deral regulations, the PHA or owne r must (a) estab lish or ensu re tenant utility allowances based on utility consumption and rate data for various sized units, structure types, and fuel types, (b) m ake a n annual revi ew of tenant utility allowances to determ ine reasonableness, and (c) adjust the allowances, when appropriate.

<u>Condition</u>: NMHC perform ed a review of util ity rates as of Septe mber 2008, however, the new utility schedule was only approved in June 2009 and implemented effective July 1, 2009. There was no evidence that a review of utility rates in fiscal year 2009 has been performed. Therefore, the required annual review of the utility allowance schedule was not evident in fiscal year 2009.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is lack of monitoring to ensure that the required annual review of the utility allowance schedule is performed.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of t he above condition is nonc ompliance with federal regulations. Since an updated utility schedule is not available, the amount of questioned costs, if any, that arise from the audit is not determinable.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recomm end NMHC implem ent monitoring proce dures to perform the required annual review of the utility allowance schedule.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> The lack of monitoring to ensure that the required annual review of the utility allowance schedule is being performed was reported as a finding in the Single A udit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: T he utility allowance has not changed since April 1, 1990. The Housing Choice Voucher utility allowance changed in July 2009 and was im plemented effective August 2009. NMHC will conduct an annual review of utility allowances to determine their reasonableness and such actions will be documented in the file if there is any indication of a ten percent change.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-18 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
CFDA Program:	14.225 Community Development Block Grants/Special Purpose			
e	Grants/Insular Areas			
Federal Award Nos.:	B-07ST-69-0001 and B-08ST-69-0001			
Federal Award Period:	10/01/07 through 09/30/12			
Area: Davis-Baco	n Act			
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-			

<u>Criteria</u>: As part of NMHC's control procedur es over monitoring compliance with requirements of the Davis-Bacon Act, pre-construc tion conferences and interviews of contract workers at job sites are to be performed.

<u>Condition</u>: Tests of compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act noted the following:

1. Records of employee interviews to support job site interviews of contract employees, were not available for the following CDBG funded projects:

	Contract Number
2	008-007
5	7695-OC
2	007-013
2	008-015
2	008-008
2	008-020
2	008-001
2	008-021A

2. For seven contracts related to CD BG funded projects, there was no evidence that a preconstruction conference was held, as follows:

Contract	Number
5	7695-OC
2	007-013
2	008-008
2	008-020
2	008-001
2	008-021A
2	008-014-B

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of established monitoring procedures to ensure that pre-construction conferences and job site interviews are performed and documented.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is potential noncom pliance with N MHC's control procedures to ensure that requ irements of the Davis-Bacon Act are complied with. No questioned costs result as payroll was paid within prevailing wage rates.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that NMHC establish monitoring controls to ensure that required procedures rela ting to job site in terviews and pre-construction conferences are p erformed and documented.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-18, Continued			
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
CFDA Program:	14.225 Community Development Block Grants/Special Purpose			
_	Grants/Insular Areas			
Federal Award Nos.:	B-07ST-69-0001 and B-08ST-69-0001			
Federal Award Period:	10/01/07 through 09/30/12			
Area: Davis-Baco	n Act			
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-			

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of es tablished monitoring procedures to ensure that job site interviews are performed and docum ented was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fis cal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Jocelyn S. Kaipat, Office Manager/Procurement Officer and Clemente S. Sablan, Technical Coordinator

Corrective Action:

- 1. Employee interviews at the job site s are com pleted and NMHC will ensure compliance with the r equirements of the Davis-Bac on Act. The Office Manager will rece ive job interview sheets and coordinate with field staf f accountable for interviewing and docum enting worker interviews at CDBG-funded job site s. Interviews of workers f or projects on Saip an will be coordinated between the Office Manager and the Technical Coordinator who will conduct all field site v isits and ins pections. Travel auth orizations f or of f-island projects will not be approved u ntil in terviews with pr ior travels are subm itted and filed by the Technical Coordinator with the Accounting Office.
- 2. NMHC will ensure that all pr e-construction conference documents/evidence are provided in each project file.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately/Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-19 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
CFDA Program:	14.225 Community Development Block Grants/Special Purpose			
C	Grants/Insular Areas			
Federal Award No.:	B-07ST-69-0001			
Federal Award Period:	10/01/07 through 09/30/12			
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management			
Questioned Costs:	\$-Ô-			

<u>Criteria</u>: The Common Rule states that proced uses for managing equipment, whether acquired in whole or in part with grant funds, will meet the following requirements:

- 1. Property records must be maintained that include a description of the property, a serial number or other identification number, the source of property, who hold title, the acquisition date, the cost of the property, percentage of Federal participation in the cost of the property, the location use and condition of the property, and any ultimate disposition data including the date of disposal and sale price of the property;
- 2. A physical inventory of the pr operty m ust be taken and the results reconciled with the property records at least once every two years;
- 3. A control system must be developed to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage, or theft of the property;
- 4. Adequate maintenance procedures must be developed to keep the property in good condition; and
- 5. If the grantee or subgrantee is authorized or required to se ll the property, proper sales procedures must be established to ensure the highest possible return.

Further, the grante e must establish and implement property management controls over the transf er of properties to subrecipients.

<u>Condition</u>: NMHC does not m aintain a listing of equipm ent pur chased using federal funds. Further, NMHC did not perform a physical count of equipm ent for the last two fiscal years. As fixed asset records are not eff ectively maintained and updated and a physical count has not been performed, NMHC has not effectively developed a m eans to ensure that equipment are adequately safeguarded from loss, dam age, theft, or that investigations of such losses could reasonably occur. Total capital outlays or expenses, other than administrative expenses, incurred for the fiscal y ears ended September 30, 2009, 2008 and 2007 a mounted to \$1,312,828, \$2,118,535 and \$387,412, respectively.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adequate p rocedures to ensure property management controls are maintained and implemented.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is a lack of compliance with federal regulations.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-19, Continued			
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
CFDA Program:	14.225 Community Development Block Grants/Special Purpose			
C	Grants/Insular Areas			
Federal Award No.:	B-07ST-69-0001			
Federal Award Period:	10/01/07 through 09/30/12			
Area:	Equipment and Real Property Management			
Questioned Costs:	\$-Ô-			

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that NMHC ensu re that equipment maintenance controls over fixed assets are effectively estab lished and i mplemented. We further recomm end that equipment listings are m aintained and updated and adequate procedures for equipment maintenance and safeguards against loss, damage or theft of equipment are implemented.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Roger A. Dris, Chief Accountant

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure that property maintenance controls over fixed assets are effectively established and implemented. We will perform fixed asset inventory counts every two years as a corrective action in the future.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-20 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
CFDA Program:	14.225 Community Development Block Grants/Special Purpose			
C	Grants/Insular Areas			
Federal Award No.:	B-07ST-69-0001			
Federal Award Period:	10/01/07 through 09/30/12			
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment			
Questioned Costs:	\$204,830			

<u>Criteria</u>: Federal regulations state that sm all purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies or other property that do not cost more than the simplified threshold fixed at 41 U.S.C. 403(11) (currently set at \$100,000). If sm all purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations shall be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources. Further, brand names should not be indicated in the request of proposals or solicitations so as not to limit competition. Specifically, the CNMI's Procurement Regulations state the following:

- Price quotations should be obtained from at least three vendors for purchases between \$2,500 and \$9,999.99. Any price quotations obtained must be written, documented and submitted for approval. Bidding is not required for procurement under \$2,500.
- Procurements amounting to \$10,000 and above shoul d be procured usin g competitive sealed bidding. The invitation for bids shall be public ized in order to increase competition and broaden industry participation. A bidding period of at least thirty days shall be provided unless a shorter period is determ ined to be re asonable and necessary, but shall never be less than fifteen days.
- For procurement methods that perm it contracting without using full and open competition, a written justification memo should be prepared and submitted by the expenditure authority and approved by the Procurement and Supply Director.
- For change orders, the agency shall thoroughly assess whether or not it would be more prudent to seek competition before adding significant new work to existing contracts. Change orders on construction and A&E contracts, which exceed 25% of the cumulative contract price, shall automatically be procured through com petitive procedures, except when the procurem ent of the additional work to be performed is authorized without using full and open competition.

<u>Condition</u>: Of \$1,528,092 of program expenses, twenty-nine items totaling \$1,447,431 were tested. Tests of expenses noted the following:

1. For eight expenses related to two contracts, copies of proposals from unselected vendors could not be provided; thus, we could not verify if the selected vendor was indeed the lowest bidder, as follows:

	Contract Number	GL Date	GL Amount
57 5 2	57695-OC 695-OC 7695-OC 008-020	02/05/09 02/20/09 03/05/09 11/05/08	\$ 44,664 3,493 12,018 18,363

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-20, Continued U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
CFDA Program:	14.225 Community Development Block Grants/Special Purpose			
e	Grants/Insular Areas			
Federal Award No.:	B-07ST-69-0001			
Federal Award Period:	10/01/07 through 09/30/12			
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment			
Questioned Costs:	\$204,830			

Condition, Continued:

2 2 2

	Contract Number	GL Date	GL Amount
20 20 2	08-020 08-020 008-020	11/18/08 11/05/08 11/18/08	4,932 3,147 16,578
	2008-020	12/05/08	<u>4,780</u> \$ <u>107,975</u>

2. For four expenses related to one contract, we noted two change orders (CO #1 for \$61,239 and CO #3 for \$67,076) in which the am ount of the change order exceeded 25 percent of the cumulative contra ct p rice. Althou gh the re w as docum entation of ad ditional work to be performed, the justification documenting that the additional work could not be foreseen at the time the original contract was procured or that an emergency situation existed was not evident.

	Contract Number	GL Date	GL Amount
2	008-007	11/05/08	\$ 50,844
	008-007	10/06/08	28,553
	008-007	11/05/08	16,840
	2008-007	03/02/09	618
			\$ <u>96,855</u>

<u>Cause</u>: The causes of the above conditions are inadequate documentation of purch ases and weak controls over ensuring that all procurement policies and procedures are implemented.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with applicable procurement regulations and questioned costs of \$204,830.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that NMHC stre ngthen internal controls over procurem ent to maximize competition. If exceptions are allowed by procurem ent regulations, they should be reviewed, approved and docum ented in the procurement file. We further recommend that NMHC strengthen procedures on procurement file maintenance.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> The inadequate documentation of purchases and weak controls over ensuring that all procurement policies and procedures are implemented was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-20, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.225 Community Development Block Grants/Special Purpose
	Grants/Insular Areas
Federal Award No.:	B-07ST-69-0001
Federal Award Period:	10/01/07 through 09/30/12
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$204,830

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Jocelyn S. Kaipat, Office M anager/Procurement Officer and Zenie Mafnas, Grant Writer/Planner

Corrective Action:

1) \$107,975 - Requested Proposals from Unselected Vendors

NMHC will str engthen internal controls over procurement to m aximize competition and strengthen procedures on procurement file maintenance.

2) \$96,855 - Docum entation outlining unforeseen e mergency situations was submitted by the Water Task Force Prog ram Manager and resu bmitted here. The Co mmonwealth Utilities Corporation requested for CO #1 the day after the Notice to Proceed was issued to bypass the connection so 1,027 custom ers would not be w ithout water for five months. Supporting attachments were also previous ly submitted to the auditors along with the letter explain ing such. Please see these for a com prehensive explanation. CO #3 was also necessitated by unforeseen circumstances and the funding utilized were CIP funds unrelated to NMHC.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately/Ongoing

Auditor Response:

\$107,975 - As noted in condition #1 above, copies of requested proposals from unselected vendors were not provided for our examination.

\$96,855 - The documentation evidencing existence of an emergency situation to support the change orders was prepared only upon our request. F urther, considering that m ajor rehabilitation works will be done in the water reservoir, ensuring continuous water supply to possible affected customers should have been a foreseen circumstance upfront.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-21 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.225 Community Development Block Grants/Special Purpose
e	Grants/Insular Areas
Federal Award No.:	B-07ST-69-0001
Federal Award Period:	10/01/07 through 09/30/12
Area: Subrecip	ient Monitoring
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to 24 CFR section 570.503, before disbursing any CDBG funds to a subrecipient, the recipient shall s ign a written ag reement with the subrecipient. The agreement shall include provisions concerning: the stat ement of work, records and reports, program income and uniform administrative requirements under 24 CFR section 570.503. Further, pass-th rough entities m ust establish and implement subrecipient monitoring policies and procedures.

<u>Condition</u>: For one subrecipien t tested, the subrecipient ag reement, progre ss reports and documentation of site visits evid encing that su brecipient monitoring policies and procedures are implemented could not be provid ed. Further, NMHC did not pe rform physical counts of fixed assets with subrecipients in fiscal years 2008 and 2009. Details as follows:

	Contract Number	GL Date	GL Amount
20 20 20 2	08-020 08-020 08-020 008-020	11/05/08 11/18/08 11/05/08 11/18/08	\$ 18,363 4,932 3,147 16,578
	2008-020	12/05/08	4,780
То	Less amount already quest	tal tioned at previous finding	47,800 (47,800)
	Qu	estioned cost this finding	\$

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is failure to implement established monitoring policies and procedures and lack of documentation of monitoring procedures performed.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is requirements.

noncom pliance with s ubrecipient m onitoring

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recomm end that NMHC im plement es tablished subrecipient m onitoring policies and procedures. W e further recomm end that NMHC docum ent m onitoring procedures performed on subrecipients.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The failure to implement established monitoring polic ies and procedures and lack of documentation of monitoring procedures performed was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-21, Continued U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.225 Community Development Block Grants/Special Purpose
C	Grants/Insular Areas
Federal Award No.:	B-07ST-69-0001
Federal Award Period:	10/01/07 through 09/30/12
Area: Subrecip	ient Monitoring
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Zenie P. Mafnas, Grant W riter/Planner and Clem ente Sablan, Technical Coordinator

Corrective Action: In the future, NMHC will ensure more stringent monitoring of subrecipients on a more frequent basis.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-22 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.225 Community Development Block Grants/Special Purpose
C	Grants/Insular Areas
Federal Award No.:	B-07ST-69-0001
Federal Award Period:	10/01/07 through 09/30/12
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to 24 CF R Section 570.506, when CDBG funds ar e used for rehabilitation, the grantee must assure that the work is properly completed.

<u>Condition</u>: For one rehabilitati on project (contract # 2008-007) test ed, the final inspection report and Certificate of Acceptance of Completed Structure and Contractor's Release, evidencing that the rehabilitation project has been completed, could not be provided. Details are as follows:

	Contract Number	GL Date	GL Amount
20 2 20	08-007 008-007 08-007	11/05/08 10/06/08 11/05/08	\$ 50,844 28,553 <u>16,840</u>
То	Less amount already qu	tal nestioned at previous finding	96,237 (96,237)
		Questioned cost this finding	\$

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is weak controls to ensure that re habilitation projects are properly completed.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with the 24 CFR requirement.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that NMHC streng then procedures to ensure that rehabilitation projects are properly completed.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Jocelyn S. Kaipat, Office Manager/Procurement Officer

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure that rehabilitation projects are properly completed and all documents are properly filed in each designated project file.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately/Ongoing

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-23 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$8,494

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with 24 CFR Part 982.158 and 982 subpart K, the PHA must pay m onthly HAP on be half of the fa mily that correspond s with the amount on line 12u of the HUD-50 058, Family Report. This HAP amount must be reflected on the HAP contract and HAP register.

<u>Condition</u>: Of \$3,406,966 rental disbursements, seventy HAP rental disbursements totaling \$64,189 were tested. For seven HAP disbursements, the supporting HUD-50058 and HAP contract were not in file. Details are as follows:

	Period Tested	Household No.	HAP Amount
10/	02/08	H01232	\$ 1,100
12/ 01/	02/08 02/09	H01232 H01069	$1,100 \\ 1,100$
04/ 01/	01/09 02/09	H01186 HR0015A	690 750
08/ 03/	01/09 12/09	H01066	566
03/ 09/	01/09	HR0025 HR0009B	2,088 <u>1,100</u>
			\$ <u>8,494</u>

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is weak controls over ensuring that HUD 50058 and HAP contracts are completed, submitted and maintained in file.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the misstatement of HAP payments and question ed costs of \$8,494. This matter is reportable as the projected questioned costs exceed \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend NMHC strengthen controls to ensure that HUD 50058 and HAP contracts are completed, submitted and maintained in file.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> W eak controls to ensure that HUD 50058 and H AP contracts are com pleted, submitted and maintained in file was reported as a f inding in the Single Audit of CDA f or fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure that HUD 50058 a nd HAP contracts are com pleted, submitted and filed.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-24
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Questioned Costs:	\$43,442

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, Section 11.h (4), when employees work on multip le ac tivities or cos t objectives, a di stribution of their salar ies or wages will be supported by personnel activity re ports or equivalent docum entation unless a statistical sam pling system or other substitute system has been a pproved by the cognizant Federal agency. Such documentary report will be required where em ployees work on m ore than one Federal award or a Federal award and a non-Federal award.

Condition: Tests of administrative expenses totaling \$223,552 noted the following:

- 1. Office supp lies expenses charged to the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, amounting to \$9,223, were estimated by a percentage of salaries charged to the program over the total NMHC salaries. No doc umentation evidencing grantor approval of this allocation could be provided.
- 2. Salary expense and retirem ent benefits char ged to the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, of \$150,299 and \$42,442 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, were allocated based on a quarterly hours worked certification, and supporting timesheets signed by employees, and approved by the employees' im mediate supervisor. Salary expense and retirement benefits recorded in the general ledger are higher by \$20,049 and \$14,170, respectively, than the expected amounts based on quarterly allocation percentages.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of awareness of requirements of OMB Circular A-87, attachment B, Section 11.h (4).

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with allowable costs/cost principles and questioned cost of \$43,442.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that app roval from the grantor is obtained for all expenses allocated to the program. We further recommend that salaries and benefits charged to the program are based on approved quarterly hours worked certification.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Roger A. Dris, Chief Accountant

Corrective Action: NMHC has implemented the timesheet certification for quarterly calculations, which has been approved by the grantor.

Proposed Completion Date: Ongoing

<u>Auditor Response</u>: Docum entation evidencing grantor's approval of the quarterly certification of actual hours worked and allocation percentage for other shared expenses was not provided.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-25
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$9,291

<u>Criteria</u>: T he am ount of federal assistance paid on behalf of a fa mily is calculated using the family's annual income less allowable deductions. Owners must verify all deductions claimed by the tenant/applicant to determine level of federal assistance.

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of seventy tenant files, the following were noted:

1. For seven tenants, deductions cl aimed included an allowance for dependent(s) which is (are) not biological or legal children of the househol d head/co-head. The household head/co-head are in a guardian /caretaker capacity based on eith er a general power of attorney, a notarized affidavit or an appointment of guardianship ex ecuted by the biological or legal parents of the dependent (s). W e we re unable to determ ine if NMHC perform ed fu rther verification to ensure that such are valid dependents. Details are as follows:

			Dependents Claimed that are not Legal Children		
		Certification	(Household	Allowance	HAP
Household No.	Period Tested	Effective	Member Ref. #)	Claimed	Amount
H01102	11/03/08	10/01/08	3	\$ 480	\$ 1,300
HO1159A	04/01/09	06/01/08	5	\$ 480	850
H01037A	06/01/09	11/01/08	3	\$ 480	900
HO1185	07/01/09	07/01/09	6	\$ 480	1,100
H01070	07/01/09	07/01/09	4, 5, 6, 7	\$ 1,920	1,200
H01062	08/01/09	06/01/09	2	\$ 480	941
HO1333	09/01/09	01/30/09	3	\$ 480	1,200
					\$ <u>7,491</u>

2. For one tenant, deductions claim ed included an allowance for a full-tim e student; however, there was no third party verification noted in the file evidencing that the dependent was a full-time student. Details are as follows:

			Dependents Claimed that are		
Household No.	Period Tested	Certification Effective	not Legal Children (Household <u>Member Ref. #)</u>	Allowance <u>Claimed</u>	HAP <u>Amount</u>
H01155A	12/02/08	09/16/08	3, 4	\$ 960	\$ <u>1,300</u>

3. For one tenant, there was no third party verification in file supporting a disability allowance as follows:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-25, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$9,291

Condition, Continued:

		Dependents						
			Claimed that are					
			not Legal Children					
		Certification	(Household	Allowance	HAP			
<u>Household No.</u>	Period Tested	Effective	<u>Member Ref. #)</u>	Claimed	<u>Amount</u>			
H01274	01/02/09	07/15/08	Head	\$ 400	\$ <u>500</u>			

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above conditions is a lack of adequate policies and procedures to ensure that all claimed deductions are verified and documented.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncom pliance with eligibility req uirements and questioned costs of \$9,291.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that NMHC streng then policies and procedures in verifying claimed deductions and that such verification be documented in the tenant file.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> The lack of adequate policies and p rocedures to ensure the at all claimed deductions are verified and docum ented was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure that the documents provided by the Superior Court will be accepted as appointment of guardianship and that all allowances and deductions are verified.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-26
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$15,530

<u>Criteria</u>: Owners m ust use verif ication m ethods that are acceptable to HUD. The owner is responsible for determining if the verification documentation is adequate and credible. HUD accepts three methods of verification. These are, in order of acceptability, third-party verification, review of documents, and fa mily certification. W hen third-party verification is not av ailable, owners m ust document, in the file, efforts m ade to obtain the required verification and the reason if the verification was not obtained. The owner must include the following documents in the applica nt's or tenant's file:

- 1. A written note to the file explaining why third-party verification is not possible; or
- 2. A copy of the date-stamped original request that was sent to the third party;
- 3. Written notes or documentation indicating follow-up efforts to reach the third party to obtain verification; and
- 4. A written note to the file indicating that the request has been outstandin g without a response from the third party.

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of seventy tenant files, the following were noted:

1. For the following tenants that reported gr documentation could not be located in file. Docum entation indicating why third party verification was not a vailable, or any docum entation indicating efforts t hat were made t o obtain third party verification, was not in file. Details are as follows:

				Dependents Claimed that are		
		Period	Certification	not Legal Children (Household Ve		
	Household No.	Tested	Effective	Member Ref. #)	Not Found in Tenant File	HAP Amount
	Household No.	Testeu	Lifective	Wiember Kei. #j	Not Pound In Tenant Phe	IIAI Alloulu
	HO1307 11/0	3/ 08	09/26/08	Head	Employment verification (w/ 6 pay stubs); Notice of disposition for food stamp benefits of \$499/mo.	\$ 1,214
HO1320)	12/02/08	09/02/08	Head	Employment verification ($w/6$ pay stubs)	1,199
H01025	A	12/04/08	07/01/08	Head	Notice of disposition for food stam p bene fits of	,
					\$698/mo. 1,	200
	HO1274	01/02/09	07/15/08	Head	Social security disability benefits of \$246/mo	500
H01223		01/02/09	05/29/08	Head	Social security benefits for \$637/mo.	1,084
HO1128	3	02/02/09	04/01/08	Head	Employment verification (w/ 6 pay stubs)	1,300
	H01218	03/03/09	01/01/09	Head	Other income for \$100/mo.	750
H01069		04/01/09	02/01/09	Head	Notice of disposition for food stam p bene fits of	
					\$348/mo. 1,	100
	HO1109A	04/01/09	10/08/08	Head	Other income for \$60/mo.	600
H01069		06/01/09	02/01/09	Head	Notice of disposition for food stamp bene fits of	
					\$348/mo. 1,	100
HO1117	7	08/01/09	06/01/09	Head	Employment verification (w/ only 2 pay stubs)	750
HO1297	7	08/01/09	07/01/09	Head, 2	Employment verification (w/ 6 pay stubs); NMI	
					retirement benefits	392

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-26, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Ouestioned Costs:	\$15,530
Questioned Costs:	\$15,530

Condition, Continued:

<u>Condition, C</u>	<u>_011t11</u>	<u>lucu</u> .		Dependents Claimed that are not Legal Childrer	n Third Party	
Househol	ld No.	Period Tested	Certification Effective	(Household Ve Member Ref. #)		HAP Amount
H01062		08/01/09	06/01/09	Head	Employment ver ification (w/ only 4 pay stubs); Notice of disposition for food stam p bene fits o f \$152/mo. 941	
H01246		09/01/09	06/01/09	Head	Original copy of third par ty affidavit for other income 1,	100
HO13	33	09/01/09	01/30/09	Head	Other income for \$250/mo.	1,200
HO11	71	09/01/09	07/01/09	Head	Other income for \$20/mo.	1,100
HR0028A		06/01/09	06/01/09	Head	Original copies of all third party documentation	750
]	Total 16, Less amount already questioned in previous finding Questioned cost this finding	280 (4,591) \$ <u>11,689</u>

2. For six tenants, there was a notarized affidavient tas to assets declaring accounts with certain banks; however, there was no documentation on file evidencing that verification was requested from the bank.

Household No.	Period <u>Tested</u>	Certification <u>Effective</u>	Dependents Claimed that are not Legal Childrer (Household Vo <u>Member Ref. #)</u>		HAP Amount
H01025A H01193 H01100A H01333 H01114 H01171	12/04/08 01/02/09 03/03/09 09/01/09 05/05/09 09/01/09	07/01/08 08/08/08 04/18/08 01/30/09 05/01/09 07/01/09	Head Head, 2 Head 2 2 Head	Two bank accounts One bank account One bank account One bank account One bank account One bank account	\$ 1,200 445 1,100 1,200 1,037 1,100
]	Total 6, Less amount already questioned in previous finding	082 (3,500)
				Questioned cost this finding	\$ <u>2,582</u>

3. For three tenants, the income reported in HUD Form 50058 did not agree with the third party verification, and there was no doc umentation on file supporting the variance. Details are as follows:

Household No.	Period Tested	Certification Effective	Income Type	Income per HUD Form 50058	Third Party Verification	HAP Amount
HO1307 HO1320 HO1297 H01029	11/03/08 12/02/08 08/01/09 09/01/09	09/26/08 09/02/08 07/01/09 09/01/09	Wages Food stamp Head, 2 Food stamp	\$ 12,333 \$ 6,300 \$ 7,949 \$ 1,596	\$ 13,703 \$ 5,256 \$ 7,605 \$ 1,812	\$ 1,214 1,199 392 600
					Total 3,	405

Less amount already questioned in previous finding (2,805)

Questioned cost this finding \$ 600

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-26, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$15,530

Condition, Continued:

4. For one tenant, the income reported in HUD Form 50058 did not agree with the third party verification:

Household No.	Period Tested	Certification Effective	Income per HUD Form 50058	Income Per Third Party Verification	Variance in Income	Overstatement of Monthly HAP	HAP Amount
HO1062	01/02/09	01/01/09	\$ 20,085	\$ 23,985	\$ 3,900	\$ 97	\$ <u>659</u>

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of adequate policies and procedures to ensure that third party verifications are performed and documented for reported income and assets.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncom pliance with eligibil ity requirem ents and questioned costs of \$15,530.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that NMHC strengthen policies and procedures in perform ing and documenting third party verifications on income and assets declared by tenants/applicants.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> The lack of adequate policies and procedures to ensure that third party verifications are performed and documented for reported income and assets was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure th at all r equired docum entation is com pleted p rior to completing re-certifications and that all reported income is verified and reconciled with verification.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-27
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$8,142

<u>Criteria</u>: Owners m ust include v erification do cumentation in file. F urther, NMHC accepts the following notarized statem ent or signed affidavits from the tenant/applican t as support of incom e and assets for all adult members of the household.

- 1. Affidavit as to assets and verification of income from assets
- 2. Certification of asset disposition
- 3. Statement of unemployment
- 4. Affidavit of zero income of adult member
- 5. Affidavit of child support
- 6. Zero income in-kind contribution checklist

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of seventy tenant files, the following were noted:

1. For twenty-five tenants documents supporting income and as sets for all adult m embers of the household could not be located in the tenant file. Specific documents that could not be located are as follows:

	Period	Certification	Dependents Claimed that are not Legal Children (Household	1	
Household No.	Tested	Effective	Member Ref. #)	Documents Not Found in Tenant File	HAP Amount
HO1326	10/02/08	09/01/08	Head	Statement of unem ployment, Affidavit of child support, Affidavit of zero income of adult m ember, Zero inco me in-kind contribution checklist, and Affidavit as to a ssets and Ver ification of income from Assets	\$ 750
HO1307	11/03/08	09/26/08	Head, 2, 3	Statement of une mployment and Affidavit of zero income #3, Affidavit as to assets and Verification of income from assets for the Head, member #2, and #3	1,214
HO1019A	12/02/08	03/01/08	Head	Zero income in-kind contribution checklist	750
HO1320	12/02/08	09/02/08	Head, 2, 3	Affidavit of assets and Ver ification of income from assets 1.	199
H01025A	12/04/08	07/01/08	Head, 2	Statement of unem ployment for head, Affidavit of zero inco me for head and #2, Z ero inco me in-kind contribution chec klist, A ffidavit of assets and	
	01/02/00	0.5 (1.5 (0.0	** 1	Verification of income from assets #2	1,200
HO1274	01/02/09	07/15/08	Head	Statement of unemployment	500
H01223	01/02/09	05/29/08	Head	Affidavit of zero income	1,084
HO1193	01/02/09	08/08/08	2	Statement of unem ployment and affida vit of zer o income 445	
H01100A	03/03/09	04/18/08	Head, 2	Affidavit of zer o inco me, zero income in -kind checklist 1,100	
H01218	03/03/09	01/01/09	Head	Affidavit of zero income for head, zero income in- kind checklist	750

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-27, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$8,142

Condition, Continued:

Dependents Claimed that are not Legal Children Period Certification (Household Documents Not Found in Tenant File Household No. Member Ref. #) HAP Amount Tested Effective HO1109A 04/01/09 10/08/08 Head Statement of unem ployment, Affidavit of child support, Affidavit of zer o income, Zero income inkind checklist, Affidavit of assets, Ve rification obtained for income from assets and certification of 600 assets disposition HO1287 05/01/09 12/03/08 Head Statement of une mployment, Z ero inco me in- kind checklist, Af fidavit of assets notarized and verification of thir d party obtained for income from 100 assets 1, H01208 05/01/09 09/23/08 Head Zero income in-kind checklist si gned but not filled out 750 HO1159A 06/01/09 06/01/09 Head Zero income in-kind checklist 850 H01303 06/01/09 06/01/09 Head Affidavit of zero income and Z ero income in-kind checklist 1,100 HO1185 07/01/09 07/01/09 Head, 2 Zero inco me in- kind c hecklist si gned but only partially completed, Affidavit of as sets in file not 1,100 notarized H01187 07/01/09 07/01/09 Head Zero inco me in-kind checklist si gned but n ot completely filled out 750 H01063A 08/01/09 07/30/09 Head Affidavit of zero income and Z ero income in-kind checklist 1,100 HO1297 08/01/09 07/01/09 Head Affidavit of zero income 392 H01029 09/01/09 09/01/09 Head Affidavit of zero income not signed by a witness, zero income in-kind checklist 600 H01246 09/01/09 06/01/09 Head, 2 Affidavit of zer o inco me, zero income in -kind checklist 1,100 HO1333 09/01/09 01/30/09 2 Statement of unem ployment and affida vit of zer o 200 income 1. HO1171 09/01/09 07/01/09 Head Zero income in-kind checklist 1,100 HR0028A 06/01/09 06/01/09 Zero income checklist not in file 750 Head HO1114 05/05/09 05/01/09 Certification of asset disposition 1,037 Head Total 22. 521

Less amount already questioned in previous finding (16,221)

Questioned cost this finding $\frac{6,300}{2}$

2. For four tenants, an affidavit of child support could not be located in the tenant file.

H	lousehold No	<u>Period Tested</u>	Certification Effective	HAP Amount
HO HO	HO1326 1326 1335 HO1109A	10/02/08 02/02/09 02/02/09 04/01/09	09/01/08 09/01/08 12/12/08 10/08/08	\$ 750 750 1,092 <u>600</u>
То		Less amount already questi	tal oned in previous finding	3,192 (1,350)
		Que	estioned cost this finding	\$ <u>1,842</u>

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-27, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$8,142

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above conditions is the lack of adequate policies and procedures to ensure documentation supporting income and assets are prepared and maintained in the tenant file.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncom pliance with eligibility req uirements and questioned costs of \$8,142.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recomm end that NMHC strengthen policies and procedures to ensure declared income and assets are supported and documented.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: T he lack of adequate po licies and procedures to ensure docum entation supporting incom e and assets are prepared and m aintained in the tenant file was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: The PHD was inform ed by HUD offi cial, Darlene Kaholokua in March, 2009 that ALL HoH who are not em ployed or receiv ing income from benefits such as trusts, pensio ns, Social Security benefits, etc. must complete a Zero Income In-Kind Contribution Checklist. NMHC will ensure that the HS c ompletes the Zero Income In-Kind Contribution Checklist. Follow-up and compliance will be re inforced by the PHD Manager. In addition, NMHC will ensure that documents such as the statement of unemployment, affidavit of child support, affidavit as to assets, and the verification of income from assets are documented and filed.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-28
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$11,213

<u>Criteria</u>: Owners must conduct a certification/re-certification of family income and composition (a) prior to move-in; (b) at least annually; and (c) if there are changes in income, allowances or family characteristics reported between an nual re-certifications. O wners must then rec alculate the ten ant rents and assistance payments, if applicable, based on information gathered.

A full "Application Form" must be submitted and signed by all adult members and NMHC for new admissions. NMHC uses a " Certification/Re-certification In terview Sheet" to document certifications and re-certifications performed. NMHC also us es a "Te nant Obligation to Rep ort Interim Change" form to be signed by tenants. A HAP contract and lease agreem ent is signed by the tenant and NMHC.

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of seventy tenant files, the following were noted:

1. The HUD 50058, c ertification/re-certification interview sh eet, verification docum ents supporting income, assets and allow ances and other docum ents supporting eligibility for the following could not be located in file:

	Household No.	Period Tested	HAP Amount
H0 H0 H0 HR HR HR	1232 1232 1069 1066 0015A 0025 0009B	$\begin{array}{c} 10/02/08\\ 12/02/08\\ 01/02/09\\ 08/01/09\\ 01/02/09\\ 03/12/09\\ 09/01/09\\ \end{array}$	\$ 1,100 1,100 1,100 566 750 2,088 <u>1,100</u>
			\$ <u>7,804</u>

2. The HUD 50058 was on file, however, the certification/re-certification interview sheet, verification docum ents supporting incom e, a ssets and allowances and other docum ents supporting eligibility for the following could not be located in file:

<u>Hou</u>	<u>isehold No.</u>	Period Tested	Certification Effective	HAP Amount
HO HO HO HO	1309 1090 1303 1070	10/02/08 10/21/08 02/02/09 07/01/09	09/15/08 10/17/08 08/18/08 07/01/09	\$ 1,073 1,236 1,100 <u>1,200</u>
То	Les	s amount already ques	tal tioned in previous finding	4,609 (1,200)
		Qu	uestioned cost this finding	\$ <u>3,409</u>

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-28, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$11,213

Condition, Continued:

- 3. For sixty-two tenants tested, the quality control worksheet was not signed by the Housing Manager or could not be located in file. Thus, there was no evidence of review of the eligibility determ ination or re-determ ination perform ed by the housing specialist prior to commencement of the housing assistance.
- 4. For the following ten tenants, the annual re-certification was not performed in a timely manner or there was no eviden ce that if it was perf ormed within 12 m onths from the previous certification:

		Previous Annua	al Certification	Current Annua	al Certification
Household No.	Period Tested	Effective Date	Date Performed	Effective Date	Date Performed
HO1057	03/03/09 02/	01/08 12/	12/07 02/	01/09 12/	29/08
H01069	04/01/09	Not in file	Not in file	02/01/09	12/22/08
H01186	04/01/09	06/01/07 Not	in file 06/	01/08	03/28/08
HO1114	05/05/09 05/	01/08 03/	10/08 05/	01/09 05/	04/09
HO1159A	06/01/09 06/	01/08 05/	08/08 06/	01/09 05/	26/09
H01069	06/01/09	Not in file	Not in file	02/01/09	12/22/08
H01037A	06/01/09 10/	01/07 09/	11/07 11/	01/08 10/	17/08
H01187	07/01/09 06/	01/08 04/	14/08 07/	01/09 07/	01/09
HO1117	08/01/09	06/01/08 Not	in file 06/	01/09	03/31/09
HR0014A 10/	02/08 Not	in file	Not in file 04/	01/08	12/03/07

5. The re- certification interview she ets f or the following were either (a) not com pleted; (b) incomplete; (c) not properly filled out; or (d) not properly signed:

		Effective Date of Certification per	Sections of the Re-certification Interview Sheet that are Incomplete/Not Completed or
usehold No.	Period Tested	Interview Sheet	Other Deficiencies Noted
1155A	10/02/08	09/16/08	4, 5
1006A	11/03/08	02/01/08	4, 5
1190	11/03/08	06/01/08	Not in file
1019A	12/02/08	03/01/08	5
1025A	12/04/08	07/01/08	4, 5
1062	01/02/09	01/01/09	4, 5, 6
1128	02/02/09	04/01/08	4, 5
1002A	02/02/09	07/01/08	4
1175	03/03/09	01/01/09	4, 5
1218	03/03/09	01/01/09	4, 5
1159A	04/01/09	06/01/08	4
1069	04/01/09	02/01/09	4
1109A	04/01/09	10/08/08	4, 5
1302	04/01/09	11/12/08	4, 5
	1155A 1006A 1190 1019A 1025A 1062 1128 1002A 1175 1218 1159A 1069 1109A	1155A 10/02/08 1006A 11/03/08 1190 11/03/08 1019A 12/02/08 1025A 12/04/08 1062 01/02/09 1128 02/02/09 1002A 02/02/09 1175 03/03/09 1218 03/03/09 1159A 04/01/09 1069 04/01/09	$\begin{array}{c c} & Certification per \\ Certification/Re-certification \\ \hline Usehold No. \\ \hline Period Tested \\ \hline Interview Sheet \\ \hline 1155A & 10/02/08 & 09/16/08 \\ \hline 1006A & 11/03/08 & 02/01/08 \\ \hline 1019A & 12/02/08 & 03/01/08 \\ \hline 1019A & 12/02/08 & 03/01/08 \\ \hline 1025A & 12/04/08 & 07/01/08 \\ \hline 1062 & 01/02/09 & 01/01/09 \\ \hline 1128 & 02/02/09 & 04/01/08 \\ \hline 1002A & 02/02/09 & 07/01/08 \\ \hline 1002A & 02/02/09 & 01/01/09 \\ \hline 1175 & 03/03/09 & 01/01/09 \\ \hline 1175 & 03/03/09 & 01/01/09 \\ \hline 1159A & 04/01/09 & 06/01/08 \\ \hline 1069 & 04/01/09 & 02/01/09 \\ \hline 1109A & 04/01/09 & 10/08/08 \\ \hline \end{array}$

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-28, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$11,213
Questioned Costs:	\$11,213

Condition, Continued:

Ho_	usehold No.	Period Tested	Effective Date of Certification per Certification/Re-certification <u>Interview Sheet</u>	Sections of the Re-certification Interview Sheet that are Incomplete/Not Completed or <u>Other Deficiencies Noted</u>
НО	1287	05/01/09	12/03/08	4, 5 4, 5 5
H0	1208	05/01/09	09/23/08	4, 5
H0	1016	05/01/09	10/02/08	5
HO	1114	05/05/09	05/01/09	4, 5
HO	1159A	06/01/09	06/01/09	4, 5
H0	1069	06/01/09	02/01/09	4
H0	1034	06/01/09	06/01/09	4, 5, 6
H0	1303	06/01/09	06/01/09	4, 5
H0	1037A	06/01/09	11/01/08	4, 5
HO	1185	07/01/09	07/01/09	4, 5
	HO1289	07/01/09	07/01/09	4, 5, 6/Not signed by NMHC
H0	1187	07/01/09	07/01/09	4, 5
HO	1297	08/01/09	07/01/09	4, 5
-	HO1326	09/01/09	09/01/09	4, 5, 6/Not signed by NMHC
	H01029	09/01/09	09/01/09	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
H0	1246	09/01/09	06/01/09	4, 5, 6
HŎ	1171	09/01/09	07/01/09	4, 5
	HR0028A	06/01/09	06/01/09	4, 5, 6/Not signed by NMHC

6. Some sections of the Application Form, certification in terview she et were e ither (a) not completed; (b) incomplete; (c) not properly filled out; (d) n ot in file; or (e) not sign ed by the tenant or NMHC:

<u>Household No.</u>	Period <u>Tested</u>	Effective Date of Certification per Certification/ Re-certification <u>Interview Sheet</u>	Deficiencies Noted
HO1326	10/02/08	09/01/08	Sections 4, 5 not completed
HO1090	10/21/08	10/17/08	Interview sheet not in file
HO1307	11/03/08	09/26/08	Application form not in file / Sections 4, 5 not completed
HO1274	01/02/09	07/15/08	Interview sheet not signed by Head / Section 3 not completed
H01223	01/02/09	05/29/08	Section 5 not completed
HO1326	02/02/09	09/01/08	Sections 4, 5 not completed
HO1335	02/02/09	12/12/08	Interview sheet not signed by the tenant and NMHC / Sections
			1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 not completed
H01303	02/02/09	08/18/08	Application form not signed by member #2
H01100A	03/03/09	04/18/08	Application form not completely filled out and not signed by
			member #2 / Section 5, 6 not completed
HO1351	03/03/09	02/02/09	Application form not signed by member #2 / Section 4 not
	- · · · ·		completed
HO1333	09/01/09	01/30/09	Application form not completely filled out / Sections 4, 5, 6 not
			completely filled out

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-28, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$11,213

Condition, Continued:

7. The HUD 50058 was not signed by one or all of adult household members or signed after the effective date of certification/ re-certification or the original copy was not in file for the following:

H	Iousehold No.	Form HUD 50058 Effective Date	HUD 50058 Not Signed By	HAP Amount
H0	1155A	09/16/08	NMHC	\$ 1,300
	H01006A	02/01/08	Head, 2, NMHC	\$ 763
	H01190	06/01/08	Head, 2, NMHC	\$ 950
H0	1102	10/01/08	NMHC	\$ 1,300
	HO1019A	03/01/08	Head, 2, NMHC	\$ 750
H0	1223	05/29/08	NMHC	\$ 1,084
H0	1100A	04/18/08	2	\$ 1,100
	HO1159A	06/01/08	Head, 2, NMHC	\$ 850
	H01186	06/01/08	Not in file	\$ 690
HO	1302	11/12/08	2	\$ 1,300
H0	1303	06/01/09	NMHC	\$ 1,100
	H01037A	11/01/08	NMHC	\$ 900
	HO1185	07/01/09	Head, 2, NMHC	\$ 1,100
	H01187	07/01/09	Head, NMHC	\$ 750
	H01070	07/01/09	Head, NMHC	\$ 1,200
H0	1063A	07/30/09	Head	\$ 1,100
	HO1117	06/01/09	Head	\$ 750
	H01062	06/01/09	Original copy not in file	\$ 941
	HO1326	09/01/09	NMHC	\$ 750
	H01029	09/01/09	NMHC	\$ 600
	HO1171	07/01/09	Head, 2, NMHC	\$ 1,100
	HR0014A	04/01/08	NMHC	\$ 417
	HR0028A	06/01/09	NMHC	\$ 750

8. The HAP contract and leas e am endment agreem ent was not s igned by one o r all adu lt household members, or signed after the effective certification date or the original copy was not in file for the following:

He	ousehold No.	Form HUD 50058 Effective Date	Tenant Obligation to <u>Report Interim Change</u>	HAP Amount
	H01155A	09/16/08	Owner, NMHC	\$ 1,300
	H01006A	02/01/08	Head, 2, Owner, NMHC	\$ 763
	H01190	06/01/08	Head, 2, Owner, NMHC	\$ 950
	HO1019A	03/01/08	Head, 2, Owner, NMHC	\$ 750
	H01155A	09/16/08	Ówner, NMHC	\$ 1,300
H0	1223	05/29/08	NMHC	\$ 1,084
H0	1100A	04/18/08	2	\$ 1,100
	H01218	01/01/09	Owner	\$ 750
	HO1159A	06/01/08	Head, 2, Owner, NMHC	\$ 850
			207	

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-28, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$11.213
Questioned Costs:	\$11,213

Condition, Continued:

H	Iousehold No.	Form HUD 50058 Effective Date	Tenant Obligation to <u>Report Interim Change</u>	HAP Amount
	H01186	06/01/08	Not in file	\$ 690
	HO1302	11/12/08	2, Owner	\$ 1,300
H0	1016	10/02/08	Owner	\$ 1,300
	H01303	06/01/09	Owner, NMHC	\$ 1,100
	H01037A	11/01/08	Owner, NMHC	\$ 900
	HO1185	07/01/09	Head, 2, Owner, NMHC	\$ 1,100
	H01187	07/01/09	Head, Owner, NMHC	\$ 750
	H01070	07/01/09	Head, NMHC	\$ 1,200
	H01063A	07/30/09	Head, Owner	\$ 1,100
	HO1117	06/01/09	Head, Owner	\$ 750
	H01062	06/01/09	Original copy not in file	\$ 941
	HO1326	09/01/09	Owner, NMHC	\$ 750
	H01029	09/01/09	NMHC	\$ 600
H0	1246	06/01/09	2	\$ 1,100
	HO1171	07/01/09	Head, 2, Owner, NMHC	\$ 1,100
	HR0014A	04/01/08	NMHC	\$ 417
	HR0028A	06/01/09	Owner, NMHC	\$ 750

9. The tenants' obligation to report interim change form was not in file or w as not signed by the head or co-head for the following:

Household No.	Form HUD 50058 Effective Date	Tenant Obligation to <u>Report Interim Change</u>	HAP Amount
H01190	06/01/08	Not completed and signed	\$ 950
HO1335	12/12/08	Not in file	\$ 1,092
H01159A	06/01/08	Not signed by #2	\$ 850
H01016	10/02/08	Not in file	\$ 1,300

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above con dition is lack of adequate monitoring procedures to ensure that required certification/re-certification and related forms are perform ed, reviewed, docum ented and filed in a timely manner.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above conditions is noncompliance with program eligibility requirements and questioned costs of \$11,213, which was derived through 9, no questioned costs arise since docum ents were available but were not properly completed and/or signed.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that NMHC establish adequate monitoring procedures to ensure that required certification/ re-certification and related form s are performed, reviewed, docum ented and filed in a timely manner.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-28, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$11,213

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate monitoring procedures to ensure that required certification/ re-certifications and related form s are perfor med, reviewed, docum ented and filed in a timely manner was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action:

Conditions 1 and 2 - NMHC will ensure that the HUD 50058, the cer tification/re-certification interview sheet, verification docum ents supporting incom e, assets and allowances and other documents supporting eligibility are completed, signed and filed.

Condition 3 - NMHC will ensu re that the quality control worksheet is completed, signed by the PHD Manager and filed.

Condition 4 - NMHC will ensure that annual re-certifications are performed in a timely manner and within twelve months from the previous certification.

Conditions 5 and 6 - NMHC will en sure that certification/re-certification interview sheets a re completed, signed and filed.

Conditions 7 and 8 - NMHC will e nsure that HAP contracts and lease agreements are signed on or prior to the effective certification date by all adult members of the household and filed.

Condition 9 - NMHC will ensure that all questions pertaining to income, assets and allowances will be answered as none if and when the question does not apply to the tenant. NMHC will ensure that the Tenant Obligation to Report Interim Change form is signed by the HoH and the spouse and then filed.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency: CFDA Program: Federal Award No.:	2009-29 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.: Federal Award Period: Area: Eligib	TQ901 10/01/08 through 09/30/09 ility
Questioned Costs:	\$3,380

<u>Criteria</u>: To be eligible for Section 8 occupa ncy and housing assistance, applicants and tenants must meet the following requirements, among others:

- 1. Applicants must provide documentation of social security numbers (SSN) for family members at least 6 y ears of ag e and olde r. Adequate d ocumentation includes a social s ecurity card issued by the Social Security Administration or other acceptable evidence of the SSN.
- 2. Only U.S. citizens or eligible noncitizen s may receive assistance under Section 8 program s. All family members, regardless of age, must declare their citizenship or imm igration status. Owners must obtain proof of citizenship. NMHC's policy is to require all family members to complete and sign a "Declaration of 214 Status" form and obtain copies of birth certificates or other acceptable evidence of citizenship and include such in file.

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of seventy tenant files, the following were noted:

1. The com pleted and signed "Declaration of Section 214 Status" form for the following household m embers either could not be located or was signed after the effective date of certification. No questioned costs result as the citizenship status was verified.

Household No.	Form HUD 50058 Effective Date	Deficiencies Noted	HAP Amount
HO1307	09/26/08	Not in file	\$ 1,214
H01025A	07/01/08	None for member #7	\$ 1,200
HO1274	07/15/08	Not in file	\$ 500
H01069	Not in file	None for member #3	\$ 1,100
H01062	01/01/09	None for members #4, 8	\$ 659
HO1002A	07/01/08	None for member #5	\$ 1,096
H01175	01/01/09	None for member #8	\$ 1,200
H01069	02/01/09	None for member #3	\$ 1,100
HO1109A	10/08/08	None for member #6	\$ 600
HO1289	07/01/09	Not in file	\$ 1,100
H01070	07/01/09	None for members #2, 4, 5, 6, 7	\$ 1,200
HO1117	06/01/09	Not in file	\$ 750
HO1297	07/01/09	None for member #2	\$ 392
H01062	06/01/09	None for member #7	\$ 941
HR0014A	04/01/08	Not in file	\$ 417
HR0028A	06/01/09	None for member #3	\$ 750

2. A birth certificate or other acceptable evidence of citizenship for one or more of the following household members could not be located:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-29, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$3,380

Condition, Continued:

H	lousehold No.	Form HUD 50058 Effective Date	Household Member #	HAP Amount
HO H0 H H0 HO H0 HO H	1307 1025A 01069 1069 1289 1070 1117 01297 HR0014A	09/26/08 07/01/08 Not in file 02/01/09 07/01/09 06/01/09 07/01/09 04/01/08	All 7 3 2 2, 3 All 2 2	\$ 1,214 1,200 1,100 1,100 1,100 1,200 750 392 417
То	Incontan		tal y questioned in previous finding Questioned cost this finding	8,473 (6,273)

3. A copy of the social security card (or other acceptable evidence of the SSN) for the following household member(s) could not be located:

H	lousehold No.	Form HUD 50058 Effective Date	Household Member #	HAP Amount
HO H HO H H0 HO H H0	1090 01006A 1307 01109A 1070 1117 01297 1246 HR0014A	$\begin{array}{c} 10/17/08\\ 02/01/08\\ 09/26/08\\ 10/08/08\\ 07/01/09\\ 06/01/09\\ 07/01/09\\ 06/01/09\\ 06/01/09\\ 04/01/08\\ \end{array}$	6 2 All 2 $2, 5$ All Head, 2 3 All	
То		Less amount already	tal questioned in previous finding	7,672 (6,492)
			Questioned costs this finding	\$ <u>1,180</u>

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above conditions is the lack of adequate p rocedures to ensure ten ant citizenship and social security numbers are verified, reconciled and maintained.

Effect: The effect of the a bove conditions is noncom pliance with eligibility requirements and questioned costs of \$3,380.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-29, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$3,380

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that NMHC streng then procedures in the verification of tenant citizenship and social security numbers and improve file maintenance.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate proced ures to ensure tenant citizenship and social security number are verified, reconciled and maintained was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure that a signed Decl aration of Section 214 Status form and verification of social security numbers and other proofs of citizenship are filed in a timely manner.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-30
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$656

<u>Criteria</u>: Owners apply their occupancy standards before assigning the family to a unit. Owners should review fa mily size and occupancy standards prior to com pleting required verifications so that if the property cannot accommodate the family, the own er may immediately inform the family of its ineligibility. Add itionally, owners also compare family composition to occupancy standards when there is a change in fa mily size. Owner s should use the occupancy standards established for the property to determine whether the unit is still the appropriate size for the tenant.

<u>Condition</u>: During examination of seventy tenant files, the assigned units for the following did not appear reasonable based on the household size:

Household <u>Number</u>	Period Tested	HUD 50058 Effective Date	No. of <u>Household</u>	Unit Size	HAP Amount
H01057 H01187	03/03/09 07/01/09	02/01/09 07/01/09	1 1	2 2	\$ 656 <u>750</u>
То	Ι	less amount already	questioned in pre	tal vious finding	1,406 (750)
			Questioned cos	st this finding	\$ <u>656</u>

Justification for the larger unit size assigned was not noted in file.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of procedures to ensure adherence to occupancy standards.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with eligibility req uirements and questioned cost of \$656.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that NMHC im plement strict policies to adhere to occupancy standards. Any exceptions should be approved and documented in the tenant file.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of procedures to ensure adherence to occupancy standards was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal year 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will review its policies on oc cupancy standards and implement strict procedures to ensure compliance. Any exceptions will be approved an d documented in the tenant file.

Proposed Completion Date: FY 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-31
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Adult members of a family must sign consent forms as required verification documents, so the owner can verify sources of fa mily income and family size. The owner m ust consider a family ineligible if adult members refuse to sign applicable consent and verification forms. Consent forms must be signed by:

- 1. The head of household (regardless of age);
- 2. The spouse or co-head of household (regardless of age); and
- 3. Any other family member who is 18 years old or older.

NMHC's uses the "D ocument Package for Appli cant's/Tenant's C onsent to the Release of Information" (the Document Package) form to document compliance with this requirement.

<u>Condition</u>: Of seventy tenant files tested, the Document Package form was either not in the tenant file, was not signed by one or m ore household adult members, or an origin al copy could not be located for the following:

Period Tested	Certification <u>Effective</u>	HAP <u>Amount</u>	Deficiencies Noted
10/02/08 11/03/08 11/03/08 12/02/08 01/02/09 02/02/09 03/03/09 03/03/09 03/03/09 03/03/09 03/03/09 04/01/09 04/01/09 05/01/09 05/01/09 07/01/09	09/16/08 06/01/08 10/01/08 09/16/08 01/01/09 12/12/08 01/01/09 02/01/09 02/02/09 06/01/08 11/12/08 10/02/08 11/01/08 07/01/09	\$ 1,300 \$ 950 \$ 1,300 \$ 1,300 \$ 659 \$ 1,092 \$ 1,200 \$ 656 \$ 1,300 \$ 850 \$ 1,300 \$ 1,300 \$ 900 \$ 1,100	Not in tenant file Not filled out and not signed by all adult members Not signed by household member #3 Not in tenant file Not in tenant file Not in tenant file Original copy not in file Not signed by household member #2 Not signed by household member #2, 3, 4 Not in tenant file Not in tenant file
09/01/09	09/01/09	\$ 750	Not in tenant file
	<u>Tested</u> 10/02/08 11/03/08 11/03/08 12/02/08 01/02/09 02/02/09 03/03/09 03/03/09 03/03/09 03/03/09 03/03/09 04/01/09 04/01/09 05/01/09 06/01/09	$\begin{array}{c c} \underline{\text{Tested}} & \underline{\text{Effective}} \\ \hline 10/02/08 & 09/16/08 \\ 11/03/08 & 06/01/08 \\ 11/03/08 & 10/01/08 \\ 12/02/08 & 09/16/08 \\ 01/02/09 & 01/01/09 \\ 02/02/09 & 12/12/08 \\ 03/03/09 & 01/01/09 \\ 03/03/09 & 02/01/09 \\ 03/03/09 & 02/02/09 \\ 04/01/09 & 06/01/08 \\ 04/01/09 & 11/12/08 \\ 05/01/09 & 10/02/08 \\ 06/01/09 & 11/01/08 \\ 07/01/09 & 07/01/09 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \hline {\rm Effective} & {\rm Amount} \\ \hline 10/02/08 & 09/16/08 & \$ 1,300 \\ 11/03/08 & 06/01/08 & \$ 950 \\ 11/03/08 & 10/01/08 & \$ 1,300 \\ 12/02/08 & 09/16/08 & \$ 1,300 \\ 01/02/09 & 01/01/09 & \$ 659 \\ 02/02/09 & 12/12/08 & \$ 1,092 \\ 03/03/09 & 01/01/09 & \$ 1,200 \\ 03/03/09 & 02/01/09 & \$ 656 \\ 03/03/09 & 02/02/09 & \$ 1,300 \\ 04/01/09 & 06/01/08 & \$ 850 \\ 04/01/09 & 10/02/08 & \$ 1,300 \\ 05/01/09 & 10/02/08 & \$ 1,300 \\ 05/01/09 & 11/01/08 & \$ 900 \\ 07/01/09 & 07/01/09 & \$ 1,100 \\ \hline \end{array}$

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of policies and procedures to ensure that required consent forms are signed.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the a bove condition is noncom pliance with eligibility req uirements. No questioned costs arise as the participant was detained to be eligible.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-31, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Eligib	ility
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recommend that NMHC st rengthen and im plement compliance with the above requirement.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: N MHC will ensure that the Docu ment Package f or Applican ts/Tenants Consent to the Release of Information form is signed by all adult members of the household during re-examinations and are included in the tenant files.

Proposed Completion Date: FY 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-32
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$5,738

<u>Criteria</u>: Federal regulations state that sm all purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies or other property that do not cost more than the simplified threshold fixed at 41 U.S.C. 403(11) (currently set at \$100,000). If sm all purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations shall be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources. Further, brand names should not be indicated in the request of proposals or solicitations so as not to limit competition. Specifically, the CNMI's Procurement Regulations state the following:

- Price quotations should be obtained from at least three vendors for purchases between \$2,500 and \$9,999.99. Any price quotations obtained must be written, documented and submitted for approval. Bidding is not required for procurement under \$2,500.
- Procurements amounting to \$10,000 and above shoul d be procured usin g competitive sealed bidding. The invitation for bids shall be public ized in order to increase competition and broaden industry participation. A bidding period of at least thirty days shall be provided unless a shorter period is determ ined to be re asonable and necessary, but shall never be less than fifteen days.
- For procurement methods that perm it contracting without using full and open competition, a written justification memo should be prepared and submitted by the expenditure authority and approved by the Procurement and Supply Director.
- For change orders, the agency shall thoroughly assess whether or not it would be more prudent to seek competition before adding significant new work to existing contracts. Change orders on construction and A&E contracts, which, exc eed, 25% of the cum ulative contract price, shall automatically be procured through competitive procedures, except when the procurement of the additional work to be performed is authorized without using full and open competition.

<u>Condition</u>: Our tests of two item s tota ling \$14,961, from a population of \$181,110, noted one \$5,738 item relating to the purchase of airline tickets that did not have docum entation evidencing that competitive procurement procedures were utilized.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the a bove condition is inadequate control over ensuring competitive procurement is applied.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above con dition is no ncompliance with federal regulations on federally funded travel and questioned cost of \$5,738. This matter was reported as the projected questioned costs exceed \$10,000.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend NMHC strengthen controls to ensure competitive procurement is adhered to.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-32, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Questioned Costs:	\$5,738

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Jocelyn S. Kaipat, Office Manager/Procurement Officer

Corrective Action: \$5,738 - NM HC will en sure that so licitation from travel agencies will be performed as part of its procedures to obtain quot ations for airfares and services to m aximize competition. Copies of faxes and responses sen t to travel ag encies in 2008 were provided to the auditors.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately/Ongoing

<u>Auditor Response</u>: Copies of price quotations s upporting the specific purchases tested were not provided.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-33
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Reporting	-
Questioned Costs:	\$5,650

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with 24 CFR part 908 and 24 CFR section 982.158, the PHA is required to submit form HUD-50058, Fa mily Report (OMB N o. 2577-0083) electronically to HUD each time the PHA completes an adm ission, annual reexam ination, interim reexamination, portability movein, or other change of unit for a family. The PHA m ust also submit the Fam ily Report when a family ends participation in the program or moves out of the PHA's jurisdiction under portability.

<u>Condition</u>: Our tests of seventy items noted the following:

1. The supporting HUD-50058 covering the period tested were not in file for the following:

	Period Tested	Household No.	HAP Amount
10/		H01232	\$ 1,100
12/	02/08	H01232	\$ 1,100
01/	02/09	H01069	\$ 1,100
	04/01/09	H01186	\$ 690
	01/02/09	HR0015A	\$ 750
	08/01/09	H01066	\$ 566
03/	12/09	HR0025	\$ 2,088
09/	01/09	HR0009B	\$ 1,100

2. There was no evidence that the related HUD-5005 electronically. Details are as follows:

HUD-50058 for the period selected was subm itted

<u>P</u>	Period Tested	Household No.	HAP Amount
10/	02/08	H01232	\$ 1,100
12/	02/08	H01232	\$ 1,100
06/	01/09	H01069	\$ 1,100
	08/01/09	H01066	\$ 566
	01/02/09	HR0015A	\$ 750
03/	12/09	HR0025	\$ 2,088
09/	01/09	HR0009B	\$ 1,100

3. For two items, the ticket number was provided, however, the electronic submission transaction list for the related ticket number could not be provided, as follows:

Pe	riod Tested	Household No.	Certification Effective
10/	02/08	HR0014A	04/01/08
06/	01/09	HR0028A	06/01/09

4. For two items, the certification effective for the related tenant was not listed on the elec tronic submission transaction list, as follows:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

2009-33, Continued
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
TQ901
10/01/08 through 09/30/09
\$5,650

Condition, Continued:

Period Tested		Household No.	Certification Effective	
06/	01/09	HO1303	06/01/09	
08/	01/09	HO1063A	07/01/09	

5. For the "Household Information Section" of form HUD-50058, there were instances where the household m embers birth date did not agree to the birth date on the birth certificate, as follows:

	Household No.	Form HUD 50058 Effective Date	Household <u>Member #</u>	HAP	Amount
	H01155A	09/16/08	Head, 7	\$	1,300
HC) 1309	09/15/08	Head		1,073
HC) 1090	10/17/08	Head, 2		1,236
HC) 1128	04/01/08	Head, 3		1,300
H0	1100A	04/18/08	3		1,100
HC) 1333	01/30/09	Head, 2		1,200
Η	O1171	07/01/09	2		1,100
То	Less am	ount already question	oned in previous f	tal Finding	8,309 (7.009)
		····· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··	···· ··· ··· ···	<u> </u>	<u>(,,,,,,</u>)
		Ques	tioned costs this f	finding \$	1,300

6. For the "Household Information Section" of form HUD-50058, there were instances where the household m ember's name did not agree to the name on the social security card or birth certificate. Details are as follows:

	Household No.	Form HUD 50058 Effective Date	Household <u>Member #</u>	HAP Amount
	HO1309	09/15/08	Head	\$ 1,073
Η	01190	06/01/08	3	950
HC) 1102	10/01/08	Head, 4	1,300
HC) 1320	09/02/08	Head, 6	1,199
HC) 1025A	07/01/08	4, 5	1,200
	H01069	Not in file	Head, 2	1,100
H) 1193	08/08/08	Head	445
	HO1128	04/01/08	Head, 3, 5	1,300
HC) 1100A	04/18/08	3	1,100
	H01175	01/01/09	Head, 3, 5	1,200
HC) 1218	01/01/09	2,3	750
HC) 1159A	06/01/08	2, 5	850
HC	1069	02/01/09	Head	1,100

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-33, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Reporting	-
Questioned Costs:	\$5,650

Condition, Continued:

H	Iousehold No.	Form HUD 50058 Effective Date	Household <u>Member #</u>	HAP Amount
НО	1287	12/03/08	Head	1,100
HO	1114	05/01/09	Head, 2	1,037
Н	O1159A	06/01/09	Head, 5	850
H0	1069	02/01/09	Head	1,100
H0	1034	06/01/09	Head, 3	1,100
Н	01037A	11/01/08	3	900
HO	1289	07/01/09	Head	1,100
Н	01062	06/01/09	2	941
HO	1333	01/30/09	Head, 2	1,200
	HR0028A	06/01/09	Head	750
То				tal 23,645
-	Less am	ount already questio	ned in previous	
		Orreget	ion of ocoto this	finding \$ 1250

Questioned costs this finding $\frac{4,350}{2}$

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is lack of adequate in ternal con trol p rocedures to determine timely submission of required reports.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with federal reporting requirements and questioned costs of \$5,650.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recomm end that NMHC ta ke reasonable steps to com ply with program reporting requirements.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of adequate internal control procedures to determine timely submission of required reports was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action:

- 1. NMHC will ensure that the HUD 50058 is completed and filed.
- 2. NMHC will ensure that all HUD 50058 are submitted electronically.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-33, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area: Reporting	-
Questioned Costs:	\$5,650

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan, Continued:

Corrective Action, Continued:

- 3. NMHC will ensure that the elect ronic submission transactions list is available to support the related ticket number issued.
- 4. NMHC will ensure that the effective certifications for all tenants are listed on the electronic submission transaction list.
- 5. NMHC will ensure that the birth dates on the Household Information Section of the HUD 50058 coincide with the birth dates indicated on the birth certificates.
- 6. NMHC will ensure that the names listed on the Household Information Section of the HUD 50058 coincide with the names indicated on the birth certificates and social security cards.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-34 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$136,757

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with 24 CFR Part 982. 204(a), except for special adm issions, participants must be selected from the Public Housing Ag ency (PHA) waiting list. The PH A must select participants from the waiting list in accordance with admission policies in the PHA administrative plan.

<u>Condition</u>: For thirteen new adm issions tested, we are unable to de termine if the participan t was selected from the waiting list as the waiting list as of the selection date could not be prov ided. Further, since waiting lists we re not available, we could not verify if applic ants at the top of the waiting lists were selected during fiscal year 2009.

Hou	sehold <u>Number</u>	Date Admitted to the Program	Questioned <u>Cost</u>	
	HO1326	09/01/08	\$ 9,000	
НО	1309	09/15/08	8,773	
Н	O1090	10/17/08	14,175	
Н	O1307	09/26/08	14,729	
Н	O1320	09/02/08	14,390	
НО	1274	07/15/08	6,000	
Н	01223	05/29/08	12,973	
	HO1326	09/01/08	-	
Н	O1335	12/12/08	10,554	
Н	01303	08/18/08	13,200	
Н	01100A	04/18/08	13,200	
Н	01351	02/02/09	10,083	
	HO1333	01/30/09	9,680	
			\$ <u>136,757</u>	

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is lack of adherence to established policies and procedures to ensure that selection of participants is completely documented.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with waiting list requirem ents and questioned costs of \$136,757.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend NMHC ensure that the selection of applicants from the waiting list are properly documented and maintained in the files.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of strict adherence to established policies and procedures to ensure that the sele ction of participants is completely do cumented was reported as a f inding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-34, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$136,757

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure that t adherence to establishe d policie s and procedur es pertaining to the selection of applicants is thoroughly documented and filed. In ad dition, NMHC will ensure that the waiting list is always readily available.

Proposed Completion Date: Immediately

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-35
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Criteria: In accordance with 24 CFR Part 982.503(a)(1), the PHA must adopt a p ayment standard schedule that establishes voucher paym ent standard amounts for each fair m arket rent (FMR) area in the PHA jurisdiction. Under NMHC's Section 8 Administrative P lan for Rental Assis tance Program Section 10.1, NMHC currently adm inisters a payment standard that is at 90% of the published FMR set for Gua m and the Pacific Islands. In addition, the PHA's adm inistrative plan must state the m ethod used by the PHA to de termine that the rent to the owner is reasonable in comparison to rent for other com parable unassisted units. T he PHA det ermination must consider unit attributes such as the locat ion, quality, size, unit type, and ag e of the unit, and any a menities, housing services, maintenance and utilities provided by the owner.

Condition: Tests of the payment standard noted the following:

For nine of seventy tenants tested with certification effective date within fiscal year 2009, the 1. payment standard was calculated based on the published FMR schedule applicable to fiscal year 2008:

Ho N	usehold umber	Certification Effective	Unit Size	Payment Standard Per <u>HUD 50058</u>	Should be Payment <u>Standard</u>	Variance
	H01102	10/01/08	4	\$ 1,521	\$ 1,589	\$ 68
	H01062	01/01/09	3	\$ 1,272	\$ 1,328	\$ 56
	HO1109A	10/08/08	2	\$ 873	\$ 912	\$ 39
	HO1302	11/12/08	5	\$ 1,521	\$ 1,589	\$ 68
	HO1287	12/03/08	3	\$ 1,272	\$ 1,328	\$ 56
	H01016	10/02/08	6	\$ 1,521	\$ 1,589	\$ 68
	H01303	06/01/09	3	\$ 1,272	\$ 1,328	\$ 56
	HO1185	07/01/09	3	\$ 1,272	\$ 1,328	\$ 56
	HO1289	07/01/09	3	\$ 1,272	\$ 1,328	\$ 56

2. For two of seventy tenants tested, the paymen t standard for an incorrect unit size was erroneously used:

Ho N	usehold umber	Certification Effective	Unit Size	Payment Standard Per <u>HUD 50058</u>	Should be Payment <u>Standard</u>	Variance
	H01100A	04/18/08	4	\$ 1,272	\$ 1,521	\$ 249
	H01171	07/01/09	4	\$ 1,328	\$ 1,589	\$ 261

3. For one new admission, the conclusion certifying whether rent was reasonable or not was not completed on the form:

Household Number		Certification Effective	Type of Action	Unit Size
НО	1274	07/15/08	New Admission	1

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-35, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Condition, Continued:

4. For nine ten ants, there was no evidence that the rent reasonableness report was reviewed by the housing manager:

Household Number		Certification Effective	Type of Action	Unit Size
HO HO	1090 1320	10/17/08 09/02/08	New Admission New Admission	4 4
HO	1274	07/15/08	New Admission	4
HO HO	1223 1335	05/29/08 12/12/08	New Admission New Admission	33
H0	1100A	04/18/08	New Admission	4
HO HO	1351 1333	02/02/09 01/30/09	New Admission New Admission	4
	HO1193	07/07/06	Interim - Unit Change	2

5. For one tenant, the rent reasonableness report was signed after the certification effective date:

Househo	old Number	Certification Effective	Type of Action	Unit Size
НО	1320	09/02/08	New Admission	4

6. For one tenant, the rent reasonableness determ ination was not perfor med prior to approving the lease contract:

Househ	<u>old Number</u>	Certification Effective	Type of Action	Unit Size
НО	1326	09/01/08	New Admission	2

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the payment standard schedules are updated.

lack of monitoring proce dures to ensure th at

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is the potential m isstatement of paym ent standard amounts. However, no questioned cost was raised as the correct rate that should have been used to pay rent exceeded the utilized rate.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that payment standard schedules are upda ted to reflect current fair market rents published by HUD.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of monitoring procedures to ensure that payment standard schedules are updated was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-35, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action:

Condition 1 - NMHC will ensu re that paym ent st andards are calculated based on the publish ed FMR schedule applicable to the current fiscal year.

Condition 2 - NMHC will ensure that the payment standard coincides with the unit size.

Condition 3 - NMHC will ensu re that wheth er re nt is r easonable o r not is ind icated on the completed Rent Reasonable Determination Report form.

Condition 4 - NMHC will ensure that the rent reasonableness report is reviewed and initialed or signed by the PHD Manager.

Conditions 5 and 6 - NMHC will e nsure that the rent reasonableness report is signed on or prior to the effective date and lease contract approval date.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

<u>Criteria</u>: In accordance with 24 CFR Part 982.517 (c)(1), a PHA must review its schedule of utility allowances each year, and must revise its allowance for a utility category if there has been a change of 10 percent or m ore in the utility rate s ince the last time the utility allowance e schedule was revised. The PHA must maintain information supporting its annual review of utility allowances and any revisions made in its utility allowance schedule.

<u>Condition</u>: NMHC perform ed a re view of utility rates as of September 2008; how ever, the new utility schedule was only approved in June 2009 and implemented effective July 1, 2009. There was no evidence that a review of utility rates in fiscal year 2009 has been performed. Therefore, the required annual review of the utility allowance schedule was not evident in fiscal year 2009.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is lack of strict monitoring to ensure performance of the required annual review of the utility allowance schedule.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above con dition is no ncompliance with the p rovisions of 24 CFR Part 982.517(c)(1). Since updated utility schedules are not available, the amount of questioned costs is not determinable.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that NMHC implement monitoring procedures to ensure that the required annual review of the utility allowance schedule is being performed.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of monitoring to ensure that the required annual review of the utility allowance schedule is perform ed was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Zerlyn A. Taimanao, Program and Housing Manager

Corrective Action: NMHC will implem ent monitoring pro cedures to ensure that the required annual review of the utility allowance schedu le is performed and follo wed through by the P HD Manager.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-37
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Under 24 CFR Part 982.405(a), the Public Housing Agency (PHA) must inspect the unit leased to a fam ily prior to the initial term of the lease, at least annually during assisted occupancy, and at other times as needed, to determine if the unit meets the Housing Quality Standards (HQS). Further, under 24 CFR Part 982.158(d), the PHA must prepare a unit inspection report.

<u>Condition</u>: Of seventy items tested for HQS inspections, the following were noted:

1. The housing quality in spections perform ed for the follo wing were not conducted within twelve months from the previous housing quality inspections in the tenant file. Details are as follow:

11001

. •

		HQS Inspections	
		Performed	Prior HQS
Household Number	Period Tested	During FY 2009	Inspection Date
			•
H01190	11/03/08 04/	28/09 02/	26/07
H01102	11/03/08 09/	10/09 09/	08/08
H01069	01/02/09 12/	23/08 12/	21/07
H01069	04/01/09 12/	23/08 12/	21/07
H01069	06/01/09 12/	23/08 12/	21/07
H01070	07/01/09 12/	02/08 09/	14/07
H01063A	08/01/09 04/	29/09 04/	29/08
HO1019A	12/02/08 01/	27/09 10/	16/07
H01034	06/01/09 03/	25/09 08/	29/06
H01029	09/01/09 08/	13/09 08/	15/08
HO1109A	04/01/09 07/	07/09 07/	11/08
H01037A	06/01/09 10/	29/09 10/	29/08
H01175	03/03/09 12/	04/09 10/	24/08
HO1326	10/02/08 08/	05/09 08/	06/08
HO1326	02/02/09 08/	05/09 08/	06/08
HO1326	09/01/09 08/	05/09 08/	06/08
H01066	08/01/09 12/	02/08 01/	20/02
HR0028A	06/01/09 05/	29/09 03/	25/08
H01025A	12/04/08 06/	10/09 06/	13/08
HO1297	08/01/09 08/	25/09 08/	08/08
HO1002A	02/02/09 06/	10/09 06/	10/08
H01208	05/01/09 07/	13/09 07/	07/08
HO1114	05/05/09 04/	15/09 04/	16/07
HO1185	07/01/09 08/	13/09 08/	13/08
HO1320	12/02/08 08/	13/09 08/	14/08
HO1206	07/02/09 04/	15/09 05/	26/06
H01114	07/31/09 04/	15/09 04/	16/07

2. The 2009 inspection rep ort in file was not completely filled out or som e sections were not completed and were not signed by the inspector for the following:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency: CFDA Program: Federal Award No.: Federal Award Period: Area: Ouestioned Costs:	2009-37, Continued U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers TQ901 10/01/08 through 09/30/09 Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Condition, Continued:

Household Per <u>Number</u> Tested	HQS iod	Inspections Performed During FY 2009	Household Per <u>Number</u> Tested	HQS iod	Inspections Performed During FY 2009
H01218	03/03/09 12/	03/08	HO1326	10/02/08 08/	05/09
H01190	11/03/08 04/	28/09	HO1326	02/02/09 08/	05/09
H01102	11/03/08 09/	10/09	HO1326	09/01/09 08/	05/09
H01246 09/	01/09	04/28/09 H0	1066 08/	01/09	12/02/08
H01069 01/	02/09	12/23/08 H0	1062 01/	02/09	04/29/09
H01069 04/	01/09	12/23/08 H0	1062 08/	01/09	04/29/09
H01069 06/	01/09	12/23/08 H0	1223 01/	02/09	04/14/09
H01100A	03/03/09	03/09/09 HR	0028A 06/	01/09	05/29/09
HO1057 03/	03/09	12/03/08	HO1128 02/	02/09	03/12/09
H01070 07/	01/09	12/02/08 H0	1187 07/	01/09	04/30/09
HO1171 09/	01/09	11/20/08	HO1333 09/	01/09	01/28/09
H01063A	08/01/09 04/	29/09	HO1287	05/01/09 06/	08/09
H01155A 10/	02/08	03/12/09 H0	1025A 12/	04/08	06/10/09
H01155A	12/02/08 03/	12/09	HO1297	08/01/09 08/	25/09
HO1019A 12/	02/08	01/27/09	HO1159A 04/	01/09	04/30/09
H01034	06/01/09 03/	25/09	HO1159A	06/01/09 04/	30/09
HO1117	08/01/09	04/28/09 H0	1006A 11/	03/08	12/03/08
H01029	09/01/09 08/	13/09	HO1002A	02/02/09 06/	10/09
H01232 10/	02/08	04/14/09 H0	1208 05/	01/09	07/13/09
H01232	12/02/08 04/	14/09	HO1114	05/05/09 04/	15/09
HO1274 01/	02/09	06/10/09	HO1185 07/	01/09	08/13/09
HO1335 02/	02/09	11/17/09	HO1289 07/	01/09	07/08/09
HO1109A	04/01/09 07/	07/09	HO1307	11/03/08 08/	26/09
H01037A	06/01/09 10/	29/09	HO1320	12/02/08 08/	13/09
HO1090	10/21/08 08/	28/09	HO1023A	06/01/09 03/	12/09
HO1351	03/03/09	02/02/09 H0	1288 07/	31/09	06/09/09
H01016	05/01/09 04/	30/09	HO1206	07/02/09 04/	15/09
H01175 03/	03/09	12/04/09 H0	1114 07/	31/09	04/15/09
HO1309	10/02/08	08/24/09 H0	1186 04/	01/09	04/29/09

3. The completed unit inspection form evidencing unit inspections performed during fiscal year 2009 could not be located in the te nant file for ten item s. We are unable to determ ine if the required housing quality inspections were performed. Details are as follow:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-37, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Condition, Continued:

Ho usehold Number		Period Tested
HO HR H0 HR HR H0 H0 H0 HO HR	1302 0015A 1303 1303 0009B 0014A 1190A 1190A 1193 0025	04/01/09 01/02/09 02/02/09 06/01/09 09/01/09 10/02/08 05/01/09 08/01/09 01/02/09 03/12/09
III	0025	05/12/07

4. The NMHC inspector did not complete the ove section of the inspection report for one tenant: rall insp ection results/summ ary decision

Housel	nold Number	Period Tested	HQS Inspections Performed <u>During FY 2009</u>
НО	1019A	12/02/08	01/27/09

5. The inspection deficiency report was not signed by t he Te nant/Owner, t herefore, acknowledgment by the owner of deficiencies noted was not made for the following:

<u>Hous</u>	ehold Number	Period Tested	HQS Inspections Performed During FY 2009
H0	1246	09/01/09	04/28/09
H0	1100A	03/03/09	03/09/09

6. One inspection report noted a failed conclusion, however, no deficiencies were noted in the checklist and no inspection deficiency report was attached in the checklist f or proper acknowledgement of deficiencies:

			HQS Inspections Performed
Hous	ehold Number	Period Tested	During FY 2009
H0	1102	11/03/08	09/10/09

7. One inspection report with deficiencies, h ad no inspection deficien cy report evidencing acknowledgement by the tenant or owner:

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-37, Continued U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Condition, Continued:

<u>House</u>	hold Number	Period Tested	HQS Inspections Performed During FY 2009
H0	1057	03/03/09	12/03/08

8. The inspection reports for the following indicated deficiencies noted by the NMHC inspector; however, relevant docum entation indicating whet her the deficiencies had been addressed and/or whether the needed repairs were completed could not be located in file:

		-	-
<u>Hous</u>	ehold Number	Period Tested	HQS Inspections Performed During FY 2009
H0	1218	03/03/09	12/03/08
H0	1190	11/03/08	04/28/09
H0	1102	11/03/08	09/10/09
H0	1246	09/01/09	04/28/09
H0	1069	01/02/09	12/23/08
H0	1069	04/01/09	12/23/08
H0	1069	06/01/09	12/23/08
H0	1100A	03/03/09	03/09/09
HO	1057	03/03/09	12/03/08
H0	1070	07/01/09	12/02/08
HO	1171	09/01/09	11/20/08
H0	1063A	08/01/09	04/29/09
H0	1155A	10/02/08	03/12/09
H0	1155A	12/02/08	03/12/09
H0	1175	03/03/09	12/04/09
H0	1066	08/01/09	12/02/08
H0	1062	01/02/09	04/29/09
H0	1062	08/01/09	04/29/09
HO	1002A	02/02/09	06/10/09
HO	1320	12/02/08	08/13/09

9. For fourteen failed inspections, the F ailed HQS Inspection Report for fiscal year 2009 could not be provided for the following:

House	ehold Number	Period Tested	HQS Inspections Performed During FY 2009	<u>Conclusion</u>
H0	1218	03/03/09	12/03/08	Failed
H0	1190	11/03/08	04/28/09	Failed
H0	1102	11/03/08	09/10/09	Failed
H0	1246	09/01/09	04/28/09	Failed

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-37, Continued U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

Condition, Continued:

<u>Hous</u>	ehold Number	Period Tested	HQS Inspections Performed During FY 2009	<u>Conclusion</u>
H0	1069	01/02/09	12/23/08	Failed
H0	1069	04/01/09	12/23/08	Failed
H0	1069	06/01/09	12/23/08	Failed
H0	1100A	03/03/09	03/09/09	Failed
HO	1057	03/03/09	12/03/08	Failed
H0	1070	07/01/09	12/02/08	Failed
HO	1171	09/01/09	11/20/08	Failed
H0	1063A	08/01/09	04/29/09	Failed
H0	1155A	10/02/08	03/12/09	Failed
H0	1155A	12/02/08	03/12/09	Failed

10. The previous inspection report could not be loca ted, and we could not verify if the current inspection report was performed within twelve months:

<u>Hous</u>	ehold Number	Period Tested	HQS Inspections Performed During FY 2009
H0	1246	09/01/09	04/28/09

11. For one tenant, the fiscal year 2009 inspection re port did not indicate the party responsible for the deficiencies:

Household Number		Period Tested	HQS Inspections Performed During FY 2009
H0	1062	01/02/09	04/29/09
H0	1062	08/01/09	04/29/09

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of systematic filing of HQS inspection reports and the lack of monitoring to ensure that all HQS inspections are timely performed.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is possible no ncompliance with the HQS inspection requirement.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-37, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recommend that all HQS inspections are supported with HQS inspection reports and are at least perform ed annually. A dditionally, we recommend that all HQS inspection reports are maintained in participant files.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> The lack of s ystematic fi ling of HQS inspection reports and the lack of monitoring to ensure that all HQS inspections are performed on a timely manner was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Zerlyn A. Taim anao, Program and Housing Manager, Herm an Lieto, Housing Preservation Technician and Mitch Aaron, Housing Inspector

Corrective Action:

Condition 1 - NMHC will ensure that HQS inspections are performed within twelve months of the previous inspection date.

Condition 2 - NMHC will ensure that inspection reports are completed, signed by the inspector and filed.

Conditions 3, 9 and 10 - NMHC will ensure that reports pertaining to unit inspections are filed.

Condition 4 - NMHC will ensu re that the in spector complete s the inspection re sults/summary decision section of the report and that the report is filed.

Condition 5 - NMHC will ensu re that completed inspection reports are signed by the tenant/owner and filed.

Condition 6 - NMHC will ensu re that when a unit fa ils an inspection, the result coincides with the checklist attached to the report.

Condition 7 - NMHC will ensure that inspection/deficiency reports are acknowledged by the tenant or the owner.

Condition 8 - NMHC will en sure that dur ing an inspection when a unit is claim ed to hav e deficiencies, a follow-up inspection will be conducted to de termine whether the deficiencies have been addressed.

Condition 11 - NMHC will en sure that the inspector indicates on the inspection/deficiency report whether the deficiency was caused by the tenant/owner.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: Federal Agency:	2009-38 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.: Federal Award Period:	TQ901 10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: As provided under 24 CF R Part 982. 404, for units under Housing Assistance Paym ent (HAP) contract that fail to meet Housing Quality Standards (HQS), the PHA must require the owner to correct any life threatening HQS deficiencies within 24 hours after the inspections and all oth er HQS deficiencies within 30 calendar days or w ithin a specified PHA-approved extension. A re-inspection must be conducted for all inspections with HQS deficiencies. If the owner does not correct the cited HQS deficiencies within the specified correction period, the PHA must stop (abate) HAPs beginning no later than the first of the m onth following the specified correction period or must terminate the HAP contract.

<u>Condition</u>: Of eleven failed inspections tested, the following were noted:

1. For f our te nants, the re was no inspection d eficiency re port or any equivalent written documentation evidencing that NMHC notified the owner or tenant of the unit requiring correction of any cited life threatening HQS deficiencies within 24 hours of the inspection and of all other HQS de ficiencies within 30 calendar days of the inspection or within a specified extension approved by NMHC.

Hous	sehold Number	Inspection Date	
H0	1190	04/28/09	
Н	01102	9/10/09	
H0	1070	12/02/08	
H0	1063A	04/29/09	

2. For one tenant, deficiencies we re noted as corrected 45 da ys after the inspection d ate; however, the related approval m emo or equivalent docum entation evidencing that the correction period has been extended to more than 30 days was not evident.

Hous	ehold Number	Inspection Date	
H0	1069	12/23/08	

3. No re-inspection checklist or a work order re port could be located in the ten ant's file evidencing that necessary repairs were performed.

Participant's Name		Inspection Date	
HO	1218	12/03/08	
H0 H0	1246 1100A	04/28/09 03/09/09	
HO HO	1057 1171	12/03/08 11/20/08	
H0	1155A	03/12/09	

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.:	2009-38, Continued
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
CFDA Program:	14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers
Federal Award No.:	TQ901
Federal Award Period:	10/01/08 through 09/30/09
Area:	Special Tests and Provisions
Questioned Costs:	\$-0-

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is the lack of documentation of the results of reinspections performed and the lack of monitoring to ensure that deficiencies are timely addressed.

Effect: The effect of the above condition is noncompliance with the HQS enforcement requirement.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recomm end that results of HQS enforcem ents are documented in the deficiency reports and re-inspections are timely performed.

<u>Prior Year Status</u>: The lack of proper docum entation of the results of re-inspections performed and the lack of monitoring to ensure that deficienci es are timely addressed was reported as a finding in the Single Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Zerlyn A. Taim anao, Program and Housing Manager, Herm an Lieto, Housing Preservation Technician and Mitch Aaron, Housing Inspector

Corrective Action: NMHC will ensure the at the results of HQS enforcements are properly documented in the deficiency reports and re-inspections are performed in a timely manner. The PHD Manager will monitor to ensure compliance to the inspection requirements and that inspections are performed at least annually.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: CFDA No.: Area: Questioned Costs: 2009-39 Nonmajor Programs Special Tests and Provisions \$-0-

Criteria: HOME loans extended to eligible participants should be monitored and accounted for.

<u>Condition</u>: Beginning in fiscal year 2006, NM HC has recorded receivables for HOME loans under a deferment agreement. Prior to fiscal y ear 2006, NMHC had not recorded receiv ables for loans under a deferment agreement. At September 30, 2009, NMHC is in the process of determ ining the cumulative amount of loans under the deferment agreement prior to fiscal year 2006.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above con dition is that NMHC understood that loans und er deferment agreements should not be recorded since repayment of these deferred loans is not expected.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above con dition is that loans und er deferment agreements may not be properly accounted for or presented in the financial reports.

<u>Recommendation</u>: W e recomm end NMHC determ ine with the g rantor agency wh ether NMHC should record deferred HOME loans under a deferment agreement.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> Lack of m onitoring of lo ans under deferm ent agreem ent was reported as a finding in the Single Audit of CDA for fiscal years 2006 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Persons: Jesse S. Palacios, Manager, Mort gage & Credit Division and Roger A. Dris, Chief Accountant

Corrective Action: NMHC will take rea sonable steps to record d eferred HOME loan re ceivables and m onitor HOME loans under a deferm ent agr eement by recording all deferred hom e loan receivables every end of the m onth and that the loan accountan t will reconcile with the loan specialist.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended September 30, 2009

Finding No.: CFDA No.: Area: Questioned Costs: 2009-40 Nonmajor Programs Special Tests and Provisions \$-0-

<u>Criteria</u>: Under NMHC's policies and procedur es f or Hom eowner Rehabilita tion, HOME loan applicants that have b een determined eligible for financial assis tance and have proven a lack of financial resources for repayment of their direct loan may have their monthly loan payments deferred for a period of up to fifteen years. Further, borrow ers with a deferment agreement shall be required to be annually re-certified as eligible to continue receiving a deferment during any potential deferment period.

<u>Condition</u>: NMHC di d not perform the require d annual re-certification for borrowers with deferment agreements.

<u>Cause</u>: The cause of the above condition is an overs ight by NM HC management in ensuring compliance with established policies and procedures for Homeowner Rehabilitation.

<u>Effect</u>: The effect of the above condition is no procedures for Homeowner Rehabilitation.

noncom pliance with established policies and

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend NMHC management ensure that the annual re-certification of borrowers with deferment agreements is performed.

<u>Prior Year Status:</u> Failure to perfor m annual re-certifications of borrowers with deferm ent agreements was reported as a finding in the Si ngle Audits of CDA for fiscal years 2006 through 2008.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person: Jesse S. Palacios, Manager, Mortgage & Credit Division

Corrective Action: The Mortgag e & Credit Division will place all deferred loan clients on a separate ledger to ensure effective annual re-cer tification and monitoring. The ledger will in clude the name of the client, address, contact num ber(s), effective date of the deferred loan agreem ent, amount of t he deferment and the re -certification compliance date. A separate report will then be shared with the Fiscal Division upon completion of the re-certification process.

Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009 Conducted By: J. Scott Magliari & Company

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent	:	10/14/10, 5/17/11
Date(s) of response letter(s) received	:	09/01/10

See pages 329 to 336 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditors Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings September 30, 2009

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

Finding No. 2009-01 Reconciliation of Employers Contributions Receivable

Criteria:

Periodic reconciliation of Receivable from Employers should be performed to ascertain reasonableness of receivable balances.

Context:

Audit of employers contributions receivables balances.

Condition:

The confirmation received from a plan sponsor showed significant difference with the employer contributions receivable. NMIRF have not performed account reconciliation with the plan sponsor. Furthermore, the audit proposed a significant audit adjustment to reconcile the NMIRF receivable balance and the payable recognized by the plan sponsor.

Cause:

The NMIRF only uploads the payroll information provided by the plan sponsors, agrees the remittance to the reported contributions and does not perform an independent verification of the correctness of employer contribution receivable.

Effect:

Although, the difference was reconciled during the audit, the weakness in NMIRF's internal control over its receivable balances could result to misstatement in the account balances that may not be detected timely.

Recommendation:

NMIRF should establish and document a reconciliation procedure, wherein the plan sponsor's payable balances are reconciled periodically with NMIRF's receivable balances.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings September 30, 2009

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

Finding No. 2009-01 Reconciliation of Employers Contributions Receivable, Continued

Auditee Response:

NMIRF agrees with the finding. The NMIRF has been performing detailed reconciliation of the employer contributions particularly in light of the ongoing litigation between the NMIRF and the CNMI government. Although bulk of the reconciliation id focused on the CNMI central government's employer contribution, the NMIRF had also recently completed a reconciliation of its employer contributions receivables from Tinian Municipal Treasurer and is currently reconciling with the Northern Marianas College and will commence reconciliation with the Public School System and the Marianas Visitors Authority.

To address the audit finding, the Comptroller will develop and implement a process where the Accounting Section will routinely and regularly reconcile employer contribution throughout the fiscal year as follows:

- 1. On a quarterly basis, verify the payroll records to the actual remittances and periodically reconcile the employer contributions receivable.
- 2. Once complete, issue quarterly statement to the agencies and establish a process wherein the agencies will reconcile their payables with the receivables recorded by NMIRF.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings September 30, 2009

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

Finding No. 2009-02 Reconciliation of Subsidiary Ledgers to General Ledger Balances

Criteria:

Periodic reconciliation of general ledger and subsidiary ledgers should be performed to ascertain reasonableness of account balances.

Context:

Audit of subsidiary ledgers.

Condition:

The subsidiary ledgers and general ledger balances of the following accounts are not reconciled:

	Pe	er General Ledger	Per	Subsidiary Ledgers	Difference
Mortgage Loan Receivables	\$	5,636,855 458,911	\$	5,920,998	\$ (284,143)
Buy Back Receivable Accounts Payable		458,911 1,423,718		412,951 1,502,389	45,960 78,671

Cause:

The above condition is due to the improper utilization of the subsidiary ledger module of the accounting system used by NMIRF.

Effect:

Although, the difference was reconciled during the audit, the weakness in NMIRF's internal control over subsidiary ledgers could result to misstatement in the account balances that may not be detected timely.

Recommendation:

NMIRF should properly utilize the subsidiary ledger module of its accounting system. All changes of accounts with subsidiary ledgers should be posted using the proper module and not through direct adjustments in the general ledger balance.

Furthermore, regular reconciliation should be performed to ensure that the balances agree.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings September 30, 2009

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

Finding No. 2009-02 Reconciliation of Subsidiary Ledgers to General Ledger Balances, Continued

Auditee Response:

NMIRF agrees with the finding. The NMIRF performs infrequent reconciliations of the Mortgage Loan Receivables, Buy Back Receivable and accounts payable subsidiary ledgers to the general ledger. To address this audit finding, the Comptroller will develop and implement accounting procedures that will require monthly reconciliation of the subsidiary ledgers and the general ledger.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings September 30, 2009

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

Finding No. 2009-03 Overpayment of Refund

<u>Criteria:</u>

Pursuant to Public Law 15-98, qualified members may refund their contributions plus interest.

Condition:

I noted that the refund made to a member exceeded his actual contributions plus interest. The disbursement made included the buyback balance that were uncollected.

Effect:

The above condition results in overpayment of refund that may not be collectible.

Cause:

The NMIRF database software does not address the consolidation of buyback payment information and contributions received, hence upon receipt of a request for refund the NMIRF has to manually calculate the refund balance when the requesting member have buyback payments.

Recommendation:

NMIRF should develop and implement monitoring controls, policies and procedures to ensure that there are no overpayments of benefits.

Auditee Response:

NMIRF disagrees with the finding. The NMIRF's internal auditor reviewed this finding. The member's refund consisted of the total consisted of the total contributions that he made during his employment with the CNMI Government from 1996 through 2001 with corresponding interest for each fiscal year and a refund of all the payments that he made towards the Buy Back for prior year service credit.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings September 30, 2009

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

Finding No. 2009-03 Overpayment of Refund, Continued

Auditee Response, continued:

The discrepancy between the external auditor's computation and the NMIRF's is attributed to the Buy Back amount. In external auditor's computation subtracted the principal balance from the total buyback to arrive at the amount of total payments made to the buyback. NMIRF believes that this computation is incorrect because it did not account for the need to refund the portion of payments made that were applied to the interest assessment at 12.60, which in effect understated the actual payments that the member made toward the Buy Back. Upon complete separation from the CNMI Government employment and on submission of an application for refund, the NMIRF is mandated to refund all contributions paid into the Fund by the member. Accordingly, the Fund was correct in processing the refund for this member for the amount of employee contributions and the total payments made for the buy back.

Auditor Comment:

NMIRF is mandated to refund all contributions, including all contributions made to obtain credit. In 1996, the member signed a buyback agreement wherein the member agreed to pay in 182 bi-weekly payments of \$350.71, including interest of 12.60% the prior service contribution of \$42,347.66.

Since the buyback agreement is a loan agreement, interest payments are income to the NMIRF and should not be treated as payments of contribution for refund computation. Summarized below is the difference between the refund calculated and paid by the NMIRF against the refund calculated per audit.

	Per NMIRF	Per Audit	
Buyback repayment Applied to interest	\$44,189.62 _		Total payments, \$350.71 x 126 by-weekly Obtained from NMIRF Buyback ledger
Buyback payment	44,189.62	25,263.26	
Interest on Buyback	-	1,738.83	3.5% compounded annually (estimated)
Contributions	48,206.25	48,206.25	Obtained from Member Ledger
Interest on Contributions	20,762.57	20,762.57	3.5% compounded annually
Withholding tax	(4,152.51)	(4,152.51)	
Refund	109,005.93	91,818.40	
Overpayment		\$17,187.53	

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings September 30, 2009

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

Finding No. 2009-04 Review of Contract with Third-party Systems Developer

<u>Criteria:</u>

The NMIRF, being fiduciary in nature should ensure that the funds of the NMIRF are expended for reasonable and necessary expenditures.

Context:

Review of contracts.

Condition:

In my 2008 letter to those in-charge with governance we noted that the thirdparty systems developer may be in violation of the terms of the contract. The contract states that the project should be completed and successfully rolledout by September 30, 2008. As of audit date, May 28, 2010, the database software has not been fully implemented.

Effect:

The vendor is in violation of the terms of the contract and NMIRF may be in violation of its fiduciary responsibility for not claiming remuneration from the vendor for the delay in the implementation of the database system as it is 90% paid for already.

Cause:

The lack of oversight on the contractor.

Recommendation:

The NMIRF should ensure that the terms of contracts are adhered to not only by NMIRF but also by the contractor.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings September 30, 2009

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

Finding No. 2009-04 Review of Contract with Third-party Systems Developer, Continued

Auditee Response:

The original completion date of the automated pension system was September 19, 2008. The contract has no provision for liquidating damages and the NMIRF had chosen to withhold the final payment and acceptance of the system. The NMIRF had been working with the Contractor to resolve the outstanding programming and implementation issues so that the NMIRF can finally migrate entirely to the new system.

To the end, the NMIRF will engage the service of an independent evaluator to assess the system; assist the staff in systematically testing the system; and develop an implementation schedule top include parallel operation, if necessary to ensure a smooth transition to the new system. The NMIRF will work closely with the independent evaluators to take the necessary steps toward the finalizing the implementation of the new system.

Public School System

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010 Conducted By: J. Scott Magliari & Company

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent

Date(s) of response letter(s) received

See pages 338 to 365 for recommendations issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditors Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore they do not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

:

:

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-01, Bank Reconciliations

Criteria:

Pursuant to 34 CFR PART 80 — Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments, § 80.20 (b)(3), Internal Control, an effective control and accountability must be maintained for all grant and subgrant cash, real and personal property, and other assets. Grantees and subgrantees must adequately safeguard all such property and must assure that it is used solely for authorized purposes. In line with this, preparation of monthly reconciliations of cash accounts should be performed on a timely basis.

Condition:

The following were noted:

- a. The bank reconciliations for nine accounts were not timely prepared.
- b. The bank reconciliations for the following accounts have unaccounted difference as follows:

Account Name	Unreconciled
Bank of Guam - General Fund Bank of Guam - Payroll	\$ 9,251.91 17,252.47
	<u>\$ 26,504.38</u>

Cause:

PSS experienced a turnover of key employees and lacked qualified personnel in the Fiscal and Budget Division during FY 2010.

Effect:

Although the differences are immaterial, PSS is unable to ascertain the propriety of the General Fund and the Payroll Fund cash account balances as of September 30, 2010.

Recommendation:

PSS should ensure that all cash accounts are properly reconciled on a timely manner.

Auditee Response:

PSS concurs with this finding and will reconcile all bank accounts in a timely manner. The General Fund and the Payroll funds will be reconciled monthly, while

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

the other 7 accounts will be reconciled quarterly. Furthermore, PSS will hire a General Ledger Accountant by May 2011.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-02, Accrual

Criteria:

Pursuant to Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements—and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments, the district-wide financial statements, consisting of a statement of net assets and a statement of activities should be prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

Condition:

PSS failed to recognize the following significant accounting transactions:

a. Revenue from the CNMI Government Appropria	ation
Appropriations Revenue per general ledger	\$ 25,988,903
Appropriations received: Direct transfers Payment of utilities directly to the	
Commonwealth Utilities Corporatio	on 2,443,880 30,133,970
Unrecorded revenues	<u>\$ 4,145,067</u>
b. Accrual of Receivable from Fiscal Year 201	10 Appropriations
Appropriation	\$ 31,219,656
Collections	30,133,970
Unrecorded Appropriations Receivable	<u>\$ 1,085,686</u>
c. Accrual of NMI Retirement Fund contributio	ons
Unfunded retirement contributions:	
Per general ledger	\$ 13,995,406
Per Audit Beginning balance 2010 contribution at 37.3909% Remittances for: 2010 contributions Prior years' contributions	<pre>\$ 14,129,770 8,492,643 (3,311,854)</pre>

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

\$ 5,180,789

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-02, Accrual, Continued

Cause:

PSS experienced a turnover of key employees and lacked qualified personnel in the Fiscal and Budget Division during FY 2010.

Effect:

Although the accruals have been corrected by audit adjusting journal entries, this weakness in internal control over financial reporting does not allow PSS to produce accurate basic financial statements on a monthly basis.

Recommendation:

Current organizational structure of the PSS Fiscal and Budget office does not have a general ledger accountant or equivalent position. PSS should review its Fiscal and Budget Department's staffing to ensure that there is a position that could perform the key control procedures such as the preparation of reconciliations, review of general ledger balances and preparation of journal entries timely, without conflict with their function.

PSS may also consider hiring a qualified accountant with government accounting experience and related education and training.

Auditee Response:

PSS concurs with this finding and will hire a general ledger accountant by May 2011 to perform the key control procedures that includes the preparation of reconciliations, review of general ledger balances and preparation of adjusting journal entries.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-03, Use of Restricted Cash

Criteria:

Pursuant to CNMI Public Law 16-10, the available fund balance at the end of the Indenture Trust Agreement for the CNMI PSS CIP General Obligations Bonds (GO Bonds) shall be transferred to PSS under the expenditure authority of the Commissioner of Education for classroom repairs, renovations and parking lot paving activities; and related purposes.

Notwithstanding any provision of law, the funds appropriated under this Act shall not be subject to fiscal year limitation and shall not be reprogrammed for any other purpose other than the intended purpose of this Act.

Condition:

In Fiscal year 2009, the CNMI Government transferred the available balance from the GO Bonds amounting to \$2,204,732 to PSS. The amount was deposited to PSS' special fund cash accounts, where PSS maintains its restricted cash.

Summarized below are the changes in the GO Bond fund balance:

Transfer in	\$ 2,204,733
Expenditures:	
Building improvements	(124,821)
Construction in progress	(221,027)
Balance	1,858,885
Restricted Cash balance	30,253
Withdrawals not authorized under	
PL 16-10	<u>\$ 1,828,632</u>

As of September 30, 2010, the Special Fund checking account balance was \$30,253. It appears that PSS made unauthorized withdrawals from the restricted cash balance totaling \$1,828,632 as of September 30, 2010.

Cause:

PSS did not receive its funding as required by the Appropriations Act.

Effect:

PSS is not in compliance with the provisions of PL 16-10 and is in violation of the Indenture Trust Agreement for the GO Bonds, and expended restricted funds for unallowable expenses.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-03, Use of Restricted Cash

Recommendation:

PSS should ensure that restricted assets are expended only for its intended use.

Auditee Response:

PSS concurs with this finding about the transfer of funds from PL 16-10 to cover the shortfall from the Appropriation received. PSS will abide with the requirements of the Public Laws and is restoring the borrowed funds so that the restricted funds may be used for its intended purposes.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-04, Contract Change Order

Criteria:

Change orders must be done in accordance with the provision in the procurement contracts.

Condition:

A change order of \$39,000 was approved for Contract No. 65956 for a professional development service provider, with an original contract price of \$170,000. This change order, which represents 23% of the original contract amount, exceeded the allowable 10% variance pursuant to the contract.

Cause:

There is a lack of internal control for monitoring vendor compliance with contractual terms and conditions and with PSS procurement policies and procedures. Furthermore, PSS procurement regulations do not contain guidelines for processing change orders.

Effect:

The change order for Contract No. 65956 is not in compliance with the terms of the contract.

Recommendation:

PSS should ensure that compliance with its procurement policies and procedures.

Auditee Response:

On December 28, 2010, PSS Procurement Officer has aligned the change order regulation for PSS with the CNMI Central Government procedure allowing change order up to 25% of the original contract price. PSS will comply with the contract change order procedures as delineated by the PSS Procurement Officer.

Auditor Response:

PSS changed its procurement policy subsequent to this finding. Therefore, PSS is still not in compliance with its procurement rules and regulation for this change order.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-05, Use of Credit Cards

Criteria:

Pursuant to PSS' policies and procedures, all disbursements shall be through issuance of checks. PSS' credit card may only be used for on-line purchases or other purchases as approved following PSS procurement policies and procedures.

Condition:

a. Three or 100% of the three credit card statements selected for testing included purchases, other than airline tickets, that were not supported by purchase order.

Statement Date	Account No.	Transaction Date	Amount	Description
Feb 25, 2010 Feb 25, 2010 Apr 26, 2010	31002 31001 31002	Feb 2, 2010 Feb 2, 2010 Apr 23, 2010	\$ 1,575 396 1,904	Food Food Food
			<u>\$3,875</u>	

b. On one of the three credit card statements the purchase order (PO) was approved after the fact and there was no signed ratification for the after-the-fact purchase request.

		Transaction		
Statement Date	PO Number	Date	Amount	Description
Mar 12, 2010	66963	Feb 23, 2010	č 1 100	Food
Mar IZ, ZUIU	00903	ren 25, 2010	\$ 1,103	ruuu

c. The registration fee for travel advance 67842 for employee No. 10007 was charged to the Organization credit card. This registration fee was included in the travel advance provided to the traveler.

Cause:

PSS does not have formal credit card policies and procedures in place.

Effect:

PSS is not in compliance with its procurement policies and procedure for these transaction paid using the organization's credit card. Unrecorded or unauthorized disbursements could be incurred and not be detected in a time manner.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-05, Use of Credit Cards, Continued

Recommendation:

PSS should review and revise its policies and procedures over the use of its credit card and implement more stringent internal control monitoring procedures to safeguard assets susceptible to misuse, abuse and potential fraud.

Auditee Response:

PSS concurs with this finding. In February 2011, PSS adopted new policies and procedures regarding the use of the credit card.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-06, Journal Entries

Criteria:

Pursuant to OMB Circular A-21, all accounting entries should be properly documented and approved.

Furthermore, pursuant to 34 CFR PART 74 §74.53, financial records, supporting documents, statistical records, and all other records pertinent to an award shall be retained for a period of three years from the date of submission of the final expenditure report.

Condition:

- a. For two or 20% of the ten journal vouchers selected for testing, the original approved journal voucher was not provided for audit.
- b. The supporting documents for Journal entry No. 14384 were not on file. This entry transferred payroll from the general fund to the following federal programs:
 - \$343,300 transferred from business unit 101055 (Marianas High School Local Appropriation) to business unit 1041AP0CSR (IAP/T5 - Class Size Reduction) from the Consolidated Grants to Insular Areas.
 - \$50,000 transferred from business unit 101133 (Special Education Local Appropriation) to business unit 0983SE9SPE (SPED Handicapped Children LEA) from the Special Education Grants to States.

Cause:

PSS does not maintain an up-to-date document filing system and does not have a formal document storage and retrieval system in place.

Effect:

PSS is not in compliance with OMB Circular A-21 and the retention and access requirements for records pursuant to 34 CFR PART 74 or the Administrative Grants and Agreements with Institution of Higher Education, Hospitals and other Non-Profit Organization.

Recommendation:

PSS should ensure that all journal entries are substantiated, properly documented and approved to comply with OMB Circular A-21. Furthermore, PSS should develop a formal document storage and retrieval system to ensure that document are retained in accordance with 34 CFR PART 74.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-06, Journal Entries, Continued

Auditee Response:

PSS concurs with this finding. Effective March 2011, PSS Fiscal and Budget is implementing a scan and file system, wherein all accounting documents and supporting documents will be electronically filed and stored in multiple locations.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-07, Medical Examination at the Start of Contract for New Employees

Criteria:

PSS Regulation for Employment of Non-Certified Personnel §2103 and PSS Regulation for Employment of Certified Personnel §3103, requires employees to undergo physical examination at a health care provider acceptable to the HRO prior to the commencement of the contract term.

Condition:

For 11 or 28% of the 40 employee files selected for independent review and inspection, personnel records did not have the physical examination record on file.

Effect:

PSS is not in compliance with its Human Resources policies and procedures. Furthermore, health and safety of students and personnel may be compromised and at risk.

Cause:

There is a lack of monitoring controls to ensure that all requirements for hiring are completed and properly documented on-file prior to the effective date of employment contract.

Recommendation:

PSS should strengthen its Human Resources policies and procedures to ensure that all requirements for employee hiring are completed, properly documented and retained on file prior to the effective date of employment. Furthermore, document retention and filing should be improved to facilitate ease of file retrieval.

Auditee Response:

PSS concurs with this finding. The Public School System has instituted, on October 2010, a procedure to safeguard and ensure that all required documents are on file, i.e. police, health certificate, copy of degree and etc. A checklist of all required documents is appended to the contract to ascertain receipt of the required documents. In October and November 2010, the PSS HR Director and Commissioner of Education issued separate memorandum to all programs and school principals emphasizing the need to for all employees to have all required documents submitted before employment contracts, whether new or renewal, is approved. New hires are not allowed to report to work without contracts; current employees will be given a 90-day notice of contract non-renewal for failing to submit the required documents.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-08, Application Evaluation and Assessment Form

Criteria:

Pursuant to PSS recruitment procedures, an Application Evaluation and Assessment Form must be prepared for each applicant.

Condition:

- a. For 5 or 12.5% of the 40 employee files selected for independent review and inspection, personnel records did not have the Application Evaluation and Assessment Form on-file.
- b. For 30 of 85.7% of the 35 employee files selected that have the Application Evaluation and Assessment Form on-file, the form was not properly summarized and not all information were filled-out.

Cause:

There is a turnover in a key position at the Human Resources Department during FY 2010.

Effect:

PSS is not in compliance with its Human Resources policies and procedures. The pay rate level maybe inaccurate if the Application Evaluation and Assessment Form is not properly summarized.

Recommendation:

The Application Evaluation and Assessment Form is an important tool for hiring official to assess the qualifications of applicant for interview. This also serves as the basis for the pay rate level.

PSS should ensure that the Application Evaluation and Assessment Form is properly completed and summarized to support the eligibility listing provided by the Office of Human Resources to the requesting departments for interview and to support the pay rate approved when the applicant is hired.

Auditee Response:

PSS concurs with this finding. The HR Director and staff will ensure completeness of the assessment and evaluation for all applicants before the eligibility list is established.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-9, After-the-fact Ratification

Criteria:

Purchase orders should be approved before actual services being preformed or products are procured.

Condition:

For 1 or 4% of 25 purchase orders selected for testing under the Consolidated grant, the products and services were provided before the purchase orders were released for the following.

					Vendor	Invoice		
Date	Check No.	Particulars	PO. Number	PO Date	No.	Date	A	mount
03/17/10	115431	Labor and on-site diagnostics repair,	67417	03/10/10	55987	11/06/09	\$	1,425
		networking, software training and			55839	10/22/09		720
		general maintainance.			56638	01/08/10		480
					56655	01/11/10		529
					55208	08/25/09		600

\$ 3,754

There was no after-the-fact documentation ratifying these procurements.

Cause:

The above expenditures were for an approved Title V project for a private school, although the private school submitted the documents after-the-fact, PSS paid for them.

Effect:

PSS is not in compliance with its procurement policies and procedures.

Recommendation:

PSS should adhere to its established policies and procedures and should strengthen internal control monitoring procedures over its procurement activities to prevent and detect potential circumvention of such policies and procedures.

Auditee Response:

PSS will adhere to its small purchase procedure and will have the internal auditor conduct reviews to detect any circumvention of the established small purchase policies and procedures.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-10, Travel Authorization

Criteria:

Pursuant to PSS Regulation 3430, section C (8), travel requests for outside of the CNMI shall be submitted to the PSS Commissioner or the Chairperson of the Board of Education, as appropriate, no later than 10 working days prior to commencement of travel, except for extenuating circumstances.

Condition:

For 20 or 33.3% of the 60 travel authorizations (TA) selected for testing were for travels outside the CNMI and the following was noted:

a. For 3 or 15% of the 20 TAs for travel outside the CNMI, the following TAs were not provided for examination:

	Traveller	TA		
_	No.	No.	A	mount
	10007	70155	\$	1,336
	11102	68872		1,101
	12768	70088		1,057
			\$	3,494

b. For 4 or 20% of the 20 TAs for travel outside the CNMI, the following TAs were not submitted within the prescribed period:

Traveller	TA			Date	Travel	No of Days
No.	No.	A	mount	Submitted	Start Date	Late
8442	70052	\$	1,210	06/30/10	07/11/10	2
12683	69833		817	06/22/10	06/23/10	8
2858	65059		793	11/16/09	11/26/09	1
7807	68675		595	04/30/10	05/07/10	4
		\$	3,415			

Cause:

PSS does not have internal control monitoring procedures in place to ensure that all TAs are submitted in accordance with its existing travel policies. Furthermore, the accountant in-charge of travel retired in FY 2010, leaving only one accountant to handle all travel advances and liquidations.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-10, Travel Authorization, Continued

Effect:

Failure to adhere to the travel policies may result in unauthorized travel, disallowed costs, significant bad debts and non-compliance with the applicable local laws and federal regulations.

Recommendation:

PSS should implement internal control monitoring procedures to ensure timely submission of all travel authorizations.

With the large volume of travel authorizations processed, PSS should explore the option of electronic filing and approval of travel authorizations. This option may also reduce the lead time it takes for the TAs to get from the requester to the Travel Section of PSS Accounting and reduce the paperwork for filing at the Travel Section.

Auditee Response:

The PSS concurs with this finding; and effective April of 2011 will use electronic filing of travel authorizations.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-11, Travel Authorization Supporting Documents

Criteria:

Pursuant to PSS Regulation 3420, section C (7), the following information must be attached to the TA prior to being submitted to the Chairperson of the Board and to the Commissioner as appropriate:

- a. Justification memorandum for the travel
- b. Document of invitation and/or agenda
- c. Specific purpose
- d. Itinerary (schedule or departure, arrival and destinations)
- e. Estimated Cost

Condition:

- a. 3 or 5% of the 60 TAs selected for testing were not provided for examination (See Finding No. 10-10).
- b. 4 or 7% of the 57 TAs tested did not comply with the requirements of PSS Regulation 3420, section C (7). Deficiencies noted are as follows:

Traveller No.	TA No.	A	mount	Remarks
2858	65059	\$	793	Invitation not on file
5381	70359		1,138	Traveler's name not included in the justification memo
9668	64956		798	Invitation not on file
11133	68956		54	Justification memo not on file
		\$	2,783	

Cause:

PSS did not adhere to its established internal control policies and procedures for travel authorization.

Effect:

Failure to adhere to the travel policies could result in high outstanding advances balance and potential bad debts.

Recommendation:

PSS should strictly enforce its travel policies and procedures and establish internal control monitoring procedures to ensure that all travels made using PSS local and federal funds are both necessary and reasonable.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-11, Travel Authorization Supporting Documents, Continued

Auditee Response:

The PSS concurs with this finding and effective April of 2011, will not approve any travel request that lacks supporting documentation. A checklist will be used, that includes (justification memo, invitation and/or agenda, specific purpose, itinerary, and estimated cost) which must be signed by the requestor and the approver.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-12, Travel Advances Issued to Travelers with Unliquidated TA

Criteria:

Pursuant to PSS Regulation 3420, TAs shall not be issued if there is an outstanding voucher or if an outstanding expense by the traveler has not been verified. Exceptions to this rule may be made at the discretion of the PSS Commissioner or the Chairperson of the Board, as appropriate.

Condition:

a. 20 or 35% of the 57 TAs tested were issued to travelers with unliquidated travel advances.

Traveller	TA			
No.	No.	A	mount	Funding
9680	67966	\$	1,998	103IAPOPD3
9649	69731		3,591	103PUBOTHS
9649	70278		1,089	101SFSF100
12683	69833		817	109APCOPTA
12685	67376		148	TF10256A01
12683	70506		1,225	103PUBOTES
9668	66876		334	650110.4226
9668	69566		652	103PUBORHS
9668	70263		1,669	101SFSF100
2208	69553		1,235	109AYPOGES
10835	68665		247	Local
10007	69864		321	TF10256A01
7807	70032		968	1085LEOSPE
7807	68675		595	103PUBOTHS
7807	69853		409	TF10256A01
2746	67078		3,158	Local
2746	66371		429	Local
4719	67693		1,636	095ARR9SPB
2926	70419		1,113	101SFSF100
11345	69636		334	Local
		\$	21,968	

Cause:

PSS did not adhere to its established internal control policies and procedures for travel authorization.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-12, Travel Advances Issued to Travelers with Unliquidated TA, Continued

Effect:

Failure to adhere to the travel policies could result in high outstanding advances balance and potential bad debts.

Recommendation:

PSS should strictly enforce its travel policies and procedures and establish internal control monitoring procedures to ensure that travelers with outstanding travel advances liquidate their prior advances or obtain a documentation of the PSS Commissioner's approval for the subsequent travel advances.

Auditee Response:

The PSS concurs and effective April of 2011 will not approve any travel advance for travelers with outstanding travel advances and will request the internal auditor to conduct quarterly monitoring to check on this internal control procedure.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-13, Travel Liquidation

Criteria:

Pursuant to PSS Regulation 3420, section G (1), travelers must file a travel voucher with supporting documentation within fifteen (15) working days upon completion of travel. Travelers who fail to meet this deadline will forfeit the remaining ten percent of the cost of travel. Travelers who fail to submit travel vouchers and supporting documentation will be subject to payroll deduction of the entire amount of the advance.

Condition:

a. For 8 or 14% of the 57 TAs tested, liquidations did not occur within the prescribed period as follows:

Traveller	TA		End of	Liquidation	No of Days	
No.	No.	Amount	Travel Date	Date	Late	Funding
2871	65339	\$ 98	12/09/09	02/23/10	40	1072BA0HDS
2926	70121	717	07/26/10	08/20/10	5	102LEAOMMT
4719	67693	1,636	04/16/10	05/14/10	6	095ARR9SPB
9668	69566	652	06/11/10	07/20/10	13	103PUBORHS
10007	67842	2,056	04/19/10	05/10/10	1	103IAPOPD3
10007	69864	321	07/02/10	07/29/10	5	TF10256A01
12685	67376	148	03/13/10	12/18/10	185	TF10256A01
13084	70412	1,188	08/14/10	10/14/10	29	101SFSF100
		\$ 6,816				

b. For 13 or 23% of the 57 TAs tested, the following TAs were not liquidated as of September 30, 2010:

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

Traveller	TA			End of	
No.	No.	7	Amount	Travel Date	Funding
5236	70425	\$	1,113	08/13/10	101SFSF100
7807	70032		968	07/17/10	1085LEOSPE
8442	70052		1,210	07/17/10	1088IFOSPE
9680	67966		1,998	05/02/10	103IAPOPD3
10835	68665		247	05/09/10	Local
11133	70075		1,336	07/27/10	102LEAOMMT
11345	67923		267	04/09/10	Local
11345	69636		334	06/15/10	Local
12555	70265		1,669	08/13/10	101SFSF100
12683	69833		817	06/29/10	109APCOPTA
12683	70506		1,225	08/13/10	103PUBOTES
13228	68603		1,921	07/16/10	1083SEOSPE
13245	70327		742	08/07/10	095ARR9HDQ
		\$	13,847		

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-13, Travel Liquidation, Continued

Condition, Continued:

c. For 7 or 12% of the 57 TAs tested, the liquidation were not on file as follows:

Traveller	TA			End of	
No.	No.	I	Amount	Travel Date	Funding
2746	67078	\$	3,158	03/26/10	Local
2746	66371		429	03/03/10	Local
2858	65059		793	11/29/09	093IAP9PDP
5381	67747		54	03/25/10	Local
9302	65602		123	12/19/09	TF10256A0I
9668	70263		1,669	08/13/10	101SFSF100
13245	64612		544	11/07/09	1073TROHDS
		\$	6,770		

d. For 10 or 24% of the 27 liquidations tested, supporting documents were not on file as follows:

Traveller	TA			
No.	No.	Amount	Remarks	Funding
4719	67693	\$ 1,636	trip report not in file	095ARR9SPB
5381	70359	1,138	only 1 boarding pass attached	103PUBORJH
5381	67691	2,066	trip report not in file	095AKK9SPB
9649	69731	3,591	trip report not in file	103PUBOTHS
9668	64956	798	liquidation not on file	093IAP9PDP
10007	69864	321	incomplete boarding pass	TF10256A01
10007	67842	2,056	trip report not in file	103IAPOPD3
11133	67455	148	boarding pass not on file	101SFSF100
12685	67376	148	no attachment on file	TF10256A01
12768	67681	1,092	trip report not in file	TF10256A01
		\$ 12,994		

- e. For TA No. 64622, the actual liquidation should be \$204.92; however, the expenditure posted to the general ledger was \$342.42.
- f. For TA No. 66876, the per diem was computed from 03/05/10 to 03/07/10; however, the attached boarding pass was only until 03/06/10.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding No. 10-13, Travel Liquidation, Continued

Cause:

PSS has a weak internal control over travel liquidation as it allows management override on travel of personnel with unliquidated advances.

Effect:

PSS's established monitoring control cannot function effectively due to management override on its specific internal control. This could result to high outstanding advances balance, overpayment to traveler and potential bad debts.

Recommendation:

PSS should strengthen its travel liquidation policies and procedures by not allowing management override and the implementation of travel reimbursement method. A report should also be prepared on current outstanding advances and provide report to the PSS Commissioner for corrective action.

Auditee Response:

The PSS concurs with the findings and will request the internal auditor to prepare a report for FY10 and the first five months of FY11 on travel liquidations and make recommendations to the Commissioner of Education for corrective action.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Allowed Cost and Cost Principles - Special Education and Consolidated Grants

Finding No. 10-14

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

Questioned Costs

84.027 and 84.922

\$ 393,300

Criteria:

Pursuant to OMB Circular A-21, all accounting entries should be properly documented and approved.

Furthermore, pursuant to 34 CFR PART 74 §74.53, financial records, supporting documents, statistical records, and all other records pertinent to an award shall be retained for a period of three years from the date of submission of the final expenditure report.

Condition: (Refer to Finding 10-06)

The supporting documents for Journal entry No. 14384 were not on file. This entry is to transfer salaries and wages from the general fund to the following federal programs:

- \$343,300 transferred from business unit 101055 (Marianas High School Local Appropriation) to business unit 1041AP0CSR (IAP/T5 - Class Size Reduction).

- \$50,000 transferred from business unit 101133 (Special Education Local Appropriation) to business unit 0983SE9SPE (SPED Handicapped Children LEA).

Cause:

PSS does not maintain an up to date document filing system and does not have a formal document storage and retrieval system in place.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Allowed Cost and Cost Principles - Special Education and Consolidated Grants

Finding No. 10-14, Continued

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

Questioned Costs

\$

84.027 and 84.922

Effect:

PSS is not in compliance with OMB Circular A-21 and the retention and access requirements for records pursuant to 34 CFR PART 74 or the Administrative Grants and Agreements with Institution of Higher Education, Hospitals and other Non-Profit Organization. As a result, questioned costs of \$393,300 exists.

Recommendation:

PSS should ensure that all journal entries are substantiated, properly documented and approved to comply with OMB Circular A-21. Furthermore, PSS should develop a formal document storage and retrieval system to ensure that document are retained in accordance with 34 CFR PART 74.

Auditee Response:

PSS concurs with the finding on documentation but does not concur with the questioned cost. The documentation for these adjustments was prepared when the reclassifications were approved. PSS Fiscal and Budget Division will search for these documentations.

To prevent similar condition for recurring, effective March 2011, PSS Fiscal and Budget Division is implementing a scan and file system, wherein all accounting documents and supporting documents will be electronically filed and stored in multiple locations.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Allowed Cost and Cost Principles - Consolidated Grants

Finding No. 10-15

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

Questioned Costs

_

\$

84.922

Criteria:

Purchase order should be approved before actual services or products are procured.

Condition:

For 1 or 4% of 25 purchase order, selected for testing under the Consolidated grant, the products and services were provided before the following purchase orders were released.

					Vendor	Invoice		
Date	Check No.	Particulars	PO. Number	PO Date	No.	Date	A	mount
03/17/10	115431	Labor and on-site	67417	03/10/10	55987	11/06/09	\$	1,425
		diagnostics repair,			55839	10/22/09		720
		networking,			56638	01/08/10		480
		software training			56655	01/11/10		529
		and general			55208	08/25/09		600
							\$	3,754

There was no after-the-fact documentation ratifying these procurements.

Cause:

PSS did not adhere to its established procurement policies and procedures.

Effect:

PSS is not in compliance with its procurement policies and procedures.

Recommendation:

PSS should adhere to its established policies and procedures and should strengthen internal control monitoring procedures over its procurement activities to prevent and detect potential circumvention of such policies and procedures.

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2010

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Allowed Cost and Cost Principles - Consolidated Grants

Finding No. 10-15, continued

CFDA No. Findings/Noncompliance

Questioned Costs

-

\$

84.922

Auditee Response:

PSS will adhere to its small purchase procedure and will have the internal auditor conduct reviews to detect any circumvention of the established small purchase policies and procedures.

Total Questioned Costs

<u>\$ 393,300</u>

Workers' Compensation Commission

Annual Financial & Compliance Audit In Accordance with OMB-A-133 Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009 Conducted By: J. Scott Magliari & Company

Date(s) of follow-up letter(s) sent : 10/14/10, 5/17/11

:

Date(s) of response letter(s) received

See page 367 and 368 for the recommendation issued by the Independent Auditor. These pages were included in the Independent Auditors Report. For audit tracking purposes, OPA assigned new page numbers to these pages and therefore it does not correspond with the page numbers in the original report.

CNMI WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Finding September 30, 2009

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDING

Finding No. 2009-01 Collectability of Outstanding Notice of Assessments

Criteria:

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles require that receivables should be evaluated for collectability.

Context:

Audit of outstanding assessments, deferred revenues and related income account.

Condition:

As of September 30, 2009, there were 544 employers with outstanding assessments amounting to \$800,007.

Summarized as follows are the changes in outstanding assessments:

		2009		2008	
Beginning balance	\$	820,046	\$	914,252	
Current year transactions:					
Assessments		45,900		83,260	
Waived assessments		(56,074)		(164, 173)	
Collections		(9,865)		(13,293)	
Ending balance	\$	800,007	\$	820,046	

Collections accounted for only 15% of total deductions for the fiscal year 2009 while waived assessments constitute 85%. This indicates that the majority of the assessments are being waived rather than being collected. Furthermore, aging of outstanding assessments shows \$784,232 or 98% were over 90 days overdue.

Effect:

Fraud could occur and not be detected in a timely manner.

Cause:

There are no monitoring policies and procedures in place to prevent fraud and misstatement from occurring and be detected in a timely manner.

CNMI WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

(A Component Unit of the CNMI Government)

Schedule of Finding September 30, 2009

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDING

Finding No. 2009-01 Collectability of Outstanding Notice of Assessments, Continued

Recommendation:

Although the write-off of these receivables would not have any impact on WCC's net assets because revenues are deferred until collected, I recommend that management review collectability of these receivables and write-off uncollectible amounts so the assets and liabilities are not overstated.

APPENDIX A

Acronyms Used

ADPAutomated Data ProcessingAGIUAttorney General's Investigative UnitAGOOffice of the Attorney GeneralAPVAccounts Payable VoucherARAudit ReportAREERAAgricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform ActARRAAmerican Recovery and Reinvestment ActASCCAmerican Samoa Community CollegeAWOLAbsent Without LeaveBMSBoard of RegentsBORBoard of RegentsBORBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth CodeCMLACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMSQuarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth Orthe Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChain of CustodyCPACarified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSGCivi Service Commission	AAL	Actuarial Accrued Liability
AGIUAttorney General's Investigative UnitAGOOffice of the Attorney GeneralAPVAccounts Payable VoucherARAudit ReportARERAAgricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform ActARRAAmerican Recovery and Reinvestment ActASCCAmerican Recovery and Reinvestment ActASCCAmerican Recovery and Reinvestment ActASCCAmerican Recovery and Reinvestment ActASCCAmerican Samoa Community CollegeAWOLAbsent Without LeaveBMSBoard of RegentsBOTBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, IncorporatedCDACommunity Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth Orth Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth Orth Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth Orth AutorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrified Public AccountantCPACertified P		•
AGOOffice of the Attorney GeneralAPVAccounts Payable VoucherARAudit ReportAREERAAgricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform ActARRAAmerican Recovery and Reinvestment ActARRAAmerican Samoa Community CollegeAWOLAbsent Without LeaveBMSBoard of RegentsBORBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, IncorporatedCDACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommowealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHGCominowealth Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement Act AgreementCMLAACommonwealth OrdeCMLAACommonwealth OrdeCMLAACommonwealth Orthern Mariana IslandsCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene T		•
APVAccounts Payable VoucherARAudit ReportAREERAApricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform ActARRAAmerican Recovery and Reinvestment ActASCCAmerican Samoa Community CollegeAWOLAbsent Without LeaveBMSBoard of RegentsBORBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth OrdeCMLACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth Orts AuthorityCSCChain of CustodyCPACredified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene IrvestigationCSICrime Scene IrvestigationCSCCivil Servic		•
ARAudit ReportAREERAAgricultral Research, Extension and Education Reform ActARRAAmerican Recovery and Reinvestment ActASCCAmerican Samoa Community CollegeAWOLAbsent Without LeaveBMSBoard of RegentsBORBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCComfidential Informant FundCHBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompanyealth CodeCMIAACash Maagement Improvement Act AgreementCIPACapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Maagement Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMI-PRCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMI-PRCommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCChange OrdersCOCChange OrdersCOCCivil Service CommissionCSTCrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene InvestigationCSTDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public KafetyDPHDepartment of Public KafetyDPHDepartment of Public Kafety		
AREERAAgricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform ActARRAAmerican Recovery and Reinvestment ActASCCAmerican Ramoa Community CollegeAWOLAbsent Without LeaveBMSBoarder Management SystemBORBoard of RegentsBORBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommosistion on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, IncorporatedCDACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Development SystemCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCompact Impact GrantCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCommonwealth CodeCMLACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMI-PRCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOMChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene TechnicianCPACrime Scene TechnicianCOMPartment of Hubitics CorporationCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Utilities CorporationCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene TechnicianCDCCommonwealth		
ARRAAmerican Recovery and Reinvestment ActASCCAmerican Samoa Community CollegeAWOLAbsent Without LeaveBMSBoard of RegentsBORBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, IncorporatedCDACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCIF undConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement Act AgreementCMCCommonwealth CodeCMLAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACertified Public AccountantCPACrime Scene IrvestigationCSTCrime Scene IrvestigationCSTCrime Scene IrvestigationCSTCrime Scene IrvestigationCDSCrime Scene IrvestigationCBBDepartment of FinanceDOLDepartment of Fublic LandsDPHDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDe		*
ASCCAmerican Samoa Čommunity CollegeAWOLAbsent Without LeaveBMSBoarder Management SystemBORBoard of RegentsBOTBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, IncorporatedCDACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth CotterCIGCompact Impact GrantCIGCommonwealth CodeCMLACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCChain of CustodyCPACrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationC		
AWOLAbsent Without LeaveBMSBoard of Management SystemBORBoard of RegentsBORBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, IncorporatedCDACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHGCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth OcdeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChange OrdersCOCChange OrdersCOCChange CordersCOCChange CordersCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene TechnicianCDCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of Finance <td></td> <td></td>		
BMSBoarder Management SystemBORBoard of RegentsBOTBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommonwealth Development Block GrantCDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCIFundConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCommonwealth CodeCMCCommonwealth CodeCMLCommonwealth OdeCMLCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChaige OrdersCOCChaige OrdersCOCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of HeanterDOIDepartment of HeanterDPHDepartment of Public KafetyDPHDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works<		• •
BORBoard of RegentsBOTBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, IncorporatedCDACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCI FundConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCommonwealth CodeCMCCommonwealth CodeCMLACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChange OrdersCOCChange OrdersCOCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene TechnicianCMCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of HealterDOIDepartment of Public KafetyDPHDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works <td></td> <td></td>		
BOTBoard of TrusteesBUBusiness UnitCALEACommission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, IncorporatedCDACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCI FundConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth GodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChange OrdersCOCCini of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSIDepartment of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of Italicies CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of Hublic HealthDPHDepartment of Public LandsDPHDivision of Public Lands<		
BUBusiness UnitCALEACommission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, IncorporatedCDACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCI FundConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCommonwealth CodeCMCCommonwealth CodeCMCCommonwealth CodeCMCCommonwealth OcdeCMACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACime Scene TechnicianCUCCime Scene TechnicianCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationCSFDepartment of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDCPDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDCIDepartment of Public KaeftyDPHDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Kaefty		6
CALEACommission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, IncorporatedCDACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Informant FundCIF FundConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Procurement RegulationsCOChang OrdersCOCChang OrdersCOCCirime Scene TechnicianCSCCiri Service CommissionCSICrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public KadsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works		
CDACommonwealth Development AuthorityCDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDBGCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCI FundConfidential Informant FundCBBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCNS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChange OrdersCOCChange OrdersCOCCommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public KafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Safety		Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, Incorporated
CDBGCommunity Development Block GrantCDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCI FundConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChange OrdersCOCChange OrdersCOCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOILIDepartment of Public LandsDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Safety	CDA	
CDRSRCashier Deposit Reconciliation Summary ReportCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCI FundConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene InvestigationCSTDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public KaetyDPHDepartment of Public KaetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works		1
CFDACatalog of Federal Domestic AssistanceCGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCI FundConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOChaige OrdersCOChaige OrdersCOCChaige OrdersCOCChaige OrdersCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene TechnicianCVCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of HanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CDRSR	
CGECUCommonwealth Government Employees Credit UnionCHCCommonwealth Health CenterCHCComfidential Informant FundCIFundConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChange OrdersCOCChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene TechnicianCVCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOILDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public KafetyDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Safety		
CHCCommonwealth Health CenterCI FundConfidential Informant FundCIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCOCChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSICommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public KafetyDPWDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CGECU	
CIBCriminal Investigations BureauCIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMI-PRCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Procurement RegulationsCOChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCDomnonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Safety	CHC	
CIGCompact ImpartCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Procurement RegulationsCOChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CI Fund	Confidential Informant Fund
CIGCompact Impact GrantCIPCapital Improvement ProjectsCMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMI-PRCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Procurement RegulationsCOChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CIB	Criminal Investigations Bureau
CMCCommonwealth CodeCMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMI-PRCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Procurement RegulationsCOChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CIG	
CMIAACash Management Improvement Act AgreementCMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMI-PRCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Procurement RegulationsCOChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CIP	Capital Improvement Projects
CMS-64Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance ProgramCNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMI-PRCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Procurement RegulationsCOChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CMC	Commonwealth Code
CNMICommonwealth of the Northern Mariana IslandsCNMI-PRCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Procurement RegulationsCOChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CMIAA	Cash Management Improvement Act Agreement
CNMI-PRCommonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Procurement RegulationsCOChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CMS-64	Quarterly Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance Program
COChange OrdersCOCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Public HealthDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CNMI	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands
COCChain of CustodyCPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CNMI-PR	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Procurement Regulations
CPACertified Public AccountantCPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CO	Change Orders
CPACommonwealth Ports AuthorityCSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDivision of Public HealthDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	COC	Chain of Custody
CSCCivil Service CommissionCSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CPA	Certified Public Accountant
CSICrime Scene InvestigationCSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CPA	Commonwealth Ports Authority
CSTCrime Scene TechnicianCUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CSC	Civil Service Commission
CUCCommonwealth Utilities CorporationDCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CSI	Crime Scene Investigation
DCPDefined Contribution Retirement PlanDEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public Works	CST	Crime Scene Technician
DEQDivision of Environmental QualityDOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	CUC	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation
DOFDepartment of FinanceDOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	DCP	
DOIDepartment of the InteriorDOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works	DEQ	Division of Environmental Quality
DOLIDepartment of Labor and ImmigrationDPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works		Department of Finance
DPHDepartment of Public HealthDPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works		-
DPLDivision of Public LandsDPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works		
DPSDepartment of Public SafetyDPWDepartment of Public Works		
DPW Department of Public Works		
DRT Division of Revenue & Taxation		-
	DRT	Division of Revenue & Taxation

EAC	Estimated Acquisition Cost
ECU	Evidence Custodial Unit
EDMS	Electronic Document Management System
EDP	Electronic Data Processing
EEO	Equal Employement Opportunity
EITF	Emerging Issues Task Force
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulations
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FASS-PH	Financial Assessment Sub-System
FBI	Federal Bureau of Investigations
FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FLSA	Fair Labor Standards Act
FMR	Fair Market Rent
FMS	Financial Management System
FNS	Food & Nutrition Services
FTE	Full Time Employment
FY	Fiscal Year
GASB	Government Accounting Standards Board
GHI	Government Health Insurance
GHLITF	Group Health and Life Insurance Trust Fund
GL	General Ledger
GM	General Manager
GOV	Office of the Governor
GPA	
-	General Power of Attorney
GPD	Guam Police Department
HAP	Housing Assistance Payments
HPD	Honolulu Police Department
HQS	Housing Quality Standards
HRO	Human Resources Officer
HRPP	Human Resources Policies and Procedures
HUD	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
IT	Information Technology
ITB	Invitation to Bid
JE	Journal Entry
LT	Letter Report
MAP	Medical Assistance Program
MCD	Mortgage Credit Division
MHLO	Marianas Hawaii Liaison Office
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MPLA	Marianas Public Lands Authority
MPLC	Marianas Public Land Corporation
MPLT	Marianas Public Land Trust
MRO	Marianas Referral Office
NAP	Nutrition Assistance Program
NASPO	National Association of State Purchasing Officials
NEG	National Emergency Grant
NIMO	Northern Islands Mayor's Office
NMC	Northern Marianas College
NMHC	Northern Marianas Housing Corporation
NMIRF	Northern Mariana Islands Retirement Fund
NSA	Nutrition Services Administration

OCAF	Operating Cost Adjustment Factor
OIA	Office of Insular Affairs
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OPA	Office of the Public Auditor
OPL	Office of Public Lands
OVAE	Office of Vocational Adult Education
PAF	Personnel Action Form
P.L.	Public Law
P.L. P&S	
PAO	Procurement & Supply Public Assistance Office
PFC	
PHA	Passenger Facility Charge
PIEI	Public Housing Agency Pacific Islands Education Initiative
	Purchase Order
PO	
PPE	Pay Period Ended
PPR	Procurement & Personnel Regulations
PR	Purchase Requisition
PSS	Public School System
PSSPR	Public School System Procurement Regulation
PSSRR	Personnel Service System Rules and Regulations
PV	Payment Voucher
RFP RMO	Request for Proposals
	Rota Mayor's Office
RRU	Retail and Redemption Unit
SCHIP	State Children's Health Insurance Program
SF	Standard Form
SEFA	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
SNAP	Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
SOP	Standard Operating Procedures
SPA	Special Power of Attorney
SSN	Social Security Number
STAR	System for Time and Attendance Reporting
TA	Travel Authorization
TB	Technical Bulletin
TCGCC	Tinian Casino Gaming Control Commission
TIQ	Tinian
TRIO	Educational Opportunity for Low-Income and Disabled Americans
TSD	Department of Public Works, Transfer Station Division Tobacco Settlement Resources
TSR	
TV	Travel Voucher
US	United States
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
VENA	Value Enhanced Nutrition Assessment
WASC	Western Association for Schools and Colleges
WCC	Workers' Compensation Commission
WIA	Workforce Investment Agency
WIC	Women, Infant, & Children
WRO	Washington Representative's Office
WTF	Water Task Force

This page was intentionally left blank.

Published by Office of the Public Auditor, P.O. Box 501399, Saipan, MP 96950 Telephone No. (670) 322-6481 Fax No. (670) 322-7812 Web Site: http://opacnmi.com E-Mail: mail@opacnmi.com